ALAN C. BOVIK, PH.D. - 12/15/2017

| | | ALAN C. BOVIR, III.B. 12/13/2017 | | | | | |
|---|----|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 1 | UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE | | | | | |
| | 2 | BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD | | | | | |
| | 3 | | | | | | |
| | 4 | SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. AND | | | | | |
| | 5 | SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC. | | | | | |
| | 6 | Petitioner | | | | | |
| | 7 | v. | | | | | |
| | 8 | IMAGE PROCESSING TECHNOLOGIES, LLC | | | | | |
| | 9 | Patent Owner. | | | | | |
| | 10 | | | | | | |
| | 11 | Case IPR2017-00353 | | | | | |
| | 12 | U.S. Patent No. 8,983,134 | | | | | |
| | 13 | ORAL DEPOSITION OF | | | | | |
| | 14 | ALAN C. BOVIK, Ph.D. DECEMBER 15, 2017 | | | | | |
| | 15 | | | | | | |
| | 16 | | | | | | |
| | 17 | ORAL DEPOSITION OF ALAN C. BOVIK, Ph.D., produced | | | | | |
| | 18 | as a witness at the instance of the Petitioner, and duly | | | | | |
| | 19 | sworn, was taken in the above-styled and numbered cause | | | | | |
| 2 | 20 | on December 15, 2017, from 9:39 a.m. to 3:41 p.m., | | | | | |
| 2 | 21 | before Candice Andino, Certified Shorthand Reporter in | | | | | |
| 2 | 22 | and for the State of Texas, reported by machine | | | | | |
| 2 | 23 | shorthand, at Andrews Kurth Kenyon, LLP, 111 Congress | | | | | |
| 2 | 24 | Avenue, Suite 1700, Austin, Texas, pursuant to the Exhibit 20 | | | | | |
| 2 | 25 | Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Petitioner - Samsung Electronics. Co., Ltd., et : Patent Owner - Image Processing Technologies. LL | | | | | |



