UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.; and SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC. Petitioners v. IMAGE PROCESSING TECHNOLOGIES, LLC

Patent No. 6,959,293

Patent Owner

DECLARATION OF DR. JOHN C. HART IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,959,293



TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION						
BACKGROUND AND EXPERIENCE						
A.	Quali	fications	1			
B.	Previ	ous Testimony	4			
TECH	HNICAL BACKGROUND					
THE	THE '293 PATENT					
SUM	MMARY OF OPINIONS					
LEVI	LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART					
CLAI	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION					
THE PRIOR ART TEACHES OR SUGGESTS EVERY FEATURE OF THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS OF THE '293 PATENT			17			
A.	Over	view of the Prior Art References	17			
	1.	International Patent Publication WO 99/36893 ("Pirim")	17			
	2.	U.S. Patent No. 5,239,594 ("Yoda")	22			
	3.	C. International Patent Publication WO 99/35606 ("Qian")	23			
	4.	Eriksson et al., "Eye-Tracking for Detection of Drive Fatigue," (IEEE 1998) ("Eriksson")	26			
B.			27			
	1.	Reasons to combine Pirim and Yoda	27			
	2.	Claim 3	29			
	3.	Claim 4	46			
	4.	Claim 5	50			
	5.	Claim 6	51			
	6.	Claim 7	53			
	BACI A. B. TECH THE SUM LEVI CLAI THE CHAI A.	BACKGROU A. Quali B. Previ TECHNICA THE '293 PA SUMMARY LEVEL OF C CLAIM CON THE PRIOR CHALLENC A. Over 1. 2. 3. 4. B. Groundiscle 1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	BACKGROUND AND EXPERIENCE A. Qualifications B. Previous Testimony TECHNICAL BACKGROUND. THE '293 PATENT SUMMARY OF OPINIONS LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART CLAIM CONSTRUCTION. THE PRIOR ART TEACHES OR SUGGESTS EVERY FEATURE OF THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS OF THE '293 PATENT A. Overview of the Prior Art References 1. International Patent Publication WO 99/36893 ("Pirim") 2. U.S. Patent No. 5,239,594 ("Yoda"). 3. C. International Patent Publication WO 99/35606 ("Qian") 4. Eriksson et al., "Eye-Tracking for Detection of Drive Fatigue," (IEEE 1998) ("Eriksson"). B. Ground 1: the combination of Pirim and Yoda teaches, suggests, or discloses every element of Claims 3-17. 1. Reasons to combine Pirim and Yoda 2. Claim 3 3. Claim 4 4. Claim 5 5. Claim 6			



Declaration of Dr. John C. Hart U.S. Patent No. 6,959,293

	7.	Claim 8	55		
	8.	Claim 9	56		
	9.	Claim 10	56		
	10.	Claim 11	57		
	11.	Claim 12	60		
	12.	Claim 13	61		
	13.	Claim 14	62		
	14.	Claim 15	63		
	15.	Claim 16	64		
	16.	Claim 17	65		
C.		Ground 2: The Combination of Pirim and Eriksson teaches, suggests, or discloses every element of Claims 20-21			
	1.	Reasons to Combine Pirim and Eriksson	67		
	2.	Claim 20	69		
	3.	Claim 21	74		
D.		Ground 3: Pirim teaches, suggests, or discloses every element of Claims 2, 23, and 28			
	1.	Claim 2	75		
	2.	Claim 23	80		
	3.	Claim 28	84		
E.		Ground 3: The combination of Pirim and Qian teaches, suggests, or discloses every element of Claims 24-27			
	1.	Reasons to Combine Pirim and Qian	86		
	2.	Claim 24	86		
	3.	Claim 25	89		
	4.	Claim 26	90		



Declaration of Dr. John C. Hart U.S. Patent No. 6,959,293

	5.	Claim 27	90
IX.	CONCLUSIO	N	91



I, John C. Hart, declare as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION

- 1. I have been retained by Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. and Samsung Electronics America, Inc. (collectively, "Petitioner") as an independent expert consultant in this proceeding before the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("PTO").
- 2. I have been asked to consider whether certain references teach or suggest the features recited in Claims 2-17, 20-21, and 23-28 of U.S. Patent No. 6,959,293 ("the '293 Patent") (Ex. 1001), which I understand is allegedly owned by Image Processing Technologies, LLC ("Patent Owner"). My opinions and the bases for my opinions are set forth below.
- 3. I am being compensated at my ordinary and customary consulting rate for my work.
- 4. My compensation is in no way contingent on the nature of my findings, the presentation of my findings in testimony, or the outcome of this or any other proceeding. I have no other interest in this proceeding.

II. BACKGROUND AND EXPERIENCE

A. Qualifications

5. I have more than 25 years of experience in computer graphics and image processing technologies. In particular, I have devoted much of my career to



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

