UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., and Samsung Electronics America, Inc., Petitioners

V.

Image Processing Technologies, LLC, Patent Owner.

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,959,293



TABLE OF CONTENTS

IV. This Petition Is Not Cumulative V. Overview of The Relevant Technology and '293 Patent VI. The Invalidating Prior Art A. International Patent Publication WO 99/36893 ("Pirim") B. U.S. Patent No. 5,239,594 ("Yoda") C. International Patent Publication WO 99/35606 ("Qian") D. Eriksson et al., "Eye-Tracking for Detection of Drive Fatigue," (IEEE 1998) ("Eriksson") VII. Level of Ordinary Skill In The Art	I.	Intro	duction	1	1		
IV. This Petition Is Not Cumulative V. Overview of The Relevant Technology and '293 Patent VI. The Invalidating Prior Art A. International Patent Publication WO 99/36893 ("Pirim") B. U.S. Patent No. 5,239,594 ("Yoda") C. International Patent Publication WO 99/35606 ("Qian") D. Eriksson et al., "Eye-Tracking for Detection of Drive Fatigue," (IEEE 1998) ("Eriksson") VII. Level of Ordinary Skill In The Art	II.	Grou	nds for	Standing, Mandatory Notices, and Fee Authorization	1		
V. Overview of The Relevant Technology and '293 Patent	III.	Summary of Challenges					
VI. The Invalidating Prior Art A. International Patent Publication WO 99/36893 ("Pirim") B. U.S. Patent No. 5,239,594 ("Yoda") C. International Patent Publication WO 99/35606 ("Qian") D. Eriksson et al., "Eye-Tracking for Detection of Drive Fatigue," (IEEE 1998) ("Eriksson") VII. Level of Ordinary Skill In The Art. VIII. Claim Construction IX. Specific Explanation Of Grounds For Invalidity. A. Ground 1: Claims 3-17 are rendered obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 by the combination of Pirim and Yoda 1. Reasons to Combine Pirim and Yoda 2. Claim 3 3. Claim 4 4. Claim 5 5. Claim 6 6. Claim 7 7. Claim 8 8. Claim 9 9. Claim 10 10. Claim 11 11. Claim 12	IV.	This	Petitio	n Is Not Cumulative	4		
A. International Patent Publication WO 99/36893 ("Pirim")	V.	Over	view o	f The Relevant Technology and '293 Patent	5		
B. U.S. Patent No. 5,239,594 ("Yoda")	VI.	The Invalidating Prior Art					
C. International Patent Publication WO 99/35606 ("Qian")		A.	Intern	national Patent Publication WO 99/36893 ("Pirim")	9		
D. Eriksson et al., "Eye-Tracking for Detection of Drive Fatigue," (IEEE 1998) ("Eriksson") VII. Level of Ordinary Skill In The Art		B.	U.S.	Patent No. 5,239,594 ("Yoda")	15		
(IEEE 1998) ("Eriksson") VII. Level of Ordinary Skill In The Art		C.	Intern	national Patent Publication WO 99/35606 ("Qian")	16		
VIII. Claim Construction		D.		riksson et al., "Eye-Tracking for Detection of Drive Fatigue," EEE 1998) ("Eriksson")2			
IX. Specific Explanation Of Grounds For Invalidity A. Ground 1: Claims 3-17 are rendered obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 by the combination of Pirim and Yoda 1. Reasons to Combine Pirim and Yoda 2. Claim 3 3. Claim 4 4. Claim 5 5. Claim 6 6. Claim 7 7. Claim 8 8. Claim 9 9. Claim 10 10. Claim 11 11. Claim 12	VII.	Level	l of Or	dinary Skill In The Art	21		
A. Ground 1: Claims 3-17 are rendered obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 by the combination of Pirim and Yoda 1. Reasons to Combine Pirim and Yoda 2. Claim 3 3. Claim 4 4. Claim 5 5. Claim 6 6. Claim 7 7. Claim 8 8. Claim 9 9. Claim 10 10. Claim 11 11. Claim 12	VIII.	Clain	n Cons	truction	22		
103 by the combination of Pirim and Yoda 1. Reasons to Combine Pirim and Yoda 2. Claim 3 3. Claim 4 4. Claim 5 5. Claim 6 6. Claim 7 7. Claim 8 8. Claim 9 9. Claim 10 10. Claim 11 11. Claim 12	IX.	Speci	fic Ex	planation Of Grounds For Invalidity	22		
 Claim 3 Claim 4 Claim 5 Claim 6 Claim 7 Claim 8 Claim 9 Claim 10 Claim 11 Claim 12 		A.		v	22		
 Claim 4			1.	Reasons to Combine Pirim and Yoda	22		
 Claim 5			2.	Claim 3	23		
 Claim 6			3.	Claim 4	43		
 6. Claim 7			4.	Claim 5	46		
 7. Claim 8 8. Claim 9 9. Claim 10 10. Claim 11 11. Claim 12 			5.	Claim 6	47		
 8. Claim 9 9. Claim 10 10. Claim 11 11. Claim 12 			6.	Claim 7	49		
9. Claim 10			7.	Claim 8	51		
10. Claim 11			8.	Claim 9	52		
11. Claim 12			9.	Claim 10	52		
			10.	Claim 11	53		
12. Claims 13-16			11.	Claim 12	56		
			12.	Claims 13-16	57		



