Case No. IPR2017-01373 Declaration of Dr. Ian A. Wilson

Filed on behalf of Patent Owner Genentech, Inc. by:

David L. Cavanaugh (Reg. No. 36,476) Robert J. Gunther, Jr. (*Pro Hac Vice*) Lisa J. Pirozzolo (*Pro Hac Vice*) Kevin S. Prussia (*Pro Hac Vice*) Andrew J. Danford (*Pro Hac Vice*) WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP 1875 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Washington, DC 20006

DOCKE

Adam R. Brausa (Reg. No. 60,287) Daralyn J. Durie (*Pro Hac Vice*) DURIE TANGRI LLP 217 Leidesdorff Street San Francisco, CA 94111

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

CELLTRION, INC., Petitioner,

v.

GENENTECH, INC., Patent Owner.

Case IPR2017-01373 Patent No. 6,407,213

EXPERT DECLARATION OF DR. IAN A. WILSON

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INT	RODUCTION AND BACKGROUND1
	A.	Qualifications and Experience
	B.	Compensation5
	C.	Prior Expert Testimony5
II.	LEC	GAL PRINCIPLES ON ANTICIPATION AND OBVIOUSNESS
III.	BAG	CKGROUND OF THE TECHNOLOGY9
	A.	Proteins, Amino Acids, and Antibodies10
		1. Proteins are Made of Amino Acids10
		2. Antibodies are a Type of Protein
	B.	Research on Making Antibodies20
		1. Early Efforts to Produce Antibodies
		2. Chimeric Antibodies
		3. Humanized Antibodies
IV.	The	'213 Patent
	A.	The Invention
	B.	Claims 4, 12, 30, 31, 33, 42, 60, 62-67, 69, and 71-79
	C.	Advantages of the '213 Invention
	D.	Priority Application Support40
V.	PER	SON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART
VI.	CLA	AIM CONSTRUCTION
	A.	"Consensus Human Variable Domain"

Case No. IPR2017-01373 Declaration of Dr. Ian A. Wilson

	В.	"Lacks Immunogenicity Compared To A Non-Human Parent Antibody"	2
	C.	Other Claim Terms	3
VII.	SUM	MARY OF THE ASSERTED PRIOR ART	3
	А.	Queen 19895.	3
	B.	Queen 199057	7
	C.	Kurrle64	4
	D.	Chothia & Lesk68	8
	E.	Chothia 1985	9
	F.	Furey70	0
	G.	Hudziak7	1
	H.	Tramontano7	1
	I.	Protein Data Bank72	3
	J.	Kabat 198774	4
VIII.	OPIN	NONS REGARDING THE ASSERTED PRIOR ART7	5
	А.	IPR2017-01374 Grounds 1 and 3: Claims 66-67, 71-72, 75-76, and 78 are not Anticipated or Obvious Because the Asserted References Fail to Teach Non-Human CDRs "Which Bind Antigen Incorporated into a Human Antibody Variable Domain."	7
	В.	IPR2017-01374 Grounds 2 and 3: Claims 4, 62, 64, and 69 are not Anticipated or Obvious Because Queen 1990 Fails to Teach Non-Human CDRs "Which Bind Antigen Incorporated into a Human Antibody Variable Domain."	0
	C.	IPR2017-01373 Grounds 1-7: Claims 4, 12, 33, 42, 60, 62, 64- 67, 69, and 71-79 Would not have Been Obvious Based on the	

		Database Combined with Either Queen 1989 or Queen	
	1.	A Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art Would Not Combine the PDB Database with Queen 1990 or Queen 1989 as Proposed by Dr. Riechmann	
	2.	The PDB Database, Combined with Either Queen 1990 or Queen 1989, Fails to Teach a Humanized Antibody that Incorporates Non-Human CDRs that Bind Antigen90	
D.	IPR2017-01373 Grounds 1-4: None of the Asserted References Disclose or Sugggest the Substitutions Recited in Claims 75-77, 79, and 65		
E.	None	017-01374 Grounds 1-3 and IPR2017-01373 Grounds 1-2: e of the Asserted References Disclose or Suggest the ks Immunogenicity" Limitations of Claim 6395	
F.	and 7	017-01374 Grounds 2-3, and IPR2017-01373 Grounds 2, 5 7: None of the Asserted References Discloses or Suggests Consensus" Limitations of Claims 4, 33, 62, 64, and 69100	
	1.	Queen 1990101	
	2.	Queen 1989 in View of Kabat 1987105	
	3.	Lack of Predictability of the Art Prevented Any Reasonable Expectation of Success in Creating a Consensus Variable Domain Based on Queen 1990 or Queen 1989 in View of Kabat 1987	
G.	Perso Fram and 7	017-01374 Grounds 3-8: Petitioner has not Shown that a on of Ordinary Skill Would have Selected the Specific nework Substitutions Recited in Claims 12, 42, 60, 65-67, 71-79 from the Broad Genus of Potential Substitutions gedly Disclosed in the Asserted References	
	1.	The Asserted References Disclose a Vast Number of Potential Substitutions Without any Real Guidance that Would Lead a Skilled Artisan to the Specific Substitutions in the '213 Patent Claims	

Η.	IPR2017-01374 Grounds 4-7: A Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art Would Not Rely on the References that Petitioner			
	Combines with Kurrle and Queen to Arrive at the Limitations			
	Recited in Claims 12, 73, 74, 77, 79, and 65			
	1. Ground 4: Furey117			
	2. Ground 5: Chothia & Lesk and Chothia 1985119			
I.	IPR2017-01374 Ground 5 and IPR2017-01373 Grounds 2-4:			
	The Asserted References do not Render Obvious the "Up to 3-			
	Fold More" Binding Affinity Limitation of Claim 65124			
J.	IPR2017-01374 Grounds 6-8 and IPR2017-01373 Grounds 6-7:			
	the Asserted References do not Disclose or Suggest a			
	Humanized Antibody with the Framework Substitutions			
	Recited in Claims 30-31, 33, 42, and 60 that Binds p185 ^{HER2} 126			
Secondary Considerations128				
~~~~				

IX.

## DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.