| | | ALAN C. BOVI. | Λ, Ρ. | n.D | 12/15/2017 Pages 25 |
|------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------|-----|--|
| 1 | | A P P E A R A N C E S | age 2 | 1 | Page 4 |
| 2 | FOR THE PETI | | | 1 | FRIDAY, DECEMBER 15, 2017, 9:39 A.M. |
| 3 | | NY & MYERS LLP | | 2 | AUSTIN, TEXAS |
| | BY: NI | CHOLAS J. WHILT | | 3 | ALAN C. BOVIK, Ph.D., |
| 4 | | th Hope Street, 18th Floor | | 4 | having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified |
| _ | _ | eles, California 90071 | | 5 | as follows: |
| 5 | (213) 4: | | | 6 | EXAMINATION |
| 6 | nwhilt@ | omm.com | | 7 | BY MR. WHILT: |
| " | FOR THE PATE | NT OWNER: | | 8 | Q. Welcome, Dr. Bovik. |
| 7 | | | | 9 | A. Thank you. |
| | ANDREWS | KURTH KENYON LLP | | | - |
| 8 | BY: CH | RIS J. COULSON | | 10 | Q. Will you please state your full name for the |
| | One Bro | - | | 11 | record. |
| 9 | | k, New York 10004 | | 12 | A. Alan Conrad Bovik, B-o-v-i-k. |
| 10 | (212) 4: | | | 13 | Q. And where are you currently employed? |
| 10 | ALSO PRESENT | ulson@andrewskurthkenyon.com : | | 14 | A. At the University of Texas at Austin. |
| 12 | JONG-IN | | | 15 | Q. And where do you currently reside? |
| 13 | | | | 16 | A. In Austin, Texas. Do you need the street |
| 14 | | | | 17 | address? |
| 15 | | | | | |
| 16 | | | | 18 | Q. No, that's that's all right. |
| 17 | | | | 19 | A. Okay. |
| 18 | | | | 20 | Q. Now, how many times have you been had your |
| 20 | | | | 21 | deposition taken before today? |
| 21 | | | | 22 | A. Before today? I think it's very close to |
| 22 | | | | 23 | almost 35 times. |
| 23 | | | | 24 | Q. Okay. And have you testified in trials before? |
| 24 | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | 25 | A. I have. At trial, around five or six times, |
| | | | age 3 | | Page 5 |
| 1 | NITHNINGS 3773 | INDEX | | 1 | including this week. |
| 2 | WITNESS: ALAN C. BOVIK, Ph.D. | | | | Q. Okay. So you're familiar with this process. |
| 3 4 | EXAMINATION PAGE BY MR. WHILT 4 | | | | So I'm just going to go through a few ground rules, |
| 5 | BY MR. WHILT | | | | although you're probably already familiar with all of |
| 6 | DI rm. CC | | 131 | 5 | these. |
| 7 | | EXHIBITS | | 6 | So if you don't understand any of my |
| 8 | NO. | DESCRIPTION | PAGE | 7 | questions, please let me know, and I'll try to clarify |
| 9 | Exhibit 1011 | Figure 4 of Gilbert | 98 | | |
| 10 | | | | 8 | as best I can. |
| 11 | | | | 9 | Can we agree to that? |
| 12 | | PREVIOUSLY MARKED EXHIBITS | | 10 | A. Sure. |
| 13 | | (Not attached) | | 11 | Q. Okay. Also, if you do not hear my question or |
| 14 | NO. | DESCRIPTION | PAGE | 12 | some part of my question, please let me know after I |
| 15 | Exhibit 1001 | U.S. Patent No. 8,983,134 | 12 | 13 | finish and I'll ask the court reporter to read it back |
| 16 | Exhibit 1005 | Document entitled "A Real-Time | | 14 | to you. |
| 1 77 | | Video Tracking System" | 73 | 15 | - |
| 17 | Evhibit 1000 | U.S. Patent No. 5,521,843 | 115 | | Can we agree to that? |
| 18 | Exhibit 1006 | 0.5. Fatent NO. 5,521,843 | 115 | 16 | A. Yes. |
| 1.0 | Exhibit 1007 | U.S. Patent No. 5,150,432 | 134 | 17 | Q. I'll also ask you just to answer my questions |
| 19 | DATITUTE TOU/ | 5.5. Facenc No. 5,150,432 | 134 | 18 | with a verbal response and not answer with nods of the |
| | Exhibit 2007 | Declaration of Dr. Alan Bovik in | | 19 | head. |
| 20 | | Support of Patent Owner Response | | 20 | Is that understood? |
| | | Pursuant to 37 CFR 42.120 | 11 | 21 | A. I'll do my best. |
| 21 | | | | 22 | Q. Okay. Can you tell me: How did you prepare |
| 1 | | | | 44 | *. over to cerr me. now are you brehate |
| 22 | | | | 22 | for todayla demosition? |
| 22 | | | | 23 | for today's deposition? |
| 1 | | | | 24 | A. Well, you know, preparation for the deposition |
| 23 | | | | | |



