

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK
OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

UBISOFT, INC. AND SQUARE ENIX, INC.,
Petitioners v.

UNILOC USA, INC. and UNILOC LUXEMBOURG S. A.
Patent Owner

Case IPR2017-01290
U.S. Patent 6,510,466

**PATENT OWNER'S UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF
TIME TO FILE THE PRELIMINARY RESPONSE
PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.5**

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.5, Patent Owner submits the present Unopposed Motion for Extension of Time, requesting that the Board extend the due date for the Preliminary Response four (4) days—from August 5, 2017 until August 9, 2017. Petitioners do not oppose Patent Owner's Motion. The Unopposed Motion is supported by a showing of good cause. Thus, Patent Owner respectfully requests that the Board grant the Unopposed Motion.

The Board has the authority to modify the due date for the preliminary response on a showing of good cause. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.5(c)(1); 37 C.F.R. § 42.5(c)(2). Here,

the facts and circumstances surrounding this case, as discussed below, support a showing of good cause for extending the due date for the preliminary response.

The following IPRs were all filed on April 24, 2017: IPR2017-01315, IPR2017-01290, and IPR2017-01291. These IPRs challenge the same set of patents concerning ongoing litigation between the Patent Owner and Petitioners. Based on the slightly varied date of the PTAB notices, the patent owner preliminary response dates are as follows:

IPR2017-01315: August 9, 2017

IPR2017-01290: August 5, 2017

IPR2017-01291: August 9, 2017

Patent owner seeks to synchronize the patent owner preliminary response dates to August 9 in order to address all at the same time.

Patent Owner submits that the extension period of four days is reasonable, and will not adversely impact the remaining schedule of the proceeding if an inter partes review is instituted. See *Sony Mobile Communications (USA) Inc. v. Adaptix, Inc.*, IPR2014-01525, Paper 10.

For the foregoing reasons, the present Unopposed Motion is supported by a showing of good cause warranting the extension of the due date for the preliminary response. Accordingly, Patent Owner respectfully requests that the Board grant the Unopposed Motion.

Date: July 7, 2017

Respectfully submitted,

By: ~~/s/Brett Mangrum (Reg No. 64,783)~~

Brett Mangrum, Reg. No. 64,783
Ryan Loveless, Reg No. 51,970
Etheridge Law Group
2600 E. Southlake Blvd., Ste. 120-324
Southlake, TX 76092
brett@etheridgelaw.com
469-401-2659
ryan@etheridgelaw.com
972-292-8303

Sean D. Burdick Reg. No. 51,513
Uniloc USA, Inc.
7160 Dallas Parkway, Ste. 380
Plano, TX 75024
sean.burdick@unilocusa.com
972-905-9580

*Attorneys for UNILOC USA, INC. and
UNILOC LUXEMBOURG S. A.*

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that the foregoing PATENT OWNER'S UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE THE PRELIMINARY RESPONSE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.5 was served on the Petitioners' counselors of record by PRPS electronic notification, as agreed to by the parties:

Eric A. Buresh, Reg. No. 50,394;
eric.buresh@eriseip.com

Mark C. Lang, Reg. No. 55, 356;
mark.lang@eriseip.com

Kathleen D. Fitterling, Reg. No. 62,950;
kathleen.fitterling@eriseip.com