Celltrion, Inc.	
Petitioner,	
v.	
Genentech, Inc.	
Patent Owner.	

PETITIONER'S OBJECTIONS TO PATENT OWNER'S EVIDENCE SUBMITTED WITH PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE



Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1) and the Federal Rules of Evidence ("FRE"), Petitioner Celltrion, Inc. ("Celltrion") objects to the admissibility of evidence filed by Patent Owner Genentech, Inc. on September 6, 2017 with its Patent Owner Preliminary Response.

### 1. Exhibits 2007-2009

Celltrion objects to Exhibits 2007-2009 as not authenticated under FRE 901.

# 2. Exhibits 2002, Exhibit 2016

Celltrion objects to Exhibit 2002 at pages 34-36 under FRE 106 as including a document that is incomplete, under FRE 901 as lacking authentication, and under FRE 802 as inadmissible hearsay, not within any hearsay exception. Celltrion also objects to paragraphs 38, 39, and 45-48 of Exhibit 2016 for the same reasons for relying on the objected-to document, and because these paragraphs include inadmissible hearsay under FRE 802, not within any hearsay exception.

Celltrion further objects to paragraphs 23, 49, 50, and 52 of Exhibit 2016 under FRE 602 as lacking foundation.

## 3. Exhibit 2006, Exhibit 2018

Celltrion objects to Exhibit 2006 at pages 83 and 84 under FRE 901 as including documents that lack authentication.

Celltrion also objects to paragraphs 14, 19, and 21 of Exhibit 2018 under FRE 901 for the same reasons for relying on these objected-to documents, and



because these paragraphs include inadmissible hearsay under FRE 802, not within any hearsay exception.

Celltrion further objects to paragraphs 21 and 23 of Exhibit 2018 under FRE 602 for lacking foundation.

# 4. Exhibit 2003, Exhibit 2013, Exhibit 2014, Exhibit 2015, Exhibit 2017

Celltrion objects to Exhibit 2012 and 2013 under FRE 602 as lacking foundation, and to paragraphs 72 and 40 of Exhibit 2017 for the same reasons for respectively relying on the objected-to documents.

Celltrion objects to Exhibit 2014 under FRE 901 as not authenticated, under FRE 602 as lacking foundation, and under FRE 802 as inadmissible hearsay, not within any hearsay exception. Celltrion further objects to paragraph 56 of Exhibit 2017 for relying on this objected-to document.

Celltrion objects to Exhibit 2015 as not authenticated under FRE 901.

Celltrion further objects to this exhibit because it is inadmissible hearsay under FRE 802, not within any hearsay exception. Celltrion objects to paragraphs 15 and 78 of Exhibit 2017 for relying on the objected-to document.

Celltrion objects to Exhibit 2003 at pages 20-22 under FRE 901 as including a document that lacks authentication and is inadmissible hearsay under FRE 802, not within any hearsay exception. Celltrion also objects to paragraphs 23-29 of Exhibit 2017 for the same reasons for relying on the objected-to document, and



because these paragraphs include inadmissible hearsay under FRE 802, not within any hearsay exception.

Further, Exhibit 2003 includes pages at the end of the document that lack internal page numbers. The identity of these pages is unclear, and therefore, Celltrion objects to this exhibit as not authenticated under FRE 901.

# 5. Exhibit 2021

Exhibit 2021 published after the earliest filing date of the '213 patent and therefore cannot provide any information as to the knowledge of a person of ordinary skill in the art or the state of the art as of the date of the patent. Therefore, Celltrion objects to this exhibit under FRE 401 and 402 because it is not relevant under FRE 401 and therefore not admissible under FRE 402. Celltrion further objects to this exhibit under FRE 403 because any probative value is substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, wasting time, or needlessly presenting cumulative evidence. Celltrion further objects to this exhibit as not authenticated under FRE 901. Celltrion further objects to this exhibit because it is inadmissible hearsay under FRE 802, not within any hearsay exception.

## 6. Exhibit 2023

Exhibit 2023 published after the earliest filing date of the '213 patent and therefore cannot provide any information as to the knowledge of a person of



ordinary skill in the art or the state of the art as of the date of the patent. Therefore, Celltrion objects to this exhibit under FRE 401 and 402 because it is not relevant under FRE 401 and therefore not admissible under FRE 402. Celltrion further objects to this exhibit under FRE 403 because any probative value is substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, wasting time, or needlessly presenting cumulative evidence. Celltrion further objects to this exhibit as not authenticated under FRE 901. Celltrion further objects to this exhibit because it is inadmissible hearsay under FRE 802, not within any hearsay exception.

### 7. Exhibit 2029

There is no evidence in the record establishing the identity of Exhibit 2029. Therefore, Celltrion objects to this exhibit as not authenticated under FRE 901. Celltrion further objects to this exhibit because it is inadmissible hearsay under FRE 802, not within any hearsay exception.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: December 15, 2017 / Cynthia Lambert Hardman /

Cynthia Lambert Hardman (Reg. No.

53,179)

Robert V. Cerwinski (to seek pro hac vice

admission)

GOODWIN PROCTER LLP

620 Eighth Avenue

New York, NY 10018



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

# **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

