

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.; AND
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.
Petitioner

v.

IMAGE PROCESSING TECHNOLOGIES, LLC
Patent Owner

Patent No. 8,989,445

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,989,445**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Contents

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8.....	1
III.	PAYMENT OF FEES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.15(a)	3
IV.	GROUND FOR STANDING.....	3
V.	PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED	3
VI.	LEGAL STANDARDS	4
	A. Claim Construction	4
	B. Level Of Ordinary Skill In The Art.....	4
	C. This Petition Is Not Redundant	5
VII.	OVERVIEW OF THE RELEVANT TECHNOLOGY AND '445 PATENT	6
VIII.	DETAILED EXPLANATION OF GROUNDS.....	13
	A. Overview Of Prior Art.....	13
	1. Alton L. Gilbert et al., <i>A Real-Time Video Tracking System</i> , PAMI-2 No. 1 IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence 47 (Jan. 1980) ("Gilbert") (Ex. 1005).....	13
	2. U.S. Patent 5,761,326 to Brady (Ex. 1007)	23
	3. Sylvia Gil, et al., <i>Feature selection for object tracking in traffic scenes</i> , SPIE Vol. 2344 Intelligent Vehicle Highway Systems (1994) ("Gil") (Ex. 1019)	28
	4. U.S. Patent 5,150,432 to Ueno (Ex. 1021)	29
IX.	EXPLANATION OF GROUNDS FOR INVALIDITY	32

A.	Gilbert In View Of Brady Discloses Each Element Of Unchallenged Claims From Which Challenged Claims Depend.....	32
1.	Reasons To Combine Gilbert And Brady	32
2.	Elements Incorporated Into Claims 2, 3, 5, 7, 8, 10-17, And 19 From Claims On Which These Claims Depend.....	34
3.	Elements Incorporated Into Claims 26, 28, 29, And 30 From Claims On Which These Claims Depend	39
4.	Gilbert And Brady Are Not Cumulative.....	42
B.	Ground 1: Gilbert In View Of Brady and Further In View Of Gil Renders Obvious Challenged Claims 2, 3, 5, 7, 8, 10-16, 19-22, 26, and 30.....	43
1.	Reasons To Combine Gilbert With Brady And Further With Gil.....	43
2.	Claim 2: “The process of claim 1, wherein the input signal is smoothed based on information for the plurality of pixels in the first frame and the plurality of pixels in the second frame”.....	45
3.	Claim 3: “The process of claim 1, wherein the first frame is adjacent the second frame in the input signal”	47
4.	Elements Incorporated Into Claims 5, 7, And 8 As Claims Dependent From A Dependent Claim: “The process of claim 1, further comprising displaying an outline associated with the target at a display location based on the target location” [4]	48
5.	Claim 5: “The process of claim 4, wherein displaying the outline includes adjusting a size of the outline”	50
6.	Claim 7: “The process of claim 4, wherein the outline is a box”	51
7.	Claim 8: “The process of claim 7, wherein the box is a rectangle”	52

8.	Claim 10: “The process of claim 1, further comprising determining a target speed”	53
9.	Claim 11: “The process of claim 10, wherein determining the target speed includes determining a direction using a first matrix and a magnitude using a second matrix”	54
10.	Claim 12: “The process of claim 1, further comprising generating multiple histograms in multiple domains for determining a movement of the target”	56
11.	Claim 13: “The process of claim 1, further comprising identifying a non-moving area in the first and second frames of the input signal and forming a signal corresponding to a spatial position of the non-moving area within the first and second frames”	57
12.	Claim 14: “The process of claim 1, wherein generating the histogram based on classification values of the plurality of pixels in the first frame includes identifying a rectangular area within the first frame that defines the plurality of pixels”	59
13.	Claim 15: “The process of claim 14, further comprising increasing a size of the rectangular area”	59
14.	Claim 19: “The process of claim 1, further comprising actuating a servomotor in a camera based on adjusting the target location”	60
15.	Claim 20	61
16.	Claim 21: “The process of claim 20, wherein adjusting the camera includes actuating a servomotor”	66
17.	Claim 22: “The process of claim 20, wherein determining movement of the target includes identifying an edge of the target in the first and second frames”	67
18.	Claim 26: “The image processing system of claim 24, wherein the processing system is further configured to adjust a size of the outline based on the histogram based	

on the first frame and the histogram based on the second frame”69

19. Claim 30: “The image processing system of claim 24, further comprising a servomotor configured to adjust the camera, and wherein the processing system is further configured to adjust the camera based on the adjusted target location”70

C. Ground 2: Gilbert In View Of Brady and Further In View Of Ueno Renders Obvious Challenged Claims 16, 17, and 2371

1. Reasons To Combine Gilbert With Brady And Further With Ueno71

2. Claim 16: “The process of claim 1, wherein identifying the target from the histogram generated based on the first frame includes receiving an input designating a position for the target”75

3. Claim 17: “The process of claim 16, wherein receiving the input designating the position for the target includes receiving a user input, and further comprising determining, based on the updated histogram, an updated position for the target”76

4. Claim 23: “The process of claim 20, wherein the target is a face”77

D. Ground 3: Gilbert In View Of Brady and Further In View Of Ueno And Gil Renders Obvious Challenged Claims 28 and 2978

1. Reasons To Combine Gilbert With Brady And Further With Ueno and Gil78

2. Claim 28: “The image processing system of claim 24, wherein the processing system is further configured to receive a user input to designate a center position for the target”78

3. Claim 29: “The image processing system of claim 28, wherein the processing system is further configured to

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.