

Patent Owner's Motion For Admission *Pro Hac Vice* Of Kevin S. Prussia

Filed on behalf of Patent Owner Genentech, Inc. by

David L. Cavanaugh (Reg. No. 36,476)
Rebecca A. Whitfield (Reg. No. 73,756)
Robert J. Gunther, Jr. (*Pro Hac Vice* pending)
Lisa J. Pirozzolo (*Pro Hac Vice* pending)
Kevin S. Prussia (*Pro Hac Vice* pending)
Andrew J. Danford (*Pro Hac Vice* pending)
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING
HALE AND DORR LLP
1875 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20006

Adam R. Brausa (Reg No.
60,287
Daralyn J. Durie (*Pro Hac
Vice* pending)
DURIE TANGRI LLP
217 Leidesdorff Street
San Francisco, CA 94111

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

CELLTRION, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

GENENTECH, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2017-01373
U.S. Patent 6,407,213

**PATENT OWNER'S UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR ADMISSION
PRO HAC VICE OF KEVIN S. PRUSSIA**

I. Statement of Precise Relief Requested

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), Patent Owner Genentech, Inc. (“Genentech”) requests that the Patent Trial and Appeal Board (the “Board”) admit Kevin S. Prussia *pro hac vice* in this proceeding, IPR2017-01373. Petitioner Celltrion Inc. has indicated that it does not oppose this motion.

II. Statement of Facts Showing Good Cause for the Board to Recognize Counsel *Pro Hac Vice* During the Proceeding

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), the Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner and to any other conditions as the Board may impose. Section 42.10(c) indicates that “where lead counsel is a registered practitioner, a motion to appear *pro hac vice* by counsel who is not a registered practitioner may be granted upon a showing that counsel is an experienced litigating attorney and has an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in the proceeding.” The facts here establish good cause for the Board to recognize Kevin S. Prussia *pro hac vice* in this proceeding.

1. Lead counsel, David L. Cavanaugh, is a registered practitioner. Backup counsel, Adam Brausa and Rebecca Whitfield are also registered practitioners.

Patent Owner's Motion For Admission *Pro Hac Vice* Of Kevin S. Prussia

2. Counsel, Kevin S. Prussia, is an experienced litigator and has an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in the proceeding. Accompanying this motion as Exhibit 2035 is the Kevin S. Prussia in Support of this Motion for Admission *Pro Hac Vice* ("Prussia Decl."). In his declaration,

Mr. Prussia asserts:

I am a member in good standing of the Bars of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts and the State of New York. I am admitted to practice before the U.S. District Court for the District of Massachusetts. I am also admitted to practice before the U.S. Court of Appeals for the First Circuit, and the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. I am also admitted to practice before the Supreme Judicial Court for Suffolk County, Massachusetts, and the State of New York Supreme Court Appellate Division, Third Judicial Dept.

Prussia Decl. ¶ 3 (Ex. 2035). Mr. Prussia also demonstrates that he has a detailed working knowledge of the relevant subject matter through both prior *inter partes review* experience and his familiarity with antibody technologies as a result of participation as counsel in prior antibody-related intellectual property cases on behalf of Genentech. Prussia Decl. ¶¶ 5-6 (Ex. 2035).

3. In his declaration, Mr. Prussia also attests to each of the listed items required by the Order – Authorizing Motion for Pro Hac Vice Admission – 37 C.F.R.

Patent Owner's Motion For Admission *Pro Hac Vice* Of Kevin S. Prussia

§ 42.10 in IPR2013-00639. *See* Prussia Decl. ¶¶ 1-11 (Ex. 2035).

Mr. Prussia attests that he has read and will comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 42. *See id.* ¶ 10. Mr. Prussia further attests that he agrees to be subject to the United States Patent and Trademark Office's Rules of Professional Conduct as set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.* and disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a). *See id.* ¶ 11.

III. Conclusion

For the foregoing reasons, Patent Owner respectfully requests that the Board admit Kevin S. Prussia *pro hac vice* in this proceeding.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: January 9, 2018

By: /David L. Cavanaugh/
David L. Cavanaugh
Reg. No. 36,476
Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP
1875 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Washington, DC 20006

PATENT OWNER'S EXHIBIT LIST
IPR2017-01373

<u>Patent Owner's Exhibit Number</u>	<u>Exhibit Name</u>
2001	Genentech, Inc. Laboratory Notebook No. 10098 (Leonard Presta) PROTECTIVE ORDER MATERIAL
2002	Genentech, Inc. Laboratory Notebook No. 10823 (Leonard Presta) PROTECTIVE ORDER MATERIAL
2003	Genentech, Inc. Laboratory Notebook No. 11268 (Paul Carter) PROTECTIVE ORDER MATERIAL
2004	Genentech, Inc. Laboratory Notebook No. 11643 (Paul Carter) PROTECTIVE ORDER MATERIAL
2005	Genentech, Inc. Laboratory Notebook No. 10840 (John Brady) PROTECTIVE ORDER MATERIAL
2006	Genentech, Inc. Laboratory Notebook No. 11162 (John Brady) PROTECTIVE ORDER MATERIAL
2007	Excerpts from Genentech, Inc. Laboratory Notebook No. 11008 (Ann Rowland) PROTECTIVE ORDER MATERIAL
2008	Excerpts from Genentech, Inc. Laboratory Notebook No. 11297 (Tim Hotaling) PROTECTIVE ORDER MATERIAL
2009	Excerpts from Genentech, Inc. Laboratory Notebook No. 11568 (Monique Carver) PROTECTIVE ORDER MATERIAL
2010	Genentech, Inc. Interoffice Memorandum from Paul Carter to Leonard Presta and Dennis Henner PROTECTIVE ORDER MATERIAL
2011	Genentech, Inc. Interoffice Memorandum from Paul Carter to Leonard Presta PROTECTIVE ORDER MATERIAL
2012	Genentech, Inc. Synthetic DNA Requests PROTECTIVE ORDER MATERIAL
2013	Genentech, Inc. Synthetic DNA Requests PROTECTIVE ORDER MATERIAL

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.