Page 8 Page 1 know, started becoming familiar with these materials spent on this -- this matter relating to this IPR? when I was first engaged in a related district case. A. Okay. So by that, you mean, you know, aside Okay? So I consider, you know, becoming familiar with 3 3 from all the hours I spent in the district case. I -- I the '134 patent and the same references as were brought would just -- you know, again, I haven't -- I didn't try in that case are -- I guess they're still being brought to look up that number before we came in, but I would in that case -- as part of my preparation here. 6 say it's around 25 hours, maybe, 30 hours. 6 So, basically, reading and understanding Q. Okay. And -the patents at issue, the prior art patents, the A. Keep in mind that I have an awful lot of prosecution history, having significant contemplation, 9 knowledge accrued from my studies of these things before 9 significant discussions with counsel, preparation of an 10 that. expert report, and going back and forth in that and 11 Q. And that's because you previously worked on making sure my opinions are expressed correctly. And, another district court matter for Image Processing you know, that's -- that's the basics. I'm not trying 13 Technologies; is that correct? to be complete. Just -- you know, I'm not trying to A. Yeah. I -- I'm very familiar with the material 14 14 15 memorize everything I did. 15 of the case. 16 Q. Okay. And did you meet with counsel to prepare 16 Q. So how much have you billed Image Processing for today's deposition? 17 Technologies in connection with all the work you've done 17 18 for them? 18 A. I did. 19 Q. And how much time did you spend meeting with 19 MR. COULSON: Objection. Form. A. That is a good question. I -- I -- I don't -your counsel? 20 well, first of all, I don't think I should be answering 21 A. Well, you know, it depends on whether you mean 21 in person or, you know, by other means, such as, you any questions about the district case here. I mean, know, phone or electronically. So, you know, how much counsel can advise me otherwise, but I -- I -- I think 24 time did I spend -- I -- I didn't make a -- try to make that that is -- I mean, I think I had to tell you 25 an accurate assessment of that, but certainly -- I'll something just to let you know that I've been exposed to Page 7 Page 9 just answer this way: Over the last -- let's see. the material, and I think it's important for you to Today's Friday -- after the last -- over the last two know. But, you know, how many hours I put in that case days, just in person, I've met with counsel for about I think is irrelevant to this case. So... eight or nine hours. And I can't -- I probably couldn't Q. (BY MR. WHILT) I -- I'm just asking you how much you -- you've billed Image Processing Technologies come up with an estimate for, you know, other communication/meeting. for your -- for time that you've spent on the IPRs or 7 Q. Okay. So eight or nine years over the last two 7 the district court case. 8 days? 8 A. And that -- and my same answer. I mean, I -- I 9 A. Yeah. And, again, I didn't calculate that. I 9 mean... MR. COULSON: If you have -- if there's a 10 just -- it's a guess. 10 11 Q. Okay. And you understand you're here 11 question about privilege or something, we can take a testifying as part of a inter partes review short break, and I can address your question. 12 12 13 proceeding; correct? 13 A. I think there is a question of privilege there 14 A. I do. with regard to the district case. 15 Q. Okay. And you are serving as an expert for the Q. (BY MR. WHILT) I'm just asking you how much 15 patent owner, Image Processing Technologies; right? 16 16 you've billed. This is a pretty standard question. 17 A. That is my understanding, yes. 17 A. But in a different case; right? 18 Q. Okay. And in that -- in that inter partes 18 Q. That's the question. review proceeding, you submitted an expert A. So I'm just -- I'm not a lawyer. Okay? I 19 19 20 declaration; correct? 20 don't know if that is a privileged information with 21 A. Yes. 21 regards to this case or not. Okay? 22 Q. Okay. And what is your hourly rate that you're 22 Q. Okay. Well --23 charging for your work in connection with this matter? 23 A. Okay. Well, let me give -- let me give --24 A. For my time, I always charge 500 an hour. 24 Q. -- I'm -- I'm going to ask you the question



