

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.; AND
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.
Petitioner

v.

IMAGE PROCESSING TECHNOLOGIES, LLC
Patent Owner

Patent No. 7,650,015

**DECLARATION OF DR. JOHN C. HART
IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,650,015**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Contents

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	BACKGROUND AND EXPERIENCE.....	1
	A. Qualifications	1
	B. Previous Testimony.....	4
III.	TECHNOLOGICAL BACKGROUND.....	5
IV.	THE '015 PATENT.....	12
V.	SUMMARY OF OPINIONS.....	19
VI.	LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	20
VII.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	21
VIII.	THE PRIOR ART TEACHES OR SUGGESTS EVERY STEP AND FEATURE OF THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS OF THE '015 PATENT	21
	A. Overview Of The Prior Art References	21
	1. U.S. Patent No. 5,481,622 to Gerhardt (Ex. 1013).....	21
	2. U.S. Patent No. 6,044,166 to Bassman (Ex. 1014).....	28
	3. Alton L. Gilbert et al., <i>A Real-Time Video Tracking System</i> , PAMI-2 No. 1 IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence 47 (Jan. 1980) ("Gilbert") (Ex. 1005).....	31
	4. U.S. Patent No. 5,521,843 ("Hashima") (Ex. 1006).....	43
	5. W. B. Schaming, <i>Adaptive Gate Multifeature Bayesian Statistical Tracker</i> , 359 Applications of Digital Image Processing IV 68 (1982) ("Schaming") (Ex. 1008).....	50

B.	Gerhardt In View Of Bassman Renders Obvious Claims 1-2 and 4-5	55
1.	Reasons To Combine Gerhardt and Bassman	55
2.	Claim 1	57
3.	Claim 2: “The process according to claim 1, comprising centering the tracking box relative to an optical axis of the frame”	66
4.	Claim 4: “The process according to claim 1, wherein said image processing system comprises at least one component selected from a memory, a temporal processing unit, and a spatial processing unit.”	68
5.	Claim 5: “The process according to claim 1, wherein said image processing system comprises at least two components selected from a memory, a temporal processing unit, and a spatial processing unit”	72
6.	Gerhardt and Bassman Are Not Cumulative	72
7.	Detailed Application Of Gerhardt And Bassman To Claims 1, 2, 4, And 5	73
C.	Gerhardt In View Of Bassman And Further In View Of Hashima Renders Obvious Claims 3 and 7	116
1.	Reasons To Combine Gerhardt and Bassman with Hashima	116
2.	Claim 3: “The process according to claim 1, comprising calculating a histogram according to a projection axis in a region delimited by an associated classifier, between two points on the projection axis, creating a histogram of the same points with orientation and intensity of motion as input parameters and modifying the values corresponding to said two points of the classifier and calculating an anticipated next frame”	117
3.	Claim 7	120

Declaration of Dr. John C. Hart
Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No. 7,650,015

4.	Gerhardt, Bassman, and Hashima Are Not Cumulative	127
5.	Detailed Application Of Gerhardt, Bassman And Hashima To The Challenged Claims	128
D.	Gilbert In View Of Gerhardt And Further In View Of Schaming Renders Obvious Claims 1-5 and 7.....	155
1.	Reasons To Combine Gilbert, Gerhardt and Schaming.....	155
2.	Claim 1	158
3.	Claim 2: “The process according to claim 1, comprising centering the tracking box relative to an optical axis of the frame”	170
4.	Claim 3: “The process according to claim 1, comprising calculating a histogram according to a projection axis in a region delimited by an associated classifier, between two points on the projection axis, creating a histogram of the same points with orientation and intensity of motion as input parameters and modifying the values corresponding to said two points of the classifier and calculating an anticipated next frame”	172
5.	Claim 4: “The process according to claim 1, wherein said image processing system comprises at least one component selected from a memory, a temporal processing unit, and a spatial processing unit.”	174
6.	Claim 5: “The process according to claim 1, wherein said image processing system comprises at least two components selected from a memory, a temporal processing unit, and a spatial processing unit”	176
7.	Claim 7	177
8.	Gilbert, Gerhardt, and Schaming Are Not Cumulative	179
9.	Detailed Application Of Gilbert, Gerhardt, And Schaming To The Challenged Claims	181

IX. CONCLUSION.....273

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.