

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. AND
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.
Petitioner

v.

IMAGE PROCESSING TECHNOLOGIES LLC,
Patent Owner

CASE IPR2017-01189
Patent No. 6,959,293

**PATENT OWNER IMAGE PROCESSING TECHNOLOGIES LLC'S
PRELIMINARY RESPONSE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.107**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Introduction.....1

II. Constitutionality of *Inter Partes* Review3

III. The Examiner Considered Exhibit 1005 (Pirim WO99/36893) During Prosecution of the '293 Patent.....4

IV. Overview of the '293 Patent.....4

 A. Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art9

 B. Claim Construction10

 1. “the histogram calculation units being configured to form a histogram representative of the parameter” (Claim 1)10

 2. “a classification unit . . . configured to determine the data in the histogram that satisfy a selected criterion” (Claims 18, 23).....14

 3. “wherein classification is performed automatically by processing statistical information associated with the calculated histogram” (Claim 18)18

V. Legal Standards21

VI. No Review Should be Instituted for Claims 2–17, 20–21, and 23–28.....25

 A. Ground 1: Petitioner Has Not Shown that the Asserted References Pirim (Ex. 1005) with Yoda (Ex. 1006) Teach or Suggest All Elements of Claims 3–1725

 B. Ground 2: Petitioner Has Not Shown that the Asserted References Pirim and Eriksson (Exhibit 1008) Teach or Suggest All Elements of Claims 20 and 21.....30

 C. Ground 3: Petitioner Has Not Shown that Pirim Teaches or Suggests All Elements of Claims 2, 23, and 2832

 1. Petitioner has not shown that Pirim teaches or suggests all elements of claim 232

IPR2017-01189 ('293 Patent) Preliminary PO Response

2. Petitioner has not shown that Pirim teaches or suggests all elements of claims 23 and 28.....36

D. Ground 4: Petitioner has Not Shown that the Asserted References Pirim and Qian (Exhibit 1007) Teach or Suggest All Elements of Claims 24–27.....39

VII. Conclusion39

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

<i>Apple Inc. v. Contentguard Holdings, Inc.</i> , IPR2015-00442, Paper 9 (P.T.A.B. July 13, 2015)	21, 23
<i>Cisco Systems, Inc. v. C-Cation Techs. LLC</i> , IPR2014-00454, Paper 12 (P.T.A.B. Aug. 29, 2014)	3
<i>Google, Inc. v. Everymd.com LLC</i> , IPR2014-00347, Paper 9 (P.T.A.B. May 22, 2014).....	22
<i>Graham v. John Deere Co.</i> , 383 U.S. 1 (1966),	21, 22
<i>Grain Processing v. American-Maize Prods.</i> , 840 F.2d 902 (Fed. Cir. 1988).....	25
<i>In re Giannelli</i> , 739 F.3d 1375 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	36
<i>In re Magnum Oil Tools Int'l.</i> , 829 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	21, 23
<i>In re NTP, Inc.</i> , 654 F.3d 1279 (Fed. Cir. 2011).....	24
<i>In re Omeprazole Patent Litigation</i> , 536 F.3d 1361 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....	24
<i>InTouch Tech., Inc. v. VGo Communs., Inc.</i> , 751 F.3d 1327 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	24
<i>Kinetic Concepts, Inc. v. Smith & Nephew, Inc.</i> , 688 F.3d 1342 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	22
<i>KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007)	24, 25, 28
<i>Liberty Mut. Ins. Co. v. Progressive Cas. Ins. Co.</i> , CBM-2012-00003, Paper 7 (P.T.A.B. Nov. 26, 2012)	22

Malico, Inc. v. Cooler Master USA Inc.,
594 F. App'x 621 (Fed. Cir. 2014)28

Ortho-McNeil Pharm. v. Mylan Labs,
520 F.3d 1358 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....24

Proctor & Gamble Co. v. Teva Pharm. USA, Inc.,
566 F.3d 989 (Fed. Cir. 2009)..... 23, 24

SAS Inst., Inc. v. ComplementSoft, LLC,
825 F.3d 1341 (2016),
cert. granted sub nom. SAS Inst. Inc. v. Lee,
137 S. Ct. 2160 (May 22, 2017) (No. 16-969).....21

Synopsys, Inc. v. Mentor Graphics Corp.,
814 F.3d 1309 (2016).....21

Unigene Labs., Inc. v. Apotex, Inc.,
655 F.3d 1352 (Fed. Cir. 2011).....24

Whole Space Indus Ltd.,
IPR2015-00488, Paper 14 (P.T.A.B. July 24, 2015)22

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 103 21, 22

LIST OF EXHIBITS

Ex. 2001	Not used
Ex. 2002	WO 98-05002 (PCT/FR97/01354)
Ex. 2003	Webster’s Encyclopedic Unabridged Dictionary of the English Language (1996)

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.