UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
Celltrion, Inc. Petitioner,
V.
Genentech, Inc. Patent Owner
Patent No. 6,407,213
Inter Partes Review No. IPR2017-01373

## PETITIONER CELLTRION'S MOTION TO SEAL



Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.14 and 42.54, Petitioner Celltrion, Inc.
respectfully requests that the Board seal portions of Petitioner Celltrion's Amended
Reply in Support of its Motion to Exclude Evidence, filed herewith. The portions
of Petitioner Celltrion's Amended Reply in Support of its Motion to Exclude
Evidence that Petitioner seeks to seal reflect information that Patent Owner has
marked Confidential pursuant to the Modified Default Standing Protective Order.

### I. Good Cause Exists for Sealing the Documents

Although "the default rule is that all papers filed in an *inter partes* review are open and available for access by the public," a party may file a motion to seal confidential information that is protected from disclosure. *Garmin v. Cuozzo*, IPR2012-00001, Paper No. 34 (PTAB March 14, 2013). "The standard for granting a motion to seal is 'for good cause." *Id.* (quoting 37 C.F.R § 42.54). The Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48756, 48760 (Aug. 14, 2012), states that the "rules identify confidential information in a manner consistent with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure ("FRCP") 26(c)(1)(G), which provides for protective orders for trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information."

Petitioner is filing portions of Petitioner Celltrion's Amended Reply in Support of its Motion to Exclude Evidence under seal, as it specifically relies on and describes Exhibits that Patent Owner has designated as "PROTECTIVE"



ORDER MATERIAL" pursuant to the Modified Default Standing Protective Order entered by the Board (Paper 17 at 3; Ex. 2030). A redacted version of Petitioner Celltrion's Amended Reply in Support of its Motion to Exclude Evidence has been publicly filed. Based on Patent Owner's designations, there is good cause to seal the designated information.

# II. Certification of Conference with Opposing Party Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.54

The parties have conferred, and Patent Owner does not oppose this motion.

THEREFORE, Petitioner respectfully requests that the Board grant this

Motion to Seal.

Dated: July 19, 2018 Respectfully submitted,

#### / Cynthia Lambert Hardman/

Cynthia Lambert Hardman (Reg. No. 53,179) Elizabeth J. Holland (Reg. No. 47,657) Robert V. Cerwinski (admitted *pro hac vice*) Linnea P. Cipriano (Reg. No. 67,729) GOODWIN PROCTER LLP The New York Times Building 620 Eighth Avenue New York, NY 10018 (212) 813-8800 (telephone) (212) 355-3333 (facsimile)

Sarah J. Fischer (Reg. No. 74,104) GOODWIN PROCTER LLP 100 Northern Avenue Boston, MA, 02210 (617) 570-3908 (telephone)



## IPR2017-01373 Petitioner's Motion to Seal

(617) 801-8991 (facsimile)

Counsel for Petitioner



#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.6(e), I certify that on this 19<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2018, I caused a copy of this PETITIONER CELLTRION'S MOTION TO SEAL by email on the lead and back up counsel for Patent Owners at:

David Cavanaugh (David.Cavanaugh@wilmerhale.com)

Lauren V. Blakely (lauren.blakely@wilmerhale.com)

Robert Gunther (Robert.Gunther@wilmerhale.com)

Adam Brausa (abrausa@durietangri.com)

Daralyn Durie (ddurie@durietangri.com)

Andrew Danford (Andrew.Danford@wilmerhale.com)

Lisa Pirozzolo (Lisa.Pirozzolo@wilmerhale.com)

Kevin Prussia (Kevin.Prussia@wilmerhale.com)

By: /Cynthia Lambert Hardman/

Cynthia Lambert Hardman (Reg. No. 53,179)

GOODWIN PROCTER LLP

The New York Times Building

620 Eighth Avenue

New York, NY 10018

(212) 813-8800 (telephone)

(212) 355-3333 (facsimile)

