UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

BITDEFENDER INC. Petitioner

V.

UNILOC USA, INC. Patent Owner

Case IPR2017-01315 Patent 6,510,466

Title: Methods, Systems and Computer Program Products for Centralized Management of Application Programs on a Network

PETITIONER'S INSTITUTION RESPONSE BRIEF



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. IN	TRODUCTION	1
II. SC	COPE OF INSTITUTION RESPONSE BRIEF	1
III. W	VAIVER, BURDEN OF PROOF	2
IV. M	NATTERS IN WHICH THE BOARD ERRED	3
A. S	Structure not clearly linked to "means for installing"	3
B. I	If "means for installing" does not come within the <i>In re Katz</i> exception, the	
newl	y-instituted claims are invalid as indefinite	7
C. I	Even under the Board's construction, Kasso in view of Raduchel render	
obvio	ous systems/CRMs including means for installing as claimed	8
V. CO	ONCLUSION10	0



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Case/Statutes	Page		
B. Braun Med., Inc. v. Abbott Labs., 124 F.3d 1419, 1424 (Fed. Cir. 1997)	6		
Belden Inc. v. Berk-Tek LLC, 805 F.3d 1064, 1080 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	2		
In re Cuozzo Speed Techs, LLC, 793 F.3d 1268, 1273 (Fed. Cir 2015)	7		
Dell Inc. v. Acceleron, LLC, 818 F.3d 1293, 1301 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	1		
Genzyme Therapeutic Prods. LP v. Biomarin Pharm. Inc., 825 F.3d 1360, 1365-			
1367 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	2		
LaRose Indus. LLC v. Capriola Corp., IPR2013-00120, Paper 24 at 2 (PTAB Oct.			
17. 2013)	2		
Novartis AG v. Torrent Pharms. Ltd., No. 2016-1352, slip. op. at 13-15 (Fed. Cir.			
2017	2		
SAS Institute, Inc. v. Complementsoft, LLC, 825 F.3d 1341 (Fed. Cir. 2016) 2			
SAS Institute, Inc. v. Iancu, 2018 WL 1914661 (U.S. Apr. 24, 2018).	1		
Volkswagen Grp. of Am., Inc. v. Emerachem Holdings, LLC, IPR2014-01555			
Paper 36 at 5 (PTAB Oct. 9, 2015)	1		
5 USC 554 (b)(3), (c), (d)	1, 2		
35 USC §112 p6	6		
35 USC §316(e)	2		
35 USC §311(b)	7		



I. INTRODUCTION

Petitioner appreciates the opportunity afforded to the parties to address the institution of claims 15-17, 22-24, 30, and 35-37 in light of *SAS Institute, Inc. v. Iancu*, 2018 WL 1914661, at *10 (U.S. Apr. 24, 2018). While the present brief is not specifically authorized by the rules, both the present brief and the original *SAS Order* (Paper 14) are grounded in principles of due process¹ that support the parties' right to be heard².

II. SCOPE OF INSTITUTION RESPONSE BRIEF

In the Order dated May 25, 2018 (Paper 15), the Panel specified that Petitioner's Institution Response Brief is, *inter alia*, for identifying matters in which Petitioner believes the Board erred in its institution decision. Thus, the present brief is broader than a request for rehearing.

Forcing the Petitioner to supply evidence with their petition for arguments not yet raised would, in fact, require them to anticipate all possible arguments. *Volkswagen Grp. of Am., Inc. v. Emerachem Holdings, LLC*, IPR2014-01555, Paper 36 at 5 (PTAB Oct. 9, 2015). The Federal Circuit has interpreted 5 USC §554(b)(3) in the context of IPR proceedings to mean that "an agency may not

² A waivable right does not impose an obligation to exercise that right.



1

¹ Codified at least in part in the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 USC 554 (b)(3), (c), (d). *Dell Inc. v. Acceleron, LLC*, 818 F.3d 1293, 1301 (Fed. Cir. 2016).

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

