

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

UBISOFT, INC. AND SQUARE ENIX, INC.,
Petitioners,

v.

UNILOC USA, INC. AND UNILOC LUXEMBOURG, S.A.,
Patent Owners.

Case No. IPR2017-01291
U.S. Patent No. 6,728,766

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW

OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,728,766

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	REQUIREMENTS FOR <i>INTER PARTES</i> REVIEW UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104.....	1
	A. Grounds for Standing Under 37 C.F.R. §42.104(a).....	1
	B. Identification of Challenge Under 37 C.F.R. §42.104(b) and Relief Requested.....	1
	C. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art.....	2
	D. Claim Construction	2
	1. “means for maintaining license management policy information” (Claim 7).....	3
	2. “means for receiving at the license management server a request for a license availability of a selected one of a plurality of application programs from a user at a client” (Claim 7)	3
	3. “means for determining the license availability for the selected one of the plurality of application programs for the user based on the maintained license management policy information” (Claim 7).....	4
	4. “means for providing an unavailability indication to the client responsive to the selection if the license availability indicates that a license is not available for the user or an availability indication if the licensed availability indicates that a license is available for the user” (Claim 7).....	4
III.	OVERVIEW OF THE ‘766 PATENT	5
	A. Description of the Alleged Invention of the ‘766 Patent	5
	B. Prosecution History of the ‘766 Patent	6
IV.	THERE IS A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE	8
	A. Olsen Anticipates Claims 1, 3, 7, 9, 13, and 15 Under §102(a) and (e)	8
V.	MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(A)(1)	32
	A. Real Party-In-Interest and Related Matters.....	32
	B. Lead and Back-Up Counsel Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)	32
	C. Payment of Fees Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.103	33

VI. CONCLUSION..... 33

I. INTRODUCTION

Ubisoft, Inc. and Square Enix, Inc. (“Petitioners”) requests *Inter Partes* Review (“IPR”) of claims 1, 3, 7, 9, 13, and 15 (“the Challenged Claims”) of U.S. Patent No. 6,728,766 (“‘766 Patent”). EX1001.

II. REQUIREMENTS FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104

A. Grounds for Standing Under 37 C.F.R. §42.104(a)

Petitioners certify that the ‘766 Patent is available for IPR and that no Petitioner is barred or estopped from requesting this IPR. Specifically, each Petitioner states it: (1) is not the owner of the ‘766 Patent; (2) has not filed a civil action challenging the validity of any claim of the ‘766 Patent; (3) this Petition is timely filed less than one year after it was served with a complaint alleging infringement of the ‘766 Patent; and (4) this Petition is filed more than nine months after the ‘766 Patent issued.

B. Identification of Challenge Under 37 C.F.R. §42.104(b) and Relief Requested

In view of the prior art, evidence, and discussion of claim limitations below, the Challenged Claims of the ‘766 Patent are unpatentable and should be cancelled. 37 C.F.R. §42.104(b)(1). The review of the Challenged Claims of the ‘766 Patent is governed by pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. §§102 and 103.

Proposed Statutory Rejections for the '646 Patent

Claims: 1, 3, 7, 9, 13, and 15 are Anticipated under §§102(a) and/or (e) by US 5,758,069 (“Olsen”) [EX1002]

C. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art

Petitioners contend that a person of ordinary skill in the field of computer networking at the time of the alleged invention, December 14, 1998, (“POSITA”) would have had at least an undergraduate degree in computer science, computer engineering, or a related field or an equivalent number of years of working experience and at least one to two years of experience in networking environments, including at least some experience with management of application programs in a network environment.

D. Claim Construction

A claim subject to IPR receives the “broadest reasonable construction in light of the specification of the patent in which it appears.” 37 C.F.R. §42.100(b). Unless otherwise noted below, Petitioners propose, for purposes of this proceeding only, that the claim terms of the '766 Patent are presumed to take on their ordinary and customary meaning that the term would have to one of ordinary skill in the art. The claim construction analysis is not, and should not be viewed as a concession by Petitioners as to the proper scope of any claim term in litigation. These assumptions are not a waiver of any argument in any litigation that claim terms in

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.