THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION

SAINT LAWRENCE COMMUNICATIONS LLC,	§	
	§	
v.	§	CASE NO. 2:15-CV-349-JRG
	§	Lead Case
ZTE CORPORATION, et al.	§	
	§	
SAINT LAWRENCE COMMUNICATIONS LLC,	§	
	§	
v.	§	CASE NO. 2:15-CV-351-JRG
	§	Consolidated with Case No. 2:15-CV-349
MOTOROLA MOBILITY LLC, et al.	§	

CLAIM CONSTRUCTION
MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

On June 29, 2016, the Court held a hearing to determine the proper construction of the disputed claim terms in United States Patents No. 6,795,805, 6,807,524, 7,151,802, 7,191,123, and 7,260,521. After the June 29, 2016, the Court further permitted additional briefing regarding certain terms. *See* July 22, 2016 Order, Dkt. No. 100. After considering the arguments made by the parties at the hearing and in the parties' claim construction briefing (Dkt. Nos. 69, 70, 71, 121 & 122;[1] *see* Civil Action No. 2:15-CV-349, Dkt. Nos. 71, 74, 76, 206, 210 & 212), the Court issues this Claim Construction Memorandum and Order.

[1] Citations to documents (such as the parties' briefs and exhibits) in this Claim Construction Memorandum and Order refer to the page numbers of the original documents rather than the page numbers assigned by the Court's electronic docket unless otherwise indicated. Shortly before the start of the June 29, 2016 hearing, the Court provided the parties with preliminary constructions with the aim of focusing the parties' arguments and facilitating discussion. The preliminary constructions were essentially the same as the constructions that are set forth below (except as to terms that were further addressed by supplemental briefing after the June 29, 2016

Table of Contents

---

hearing, *see* Dkt. Nos. 206, 210 & 212). The organization of the Court's preliminary constructions was based on the briefing in *Saint Lawrence Communications LLC v. HTC Corporation, et al.*, Civil Action No. 2:15-CV-919 (and the related Civil Action No. 2:15-CV-1510) (collectively, "*HTC*"). At the June 29, 2016 hearing, the parties in Civil Actions No. 2:15-CV-349 and 2:15-CV-351 did not state that any additional terms required construction. In light of this, and because the parties in all of the above-captioned cases presented substantially the same arguments as to substantially the same disputed terms, and because the parties agreed to hold a single claim construction hearing as to all of the above-captioned cases (*see* Civil Action No. 2:15-CV-349, Dkt. No. 95 at 3 n.2), this Claim Construction Memorandum and Order cites only the briefing in *HTC*. The *HTC* case has been stayed upon joint motion of the *HTC* parties announcing that a settlement agreement has been reached. *See* Dkt. Nos. 123 & 124. Thus, although citations to briefing herein refer to briefing filed in the *HTC* case, the present Claim Construction Memorandum and Order applies to only the above-captioned cases. Finally, although Plaintiff argues that various terms that were at issue in *HTC* are not at issue in *ZTE* (see Dkt. No. 216), the coordinated claim construction proceedings in *HTC* and *ZTE* warrant addressing all of the terms that were presented in those coordinated proceedings.

DOCKET ALARM

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts

Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research

With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips

Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS
Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS
Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS
Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.