25

Q. Okay. And how much -- how much time have you

again.

```
Page 10
                                                                                                                     Page 12
         A. Let me give an answer. I don't really know.
                                                                                   MR. COULSON: I'm just going to object or
    haven't thought about, you know, those quantities in
                                                                     caution the witness not to discuss communications back
    quite some time. But I would guess that I've probably
                                                                     and forth with counsel, which would include the sending
3
                                                                 3
  billed IPT in the two cases -- thus far billed --
                                                                     of drafts. But...
    actually, I don't think I've billed them in this case.
                                                                 5
                                                                                   THE WITNESS: Okay. Sure.
                                                                                   MR. COULSON: You can...
    Okay? You know, because -- probably less than 100,000.
                                                                 6
    I'm just guessing. I -- it might be in that ballpark.
                                                                          A. In any case, information was -- I -- I
                                                                     expressed my opinions. I -- I certainly had material
8
          Q. Okay. And how much do you expect to bill them
    that hasn't -- where you haven't sent out a bill yet?
                                                                     that was, you know, based on my instructions drafted for
9
                                                                 9
         A. For --
                                                                     me. I don't write legalese. I'm not a lawyer. So, you
10
                                                                10
                                                                     know, the process was a back-and-forth one, and in the
11
          Q. You said you haven't sent out a bill yet for
    certain work. I just want to understand how much you --
                                                                     end, there is a document that is definitely my opinion
13
    you will have billed, in total --
                                                                13
                                                                     and which I signed off on.
         A. Well --
                                                                          Q. (BY MR. WHILT) And -- but counsel assisted you
14
                                                                14
15
         Q. -- as of today.
                                                                15
                                                                     in preparing this declaration; right?
16
                   MR. COULSON: Objection. Form.
                                                                16
                                                                                   MR. COULSON: Objection. Form.
                                                                17
         A. You know, in this case, I've already expressed
                                                                          A. I have no objection to that. I mean, I had
17
    I think it's, like, 25, 30 hours. So, you know, do the
                                                                     conversations with counsel, and counsel helped me draft
18
                                                                18
19
    math, multiply it by 500, 12, $15,000.
                                                                     it, you know. Again, you know, I don't write legal
20
         Q. (BY MR. WHILT) So for the district --
                                                                     style ordinarily.
         A. And nothing -- include today, of course. This
21
                                                                21
                                                                               (Previously marked Exhibit 1001 referred to.)
22
    is -- I'm here to spend my time. Right?
                                                                22
                                                                          Q. (BY MR. WHILT) Okay. I'm going to also hand
23
         Q. So for the district court matter, you've billed
                                                                23
                                                                     you a copy of U.S. Patent No. 8,983,134 that's been
    IPT around $100,000; is that right?
                                                                     marked as -- previously marked as Samsung Exhibit 1001.
         A. Yeah. And, you know, give or -- please
                                                                25
                                                                                   Okay. So do you recognize Exhibit 1001?
25
                                                     Page 11
                                                                                                                     Page 13
1 understand, I'm not trying to be accurate there. It
                                                                          A. Yeah. This is what I refer to as the '134
                                                                 1
    could be plus or minus 20. I -- I mean, I don't
                                                                     patent.
3
    remember these kind of things.
                                                                 3
                                                                          Q. Okay. Okay. So in the '134 patent, will you
         Q. Okay.
4
                                                                     please turn to Figure 21.
               (Previously marked Exhibit 2007 referred to.)
                                                                 5
                                                                          A. Sure.
         Q. (BY MR. WHILT) Okay. I'm going to hand you
                                                                          Q. Are you familiar with Figure 21?
    what's already been marked as Exhibit 2007, the
                                                                 7
                                                                          A. Yes.
   Declaration of Dr. Alan Bovik In Support of Patent
8
                                                                 8
                                                                          Q. All right. So in -- for reference, if you turn
    Owner's Response Pursuant to 37 CFR 42.120. And this
                                                                 9
                                                                     also to column 24, you'll see that, starting at line 1,
    relates to the '134 patent.
                                                                10
                                                                     there's a discussion of Figure 21.
10
11
                                                                          A. Correct.
                  MR. COULSON: Thank you.
                                                                11
12
         Q. (BY MR. WHILT) And can you just confirm that
                                                                12
                                                                          Q. Now, do you see, in column 24, starting at
13
    Exhibit 2007 that you've been handed is the declaration
                                                                13
                                                                     line 2, the '134 patent states --
    that you submitted in the IPR proceeding 2017-353 that
                                                                14
                                                                          A. Starting at line 2?
15
    relates to U.S. Patent No. 8,983,134?
                                                                          O. Yeah.
                                                                15
16
         A. It looks like it's probably complete, and so
                                                                16
                                                                                   Do you see column 24, starting at line 2,
17
   I'll agree with that.
                                                                17
                                                                     it states (as read): In order to process the pixels
18
         Q. Did anyone assist you in preparing your
                                                                     surrounding the starting position, image processing
    declaration?
                                                                     system 204 will process the pixels in successively
19
                                                                19
                                                                     larger areas surrounding the pixel, adjusting the center
20
         A. Well, you know, I -- the beginning of my
                                                                20
    declaration preparation was when I first started
                                                                     of the area based upon the shape of the object, until
21
```

thinking about these patents again. Okay. So it --

it's -- it's a -- it's a process and a conversation

early draft, and, you know, I --

between myself and counsel. I, you know, gave them an

23

24

25

substantially the entire target area is being tracked.

Q. Okay. Now, would you agree that when the

Do you see that?

A. Yes, that's correct. Uh-huh.

1

3

13

14

15

18

19

22

25

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

Page 16

Page 14

specification's referring to processing successively larger areas, it's describing the process of repeatedly increasing the size of the area that's being processed?

