

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.; AND SAMSUNG
ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

IMAGE PROCESSING TECHNOLOGIES, LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2017-01231
Patent No. 7,650,015

Mailed: April 12, 2017

Before Debra A. Vitus, *Trial Paralegal*

NOTICE OF FILING DATE ACCORDED TO PETITION
AND
TIME FOR FILING PATENT OWNER PRELIMINARY RESPONSE

The petition for *inter partes* review in the above proceeding has been accorded the filing date of March 31, 2017.

IPR2017-01231
Patent No. 7,650,015

Patent Owner may file a preliminary response to the petition no later than three months from the date of this notice. The preliminary response is limited to setting forth the reasons why the requested review should not be instituted. Patent Owner may also file an election to waive the preliminary response to expedite the proceeding. For more information, please consult the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48756 (Aug. 14, 2012), which is available on the Board Web site at <http://www.uspto.gov/PTAB>.

Patent Owner is advised of the requirement to submit mandatory notice information under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(2) within 21 days of service of the petition.

The parties are encouraged to use the heading on the first page of this Notice for all future filings in the proceeding.

The parties are advised that under 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), recognition of counsel *pro hac vice* requires a showing of good cause. The parties are authorized to file motions for *pro hac vice* admission under 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c). Such motions shall be filed in accordance with the “Order -- Authorizing Motion *for Pro Hac Vice* Admission” in Case IPR2013-00639, Paper 7, a copy of which is available on the Board Web site under “Representative Orders, Decisions, and Notices.”

The parties are reminded that unless otherwise permitted by 37 C.F.R. § 42.6(b)(2), all filings in this proceeding must be made electronically in Patent Trial and Appeal Board End to End (PTAB E2E), accessible from the Board Web site at <http://www.uspto.gov/PTAB>. To file documents, users must register with PTAB E2E. Information regarding how to register with and use PTAB E2E is available at the Board Web site.

IPR2017-01231
Patent No. 7,650,015

If there are any questions pertaining to this notice, please contact Debra Vitus at 571-272-2039 or the Patent Trial and Appeal Board at 571-272-7822.

IPR2017-01231
Patent No. 7,650,015

PETITIONER:

John Kappos
Nicholas J. Whilt
Brian M. Cook
O'Melveny & Myers LLP
jkappos@omm.com
nwhilt@omm.com
bcook@omm.com

PATENT OWNER:

J. Rodman Steele, Jr.
NOVAK DRUCE & QUIGG LLP
525 Okeechobee Blvd., Suite 1500
Palm Beach, FL 33401

J. Rodman Steele, Jr.
DUANE MORRIS LLP
Boca Center Tower II
5100 Town Center Circle, Suite 650
Boca Raton, FL 33486

S. Calvin Capshaw
CAPSHAW DERIEUX, LLP
114 E. Commerce Ave.
Gladewater, TX 75647

Christopher J. Coulson
ANDREWS KURTH KENYON LLP
One Broadway
New York, NY 10004

Michael N. Zachary
ANDREWS KURTH KENYON LLP
1801 Page Mill Road, Suite 210
Palo Alto, CA 94304

NOTICE CONCERNING ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR)

The Patent Trial and Appeal Board (PTAB) strongly encourages parties who are considering settlement to consider alternative dispute resolution as a means of settling the issues that may be raised in an AIA trial proceeding. Many AIA trials are settled prior to a Final Written Decision. Those considering settlement may wish to consider alternative dispute resolution techniques early in a proceeding to produce a quicker, mutually agreeable resolution of a dispute or to at least narrow the scope of matters in dispute. Alternative dispute resolution has the potential to save parties time and money.

Many non-profit organizations, both inside and outside the intellectual property field, offer alternative dispute resolution services. Listed below are the names and addresses of several such organizations. The listings are provided for the convenience of parties involved in cases before the PTAB; the PTAB does not sponsor or endorse any particular organization's alternative dispute resolution services. In addition, consideration may be given to utilizing independent alternative dispute resolution firms. Such firms may be located through a standard keyword Internet search.

CPR INSTITUTE FOR DISPUTE RESOLUTION	AMERICAN INTELLECTU AL PROPERTY LAW ASSOCIATION (AIPLA)	AMERICAN ARBITRATI ON ASSOCIATI ON (AAA)	WORLD INTELLECTU AL PROPERTY ORGANIZATI ON (WIPO)	AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION (ABA)
Telephone: (212) 949-6490	Telephone: (703) 415-0780	Telephone: (212) 484- 3266	Telephone: 41 22 338 9111	Telephone : (202) 662-1000
Fax: (212) 949- 8859	Fax: (703) 415- 0786	Fax: (212) 307-4387	Fax: 41 22 733 5428	N/A
575 Lexington Ave	241 18th Street, South, Suite 700	140 West 51st Street	34, chemin des Colombettes	1050 Connecticut Ave, NW
New York, NY 10022	Arlington, VA 22202	New York, NY 10020	CH-1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	Washington D.C. 20036
www.cpradr.org	www.aipla.org	www.adr.org	www.wipo.int	www.americanbar.org

If parties to an AIA trial proceeding consider using alternative dispute resolution, the PTAB would like to know whether the parties ultimately decided to engage in alternative dispute resolution and the reasons why or why not. If the parties actually engage in alternative dispute resolution, the PTAB would be interested to learn what mechanism (e.g., arbitration, mediation, etc.) was used and the general result. Such a statement from the parties is not required but would be helpful to the PTAB in assessing the value of alternative dispute resolution to parties involved in AIA trial proceedings. To report an experience with ADR, please forward a summary of the particulars to the following email address: PTAB_ADR_Comments@uspto.gov