

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

LUPIN LTD. and LUPIN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.  
Petitioner,

v.

HORIZON THERAPEUTICS, INC.  
Patent Owner

Case IPR2017-01160  
Patent 9,326,966

**PETITIONERS' OBJECTIONS TO EVIDENCE  
PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.64**

Petitioners Lupin Ltd. and Lupin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (“Lupin”) hereby object pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1) and the Federal Rules of Evidence (“FRE”) to the admissibility of certain exhibits served by Patent Owner Horizon Therapeutics, Inc. on July 24, 2017 in connection with its Preliminary Response to Lupin’s Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 9,326,966 (“the ’966 patent”). The exhibits objected to, and grounds for Lupin’s objections, are listed below. Lupin also objects to Patent Owner’s reliance on or citations to any objected evidence in its papers.

**I. IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGED EVIDENCE AND GROUNDS FOR OBJECTIONS**

**A. Exhibit 2001 (IPR2016-00829 Enns Declaration)**

Lupin objects to Exhibit 2001 under FRE 402 and 403 because it is irrelevant and its probative value is substantially outweighed by the danger of wasting time in this compressed proceeding. Exhibit 2001 is an expert declaration submitted by Patent Owner in a different proceeding on a different patent, *i.e.*, IPR2016-00829. Therefore, Exhibit 2001 should be excluded under FRE 402 and 403. Lupin further objects to Exhibit 2001 under FRE 802 because it is inadmissible hearsay, not within a hearsay exception.

**B. Exhibit 2006 (IPR2017-01160 Enns Declaration)**

Lupin objects to Exhibit 2006, the declaration of Dr. Gregory M. Enns, under FRE 402 to the extent that it includes or relies on irrelevant or inadmissible information and under FRE 403 to the extent that it includes or relies on information that probative value of which is substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice, wasting time, or needlessly presenting cumulative evidence as set forth herein. Lupin further objects to Exhibit 2006 under FRE 901 on the basis that it cites or relies on exhibits that have not been properly authenticated or lack foundation, as set forth herein.

**C. Exhibit 2012 ('157 Publication)**

Lupin objects to Exhibit 2012 under FRE 402 and 403 because it is irrelevant and its probative value is substantially outweighed by the danger of wasting time in this compressed proceeding. Exhibit 2012 was published in 2012 and, therefore, bears no relevance to what the person of ordinary skill in the art would have known by Sept. 30, 2011, the priority date used for the purposes of this proceeding. Therefore, Exhibit 2012 should be excluded under FRE 402 and 403. Lupin further objects to Exhibit 2012 under FRE 802 on the basis that it is inadmissible hearsay, not within a hearsay exception.

**D. Exhibit 2019 (Haberle)**

Lupin objects to Exhibit 2019 under FRE 402 and 403 because it is irrelevant and its probative value is substantially outweighed by the danger of wasting time in this compressed proceeding. Exhibit 2019 is dated after Sept. 30, 2011, and therefore bears no relevance to what the person of ordinary skill in the art would have known by the priority date used for the purposes of this proceeding. Therefore, Exhibit 2019 should be excluded under FRE 402 and 403. Lupin further objects to Exhibit 2019 under FRE 802 on the basis that it is inadmissible hearsay, not within a hearsay exception.

**E. Exhibit 2026 (ABMGG Webpage regarding Specialties of Genetics)**

Lupin objects to Exhibit 2026 under FRE 402 and 403 because it is irrelevant and its probative value is substantially outweighed by the danger of wasting time in this compressed proceeding. Exhibit 2026 is dated after Sept. 30, 2011, and therefore bears no relevance to what the person of ordinary skill in the art would have known by the priority date used for the purposes of this proceeding. Therefore, Exhibit 2026 should be excluded under FRE 402 and 403. Lupin further objects to Exhibit 2026 under FRE 802 on the basis that it is inadmissible hearsay, not within a hearsay exception. Lupin also objects to Exhibit 2026 under

FRE 901 on the basis that it has not been properly authenticated and lacks foundation.

**F. Exhibit 2027 (About Us Webpage for Urea Cycle Disorders Consortium)**

Lupin objects to Exhibit 2027 under FRE 402 and 403 because it is irrelevant and its probative value is substantially outweighed by the danger of wasting time in this compressed proceeding. Exhibit 2027 does not have a discernible publication date and Patent Owner has not established that it is prior art. Absent such a showing, it bears no relevance to what the person of ordinary skill in the art would have known by the priority date used for the purposes of this proceeding. Therefore, Exhibit 2027 should be excluded under FRE 402 and 403.

Lupin further objects to Exhibit 2027 under FRE 802 on the basis that it is inadmissible hearsay, not within a hearsay exception. Lupin also objects to Exhibit 2027 under FRE 901 on the basis that it has not been properly authenticated and lacks foundation.

**G. Exhibit 2029 (Enns Article on Hyperammonemia)**

Lupin objects to Exhibit 2029 under FRE 402 and 403 because it is irrelevant and its probative value is substantially outweighed by the danger of wasting time in this compressed proceeding. Exhibit 2029 is dated after September 30, 2011, and therefore bears no relevance to what the person of ordinary skill in

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.