

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

International Business Machines Corporation,
Petitioner,
v.

EnvisionIT, LLC,
Patent Owner

Case No.: IPR2017-01247
Patent 8,438,221

**PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE TO PETITION FOR
INTER PARTES REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,438,221**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. U.S. Patent No. 8,438,221	1
II. Claim Construction.....	3
III. The Petition is time barred under 35 U.S.C. § 315(b).....	4
A. Background	5
B. The Complaint in the Litigation Qualifies under Section 315(b)	7
C. The Government is a “Privy” of Petitioner	8
IV. The Board should exercise its authority to deny institution under 35 U.S.C. § 325(d).....	12
V. The Board should not institute on Ground I, because Petitioner has failed to establish that a central reference is prior art.....	15
A. Petitioner bears the burden of showing that alleged prior art was authentic and publically accessible.	15
B. Petitioner has not authenticated CAP 0.5 or established that it was publicly accessible.	16
1. The Internet Archive capture of the incident web page does not establish authenticity or public accessibility.....	17
2. The Botterell Declaration does not establish authenticity or public accessibility of CAP 0.5.	18
3. The web page comments document does not establish authenticity or public accessibility of CAP 0.5.	19
4. The PPW Report does not establish authenticity or public accessibility of CAP 0.5.....	21
VI. There is no reasonable likelihood that Petitioner will prevail on Ground I. .	23
A. The combination does not disclose, suggest, or teach the limitation of claim 19[a].....	24
B. The combination does not disclose, suggest, or teach the limitation of claim 19[b].	31
C. The combination does not disclose, suggest, or teach the limitation of claim 19[c].....	38

VII. There is no reasonable likelihood that Petitioner will prevail on Ground II.41

- A.** Rieger does not disclose the limitation of claim 19[pre].42
- B.** Rieger does not disclose the limitation of claim 19[c].....46

VIII. There is no reasonable likelihood that Petitioner will prevail on Ground III.52

- A.** The combination of Rieger and NSTC does not disclose, suggest, or teach the limitation of claim 19[c]......52

IX. Conclusion54

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases	Page(s)
<i>Alcon Research, Ltd. v. Neev</i> , Case No. IPR2014-00217, slip op. (PTAB May 9, 2014)	7
<i>AM General LLC v. UUSI, LLC</i> , Case No. IPR2016-01049, slip op. (PTAB Nov. 7, 2016)	10, 11
<i>In re Baxter Travenol Labs.</i> , 952 F.2d 388 (Fed. Cir. 1991)	30, 37
<i>Bruckelmyer v. Ground Heaters, Inc.</i> , 445 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2006)	18
<i>Conopco Inc. v. Proctor & Gamble Co.</i> , Case No. IPR2014-00628, slip op. (PTAB Mar. 20, 2015).....	12, 13
<i>Cordis Corp. v. Boston Scientific Corp.</i> , 561 F.3d 1319 (Fed. Cir. 2009)	16
<i>In re Cronyn</i> , 890 F.2d 1158 (Fed. Cir. 1989)	15
<i>Groupon, Inc. v. Blue Calypso, LLC</i> , Case No. CBM2013-00033, slip op (PTAB Dec. 17, 2014)	18
<i>In re Hoch</i> , 428 F.2d 1341 (CCPA 1970)	29
<i>In re Kahn</i> , 441 F.3d 988 (Fed. Cir. 2006)	29
<i>Karsten Mfg. Corp. v. Cleveland Golf Co.</i> , 242 F.3d 1376 (Fed. Cir. 2001)	42
<i>Lake Cable v. Windy City</i> , Case No. IPR2013-00528, slip op (PTAB Feb. 19, 2014)	29, 36
<i>Microsoft Corp. v. Proxyconn, Inc.</i> , Case No. IPR2012-0002, slip op. (PTAB Dec. 21, 2012).....	23

<i>Neil Ziegman, N.P.Z., Inc. v. Stephens,</i> Case No. IPR2015-01860, slip op. (PTAB Feb. 24, 2016)	13
<i>Novak v. Tucows, Inc.,</i> No. 06-CV-1909 (JFB) (ARL), 2007 WL 922306 (E.D.N.Y. Mar. 26, 2007), <i>aff'd</i> , 330 F. App'x 204 (2d Cir. 2009)	17
<i>Servicenow, Inc., v. Hewlett-Packard Co.,</i> Case No. IPR2015-00716, slip op. (PTAB Aug. 26, 2015)	15, 16
<i>Shenzhen Huiding Technology Co., Ltd. v. Synaptics Inc.,</i> Case IPR2015-01741, slip op. (PTAB Aug. 7, 2015)	25
<i>Specht v. Google Inc.,</i> 758 F.Supp.2d 570 (N.D. Ill. 2010).....	17, 19
<i>SRI Int'l, Inc. v. Internet Security Sys., Inc.,</i> 511 F.3d 1186 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	18
<i>Standard Innovation Corp. v. Lelo, Inc.,</i> Case No. IPR2014-00148, slip op. (PTAB Apr. 23, 2015)	16
<i>Unified Patents v. PersonalWeb Technologies,</i> Case No. IPR2014-00702, slip op. (PTAB July 24, 2014).....	13, 15
<i>United States v. EnvisionIT, LLC,</i> Case No. IPR2017-00160, slip op. (PTAB May 2, 2017)	7
<i>United States v. Iris Corp. Berhad,</i> Case No. IPR2016-00497, slip op. (PTAB July 25, 2016).....	7
<i>Verdegaal Bros. v. Union Oil Co. of California,</i> 814 F.2d 628 (Fed. Cir. 1987)	42
Statutes	
28 U.S.C. § 610 (2015)	7
28 U.S.C. §1498(a) (2015).....	6, 15
35 U.S.C. § 102(b) (2015)	20
35 U.S.C. § 235 (2015)	12

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.