### PUBLIC VERSION

### UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C.

In the Matter of

Δ

CERTAIN MOBILE AND PORTABLE ELECTRONIC DEVICES INCORPORATING HAPTICS (INCLUDING SMARTPHONES AND LAPTOPS) AND COMPONENTS THEREOF Inv. No. 337-TA-1004 Inv. No. 337-TA-990 (Consolidated)

# ORDER 27: CONSTRUING THE TERMS OF THE ASSERTED CLAIMS OF THE PATENT AT ISSUE

(February 2, 2017)

### PUBLIC VERSION

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION1
II.	IN GENERAL2
III.	RELEVANT LAW
IV.	LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART
V.	THE '051 PATENT 7   A. Overview
VI.	THE '356 PATENT19A.Overview
<b>VII</b> .	THE '488 PATENT 31   A. Overview
VIII.	THE '260 PATENT 42   A. Overview

**DOCKET A L A R M** Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

IX.	THE '507 PATENT	
	A. Overview	
	B. Claim Construction	
	1. Construction of the Disputed Claim Terms	
	a) "pressure"	
	b) "pseudo pressure"	
-	c) "determining a press if the change in pressure	
	than a change in pressure threshold"	
		<i>c</i> <b>-</b>
Х.	THE '571 PATENT	
	A. Overview	
	B. Claim Construction	59
	1. Construction of the Disputed Claim Term	
	a) "dynamic interaction parameter"	59
XI. ·	THE '710 PATENT	66
<b>Л</b> І. ,		
	A. Overview	
	B. Claim Construction	
	1. Construction of the Undisputed Claim Term	
	a) "first/second haptic effect"	67
	2. Construction of the Disputed Claim Terms	67
	a) "determine a command associated with the user in	put"67
	b) "otherwise"	

entre entre

÷ 1

٠

Α

DOCKE.

Δ

,

#### PUBLIC VERSION

### I. INTRODUCTION

Complainant Immersion Corporation ("Immersion") filed a complaint on February 11, 2016. The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 based on the importation and sale of certain mobile electronic devices incorporating haptics and components thereof that purportedly infringe U.S. Patent Nos. 8,773,356 ("the '356 patent"); 8,619,051 ("the '051 patent"); and 8,659,571 ("the '571 patent"). 81 Fed. Reg. 14,889-890 (Mar. 18, 2016). The investigation was instituted on March 18, 2016. *Id.* The Notice of Institution named Apple Inc. ("Apple"), AT&T Mobility LLC ("AT&T"), and AT&T Inc.<sup>1</sup> as respondents. *Id.* at 14,890.

Immersion filed another complaint on May 5, 2016. The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 based on the importation and sale of certain mobile and portable electronic devices incorporating haptics and components thereof that purportedly infringe U.S. Patent Nos. 8,749,507 ("the '507 patent"); 7,808,488 ("the '488 patent"); 7,336,260 ("the '260 patent"); and 8,581,710 ("the '710 patent"). 81 Fed. Reg. 37,210-211 (June 9, 2016). The Commission instituted the investigation on June 9, 2016. *Id.* Apple and AT&T (collectively, "Respondents") were named as respondents. The Notice of Institution authorized the Chief Administrative Law Judge to "consolidate Inv. No. 337-TA-990 and this investigation if he deems it appropriate." *Id.* at 37,211. The investigations were consolidated on June 9, 2016. (*See* Order No. 3.)

Pursuant to Ground Rule 5A, a *Markman* hearing was held October 18, 2016. Prior to the hearing, Immersion, Respondents, and the Commission Investigative Staff ("Staff") met and conferred in an effort to reduce the number of disputed claim terms to a minimum. The parties also filed initial and reply claim construction briefs, wherein each party offered its construction

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> AT&T Inc. has been terminated from this Investigation. (*See* Notice of Comm'n Determination Not to Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation as to one Respondent on the Basis of Withdrawal of the Compl. (May 4, 2016).)

for the claim terms in dispute, along with support for its proposed interpretation. After the hearing and pursuant to Order No.9, the parties submitted an updated Joint Claim Construction Chart.<sup>2</sup>

### II. IN GENERAL

The claim terms construed in this Order are done so for the purposes of this section 337 Investigation. Those terms not in dispute need not be construed. *See Vanderlande Indus. Nederland BV v. Int'l Trade Comm'n*, 366 F.3d 1311, 1323 (Fed. Cir. 2004) (noting that the administrative law judge need only construe disputed claim terms).

### III. RELEVANT LAW

"An infringement analysis entails two steps. The first step is determining the meaning and scope of the patent claims asserted to be infringed. The second step is comparing the properly construed claims to the device accused of infringing." *Markman v. Westview Instruments, Inc.*, 52 F.3d 967, 976 (Fed. Cir. 1995) (*en banc*) (internal citations omitted), *aff'd*, 517 U.S. 370 (1996). Claim construction is a "matter of law exclusively for the court." *Id.* at 970-71. "The construction of claims is simply a way of elaborating the normally terse claim language in order to understand and explain, but not to change, the scope of the claims." *Embrex, Inc. v. Serv. Eng'g Corp.*, 216 F.3d 1343, 1347 (Fed. Cir. 2000).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For convenience, the briefs and chart submitted by the parties are referred to hereafter as:

CMIB	Immersion's Initial Markman Brief
CMRB	Immersion's Reply Markman Brief
RMIB	Respondents' Initial Markman Brief
RMRB	Respondents' Reply Markman Brief
SMIB	Staff's Initial Markman Brief
SMRB	Staff's Reply Markman Brief
JC	Updated Joint Proposed Claim Construction Chart

- 2 -

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

# DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

### **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.