

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE,
Petitioner,

v.

ENVISIONIT, LLC,
Patent Owner,

CASE NO. TO BE ASSIGNED
PATENT NO. 8,438,221

**PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW OF
U.S. PATENT NO. 8,438,221
UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311–319 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.100 *et seq.***

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF EXHIBITS..... III

I. INTRODUCTION 1

II. MANDATORY NOTICES (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(A)(1))..... 1

 A. Real Party-In-Interest (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1))..... 1

 B. Related Matters (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)) 4

 C. Lead and Backup Counsel (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)) 5

 D. Service Information (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4)) 6

III. FEES (37 C.F.R. § 42.103)..... 6

IV. REQUIREMENTS FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104 6

 A. Grounds for Standing (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a))..... 6

 B. Citation of Prior Art..... 7

 C. Claims and Statutory Grounds (37 C.F.R. §§ 42.104(b)(1)–(2)) 7

 D. Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art..... 8

 E. Claim Construction (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3))..... 8

 1. “broadcast” 8

 F. Unpatentability of the Construed Claims (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4)) ... 9

 G. Supporting Evidence (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(5))..... 10

V. SUMMARY OF THE ’221 PATENT 10

 A. Overview of the ’221 Patent..... 10

 1. The claim at issue: Claim 19 10

 2. The alleged invention of the ’221 Patent 11

 B. Prosecution History Summary of the ’221 Patent 11

VI. THERE IS A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT PETITIONER WILL PREVAIL WITH RESPECT TO AT LEAST ONE CLAIM OF THE ’221 PATENT 13

 A. The state of the art on February 12, 2004: broadcasting messages to a geographic region was widely known and well as access control systems for assigning user roles and privileges..... 14

B.	Ground I: Claim 19 of the '221 Patent is obvious over Gundlegård Thesis (Ex. 1013) in view of the 3GPP Standard (Ex. 1019), Sandhu (Ex. 1020), and Rieger (Ex. 1017) in view of Zimmers (Ex. 1018). ...	15
1.	Motivation to Combine Gundlegård with the 3GPP Standard...	29
2.	Motivation to Combine Gundlegård with Sandhu	29
3.	Motivation to Combine Gundlegård with Rieger in View of Zimmers.....	30
C.	Ground II: Claim 19 of the '221 Patent is rendered obvious over Mani (Ex. 1014) in view of the 3GPP Standard (Ex. 1019), Sandhu (Ex. 1020), and Rieger (Ex. 1017) in view of Zimmers (Ex. 1018).	33
1.	Motivation to Combine Mani with the 3GPP Standard	42
2.	Motivation to Combine Mani with Sandhu.....	43
3.	Motivation to Combine Mani with Rieger in View of Zimmers	44
VII.	CONCLUSION	46

LIST OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit	Description
Ex. 1001	U.S. Patent No. 8,438,221
Ex. 1002	U.S. Patent No. 7,693,938
Ex. 1003	U.S. Patent No. 8,103,719
Ex. 1004	U.S. Patent No. 8,438,212
Ex. 1005	U.S. Patent No. 9,136,954
Ex. 1006	File History (excerpts) for U.S. Patent No. 7,693,938
Ex. 1007	File History (excerpts) for U.S. Patent No. 8,103,719
Ex. 1008	File History (excerpts) for U.S. Patent No. 8,438,212
Ex. 1009	File History (excerpts) for U.S. Patent No. 8,438,221
Ex. 1010	File History (excerpts) for U.S. Patent No. 9,136,954
Ex. 1011	Declaration of Randall Snyder
Ex. 1012	CV of Randall Snyder
Ex. 1013	Gundlegård Thesis
Ex. 1014	U.S. Patent Application Publ. No. 2002/0184346 A1 to Mani
Ex. 1015	U.S. Patent Application Publ. No. 2002/0188725 A1 to Mani

Exhibit	Description
Ex. 1016	REPORT AND ORDER AND FURTHER NOTICE OF PROPOSED RULE MAKING (FCC Report No. 94-288) (“FCC Report”)
Ex. 1017	U.S. Patent Application Publ. No. 2002/0103892 A1 to Rieger
Ex. 1018	U.S. Patent No. 6,816,878 to Zimmers
Ex. 1019	3GPP Standard (4.2.0)
Ex. 1020	R. Sandhu et al., “Access Control: Principles and Practice,” IEEE Communications Magazine September 1994, Vol. 32 No. 9, pp. 40-48.
Ex. 1021	Declaration of Amelia Nuss
Ex. 1022	Excerpts from Christensen et al., “Wireless Intelligent Networking” Artech House, Inc., 2001
Ex. 1023	E112 - Wireless Emergency Services Whitepaper, CMG Wireless Data Solutions, November 2001
Ex. 1024	Report of the Ministry of Interior, Finland “Information to the Public – Warning and Alarm System” 2000
Ex. 1025	Wood, M. “Disaster Communications” APCO Institute, Inc., June 1996
Ex. 1026	Excerpts from UNIX System V, RELEASE 4, User’s Guide, UNIX System Laboratories, Inc., Prentice-Hall, Inc. 1990
Ex. 1027	U.S. Patent No. 6,112,075 Weiser
Ex. 1028	3GPP Specification Change Request List

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.