U.S. Patent No. 6,959,293 Petition for *Inter Partes* Review

		13.	Claim 17	58
	В.	Ground 2: Claims 20-21 are rendered obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 by the combination of Pirim and Eriksson		
		1.	Reasons to Combine Pirim and Eriksson	60
		2.	Claim 20	62
		3.	Claim 21	67
	C.	Ground 3: Claims 2, 23, and 28 are rendered obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 by Pirim		
		1.	Claim 2	69
		2.	Claim 23	73
		3.	Claim 28	77
	D.	Ground 4: Claims 24-27 are rendered obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 by the combination of Pirim and Qian		
		1.	Reasons to Combine Pirim and Qian	79
		2.	Claim 24	80
		3.	Claim 25	82
		4.	Claim 26	83
		5.	Claim 27	83
X.	Conc	clusion	1	83
Cert	tificatio	n of W	Vord Count	84



LIST OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit No.	Description
1001	U.S. Patent No. 6,959,293
1002	Declaration of Dr. John C. Hart
1003	Curriculum Vitae of Dr. John C. Hart
1004	Prosecution File History of U.S. Patent No. 6,959,293
1005	WO 99/36893, Patrick Pirim and Thomas Binford, "Method and
	Apparatus for Detection of Drowsiness," published July 22, 1999
1006	U.S. Patent No. 5,239,594 to Yoda, issued August 24, 1993
1007	WO 99/35606, Richard Jungiang Qian, "System for Human Face
	Tracking," published July 15, 1999
1008	Martin Eriksson and Nikoalaos P. Papanikolopoulos, "Eye-Tracking
	for Detection of Drive Fatigue," 0-7803-4269-0/97 (IEEE 1998)
1009	Hennessy and Patterson, "Computer Architecture: A Quantitative
	Approach," Morgan-Kaufman (1990) (excerpts)
1010	Declaration of Umit Ozguner (establishing publication of Ex. 1008)



I. INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.100, *et seq.*, Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. ("Petitioner" or "Samsung") hereby petitions the United States Patent and Trademark Office (the "Office") to institute an *inter partes* review of claims 2-17, 20-21, and 23-28 of U.S. Patent No. 6,959,293 ("the '293 Patent"). The '293 Patent, attached as Ex. 1001, is assigned to Image Processing Technologies, LLC ("Patent Owner"). As set forth below, claims 2-17, 20-21, and 23-28 of the '293 Patent are invalid as obvious over the prior art. Petitioner has also challenged claims 1, 18-19, 22, and 29 of the 293 Patent in co-pending case No. IPR2017-00336, in which an institution decision has not yet issued.

This petition presents non-cumulative grounds of invalidity based on combinations of prior art that were not relied upon by the Office during prosecution. Each ground presented is reasonably likely to prevail, and this petition should be granted on all grounds.

II. GROUNDS FOR STANDING, MANDATORY NOTICES, AND FEE AUTHORIZATION

Grounds for Standing: Petitioner certifies that the '293 patent is available for *inter partes* review and that Petitioner is not barred or estopped from requesting an *inter partes* review challenging the patent claims on the grounds identified in this petition.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