- A. Yes, within a single frame this is occurring.
- 5 Q. Okay. And in Figure 21, that area that's made 6 successively larger is shown as a -- as a box inside the 7 image; correct?
- 8 A. Let me make a little mark here because it's 9 hard to find figures in this patent. They're not in 10 very good order.

Yes

- Q. Okay. And it's the small square in the middle of Figure 21; right?
 - A. Yeah, sure.
- Q. Okay. And so if -- do you see Figure 22 that's right below Figure 21?
 - A. Uh-huh.

2

3

11

12

13

14

17

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

- 18 Q. Okay. So Figure 22 shows this embodiment we've 19 been discussing where the area being processed has been 20 enlarged; correct?
- 21 A. So, you know, just for clarity, you know,
- 22 Figure 22 is described further down in this embodiment,
- 23 in this column. And it describes, you know, this as
- 24 a -- you know, the area under consideration begins
- $\,$ 25 $\,$ across the borders of target 218. So, I mean, this is
 - Page 15
- 1 a -- a later stage in this iterative process of -2 of forming the histogram that is -- that this embodiment
 3 targets in, you know, explaining claim 1.
 - Q. And by part of the iterative process, you mean this is kind of one step where it's -- you have a box or the particular area that's being processed at a particular size; right?
 - A. Well, one iteration, you may have a box of one size and that box can increase. Okay. Sure.
 - Q. Okay. So whenever there is an enlargement of this area of interest that's shown as a box, Figure 22 shows that histograms are formed of that area; correct?
 - A. Well, you know, in Figure 21, you don't see a histogram. Okay? In Figure 22, you do see histograms being formed. In Figure 23, which is, absurdly enough, further back, you see a hist- -- a subsequent histogram that is formed.
- that is formed.

 So, I mean, I have no objection to state
 that there are histograms formed along the way to
 forming the histogram that is intended in the embodiment
 in -- described in claim 1. What we might call the "at
 least one histogram" of the pixels in, say -- I'll call
 the first limitation limitation 1(a), and the second
- one, 1(b), and the third one, 1(c), meaning those are below the preamble.

Q. So to step back, Figure 21 shows -- shows a

2 small box that's under consideration, and then that is

enlarged, and the enlarged box is illustrated in

4 Figure 22; correct?

5 A. Yes. And there is -- in a further figure, a 6 further enlarged box, in Figure 23.

Q. Right. And Figure 22 is supposed to show one of the iterations of successively enlarging the box as you eventually get toward the size of the box that's shown in 20- -- Figure 23; correct?

11 A. In this embodiment. This is one way of, you 12 know, practicing claim 1, yeah.

Q. Okay. And in Figure 22, it shows that histograms are created of the particular box size that's shown in that figure; correct?

16 A. I -- I don't think that question is -- is well 17 formed.

Q. Let me ask you a different question.

Do you see, in Figure 22 --

20 A. Uh-huh.

21 Q. -- that there are elements 222 and 224?

A. Yes.

23 Q. Okay. You'd agree those are

24 histograms; correct?

A. Well, part of those. I mean, yeah. Sure,

Page 17 those are -- those show histograms. I'll put it that

way. They show histograms.
Q. Okay. And the -- are those -- are those
referred to as "projection histograms"?

A. I won't object to that. I'm fine with calling those projection histograms.

Q. Okay. Is it fair to say that 222 is an X axis projection histogram?

A. It's fine to call it, you know, a histogram.

Also, you know, in figure -- later Figure 23, there are histograms that are shown as well, which we might also call, you know, that.

Q. Okay. Let's -- let's stay on Figure 22. So in Figure 22, though, the element 222, you'd agree that's an X axis projection histogram; correct?

A. I'm fine with saying that that histogram is projection in the X direction, just -- just so it's agreeable with the notation here.

Q. Okay. And 224, in Figure 22, is a -- is a Y ax- -- Y axis projection histogram; correct?

A. I'm -- I'm not going to have a problem with -- you know, basically, I think we're just setting up notation here.

Q. Right. Okay. But you would agree that 224 is -- is what you would refer to as a "Y axis projection



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

