

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.; AND
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.
Petitioner

v.

IMAGE PROCESSING TECHNOLOGIES, LLC
Patent Owner

Patent No. 8,983,134

**DECLARATION OF DR. JOHN C. HART
IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,983,134**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Contents

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	BACKGROUND AND EXPERIENCE.....	1
	A. Qualifications	1
	B. Previous Testimony.....	4
III.	TECHNOLOGICAL BACKGROUND.....	5
IV.	THE '134 PATENT.....	11
V.	SUMMARY OF OPINIONS.....	20
VI.	LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	21
VII.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	22
VIII.	THE PRIOR ART TEACHES OR SUGGESTS EVERY STEP AND FEATURE OF THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS OF THE '134 PATENT	22
	A. Overview Of The Prior Art References	22
	1. U.S. Patent No. 5,481,622 (“Gerhardt”) (Ex. 1013).....	22
	2. U.S. Patent No. 6,044,166 (“Bassman”) (Ex. 1014).....	29
	3. Alton L. Gilbert et al., A Real-Time Video Tracking System, PAMI-2 No. 1 IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence 47 (Jan. 1980) (“Gilbert”) (Ex. 1005).....	32
	4. U.S. Patent No. 5,521,843 (“Hashima”) (Ex. 1006).....	44
	B. Gerhardt In View Of Bassman Renders Obvious Claims 3-6	50
	1. Reasons To Combine Gerhardt And Bassman	50

2.	Elements Incorporated Into Claims 3-6 As Claims Dependent From An Independent Claim	53
3.	Claim 3: “The process according to claim 1, wherein said image processing system comprises at least one component selected from a memory, a temporal processing unit, and a spatial processing unit”	60
4.	Claim 4: “The process according to claim 1, wherein forming the at least one histogram further comprises successively increasing the size of a selected area until the boundary of the target is found”	64
5.	Claim 5: “The process according to claim 4, wherein forming the at least one histogram further comprises adjusting a center of the selected area based upon a shape of the target until substantially the entire target is within the selected area”	65
6.	Claim 6: “The process according to claim 5, wherein forming the at least one histogram further comprises setting the X minima and maxima and Y minima and maxima as boundaries in X and Y histogram formation units such that only pixels within the selected area will be processed by the image processing system”	68
7.	Gerhardt and Bassman Are Not Cumulative	69
8.	Detailed Application Of Gerhardt And Bassman To The Challenged Claims	70
C.	Gerhardt In View Of Gilbert And Further In View Of Hashima Renders Obvious Claims 3-6.....	104
1.	Reasons To Combine Gilbert, Gerhardt, And Hashima	104
2.	Elements Incorporated Into Claims 3-6 As Claims Dependent From An Independent Claim	109
3.	Claim 3: “The process according to claim 1, wherein said image processing system comprises at least one	

	component selected from a memory, a temporal processing unit, and a spatial processing unit”	119
4.	Claim 4: “The process according to claim 1, wherein forming the at least one histogram further comprises successively increasing the size of a selected area until the boundary of the target is found”	123
5.	Claim 5: “The process according to claim 4, wherein forming the at least one histogram further comprises adjusting a center of the selected area based upon a shape of the target until substantially the entire target is within the selected area”	128
6.	Claim 6: “The process according to claim 5, wherein forming the at least one histogram further comprises setting the X minima and maxima and Y minima and maxima as boundaries in X and Y histogram formation units such that only pixels within the selected area will be processed by the image processing system”	130
7.	Gerhardt and Bassman Are Not Cumulative	131
8.	Detailed Application Of Gilbert, Gerhardt, And Ueno To The Challenged Claims	132
IX.	CONCLUSION.....	182

1. I, John C. Hart, declare as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION

2. I have been retained by Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. and Samsung Electronics America, Inc. (collectively, “Petitioner”) as an independent expert consultant in this proceeding before the United States Patent and Trademark Office (“PTO”).

3. I have been asked to consider whether certain references teach or suggest the features recited in Claims 3 through 6 (the “Challenged Claims”) of U.S. Patent No. 8,983,134 (“the ’134 Patent”) (Ex. 1001), which I understand is allegedly owned by Image Processing Technologies, LLC (“Patent Owner”). My opinions and the bases for my opinions are set forth below.

4. I am being compensated at my ordinary and customary consulting rate for my work.

5. My compensation is in no way contingent on the nature of my findings, the presentation of my findings in testimony, or the outcome of this or any other proceeding. I have no other interest in this proceeding.

II. BACKGROUND AND EXPERIENCE

A. Qualifications

6. I have more than 25 years of experience in computer graphics and image processing technologies. In particular, I have devoted much of my career to

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.