Bitdefender, Inc.,

V.

Uniloc Luxembourg S.A.,

Case IPR2017-01315 (Patent 6,510,466

Hearing Before Mariam L. Quinn, Robert J. Weinschenk, and Jessica C. Kaiser (Presiding)

August 7, 2018



Petition is facially deficient re. means+function l

Board's original Institution Decision (Paper 10 at 17):

a. Claims 15, 16, 22, 23, 35, and 36

Petitioner had not identified sufficient corresponding structure for "measure for installing a plurality of application programs at the server." In its asserted ground, Petitioner addresses the limitations of claims 1, 15, and together. Pet. 28–52. For this limitation, although Petitioner contends Kasso has application programs stored at a server's storage device, Petitioner does not address whether this teaching meets the corresponding structure discussed above (i.e., steps 112–116 of Figure 8 and the associates description (Ex. 1001, 17:55–67) (and their equivalents)). Pet. 30–31.



Objection: Petitioner's Institution Response Brief new arguments/evidence and should be str

In granting additional briefing to address claims newly instituted under SAS, the Board Ordered Petitioner (in Papto identify with particularity the place where each matter argument or evidence) raised in its Institution Response B was previously addressed in its Petition (Paper 1).

- There is not a single citation in the Institution Response (Paper 17) to the Petition (Paper 1).
- ✓ Instead, the Institution Response Brief (Paper 17) argue Petitioner should not be required to comply with the Bo order: "Forcing the Petitioner to supply evidence with the petition for arguments not yet raised would, in fact, req them to anticipate all possible arguments."
- ✓ Thus, Petitioner concedes that it relies on new arguments/evidence and thus contravened the Board's



Claim 1

 A method for management of application programs on a network including a server and a client comprising the steps of:

installing a plurality of application programs at the server receiving at the server a login request from a user at the client;

establishing a user desktop interface at the client associated with the user responsive to the login request from the user, the desktop interface including a plurality of display regions associated with a set of the plurality of application programs installed at the server for which the user is authorized;

receiving at the server a selection of one of the plurality o application programs from the user desktop interface; and

providing an instance of the selected one of the plurality of application programs to the client for execution responsive to the selection.



Kasso's non-networked computer system is ur

Claim 1 is directed to "[a] method for management of appliprograms on a network including a server and a client . . . "

As Dr. DiEuliis testified, the non-networked computer syste Fig. 1 of Kasso is readily distinguishable from the claim lan

networked environment. Id.at 4:1-43. A POSITA would have understood FIG. 1 to describe a single, normal computer system, such as a personal computer or workstation. Moreover, a POSITA would have understood that the computer system of FIG. 1 would have been used by a person (i.e., user) and is not connected to a network. The embodiment described in FIG. 1 demonstrates that Kasso is not limited to computer networks because Kasso states the invention may be practiced in a single, stand-alone computer that is not connected to a network. Thus, Kasso is different from the '466 patent, which is directed to computer networks, and cannot be implemented on a single-computer.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

