

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

Saint Lawrence Communications LLC,
Patent Owner

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW

OF

U.S. PATENT NO. 6,807,524

I.	INTRODUCTION	4
II.	MANDATORY NOTICES	5
	A. Real Party-in-Interest.....	5
	B. Related Matters.....	5
	C. Lead and Back-up Counsel and Service Information	6
III.	GROUND FOR STANDING.....	6
IV.	RELIEF REQUESTED	6
V.	THE REASONS FOR THE REQUESTED RELIEF.....	7
	A. State of the Art before the '524 Patent.....	7
	1. CELP Encoding and Decoding	7
	2. Signal Pre-Emphasis	8
	3. Perceptual Weighting.....	10
	B. The '524 Patent – Overview.....	12
	C. Identification of Challenges	14
	1. Challenged Claims	14
	2. Statutory Ground for Challenges	14
	3. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art.....	15
	4. Claim Construction	15
	i. “pitch codebook search device responsive to said perceptually weighted signal for producing pitch codebook parameters and an innovative search target vector”.....	16
	ii. “innovative codebook search device, responsive to said synthesis filter coefficients and to said innovative search target vector, for producing innovative codebook parameters”.....	17
	iii. “signal forming device for producing an encoded wideband speech signal”	17
	iv. “wideband [speech] signal”	18
	v. “fixed denominator”.....	19
	5. Identification of How the Claims Are Unpatentable	19
	i. Challenge #1: Claims 1, 8, 15, 29, and 36 are invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Salami in view of Kroon.....	19

ii.	Challenge #2: Claims 2-3, 9-10, 16-17, 30-31, and 37-38 are invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Salami in view of Kroon and Makamura	47
iii.	Challenge #3: Claims 6, 13, 20, 34, and 41 are invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Salami in view of Kroon, Lim, and '524 APA.....	55
iv.	Challenge #4: Claims 4-5, 7, 11-12, 14, 18-19, 21, 32-33, 35, 39-40, and 42 are invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Salami in view of Kroon, Lim, '524 APA, and Makamura.....	66
VI.	CONCLUSION.....	74

I. INTRODUCTION

U.S. Patent No. 6,807,524 (“the ’524 Patent,” Ex-1001) describes perceptually weighting speech signals during encoding. Specifically, the claims of the ’524 Patent are based upon the well-known techniques of: (i) code excited linear prediction, and (ii) signal frequency shaping via pre-emphasis and perceptual weighting. Notably, it was known before the ’524 Patent to apply CELP and frequency shaping techniques such as pre-emphasis and perceptual weighting together to speech signals. Further, it was known to separately control perceptual-related characteristics such as tilt and formant weighting by separate control parameters and terms in the transfer equations of filters, as well as to select various parameter values or transfer function equations, to optimize computational efficiency. While this field uses technical terms and math equations that create an appearance of complexity, the ’524 Patent is directed to a straight-forward and well-known solution to pre-emphasizing and perceptually weighting an encoded speech signal.

This petition demonstrates that claims 1-21 and 29-42 of the ’524 Patent are unpatentable under pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. § 103. Accordingly, Apple Inc. (“Petitioner”) respectfully requests that claims 1-21 and 29-42 of the ’524 Patent be held invalid and cancelled.

II. MANDATORY NOTICES

A. Real Party-in-Interest

The real party-in-interest is Apple Inc.

B. Related Matters

As of the filing date of this petition, the '524 Patent has been asserted in:

- *HTC Corp., et al. v. Acacia Research Corp.*, 2:15-cv-01510 (E.D. Tex. 2015), terminated;
- *Saint Lawrence Communications LLC v. LG Electronics, Inc., et al.*, 2:14-cv-01055 (E.D. Tex. 2014), terminated;
- *Saint Lawrence Communications LLC v. Sony Mobile Communications (USA) Inc., et al.*, 2:15-cv-00350 (E.D. Tex. 2015), terminated;
- *Saint Lawrence Communications LLC v. Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd., et al.*, 2:14-cv-00293 (E.D. Tex. 2014), terminated;
- *Saint Lawrence Communications LLC v. HTC Corp., et al.*, 2:15-cv-00919 (E.D. Tex. 2015), terminated;
- *HTC Corp., et al. v. Acacia Research Corp.*, 8:15-cv-00378 (C.D. Cal. 2015), terminated;
- *Saint Lawrence Communications LLC v. Motorola Mobility LLC*, 2:15-cv-00351 (E.D. Tex. 2015), ongoing;
- *Saint Lawrence Communications LLC v. ZTE Corp., et al.*, 2:16-cv-00349 (E.D. Tex. 2015), ongoing; and

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.