UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
LUPIN LTD. AND LUPIN PHARMACEUTICALS INC.,
Petitioner
v.
HORIZON THERAPEUTICS, LLC,
Patent Owner
Case IPR 2017-01159
Patent 9,254,278

**DECLARATION OF DR. GREGORY M. ENNS** 



I.	Introd	Introduction		
II.	Qualifications			
	A.	Education	2	
	B.	Professional Experience	3	
	C.	Publications and Presentations	5	
	D.	Honors and Awards	6	
	E.	Professional Organizations and Service Activities	6	
III.	Legal	Principles	7	
IV.	Summ	nmary of Opinions9		
V.	Person	of Ordinary Skill in the Art	11	
VI.	Techn	chnology Background14		
VII.	Overview of the '278 Patent			
	A.	The Claims of the '278 Patent	24	
	B.	Prosecution History of the '278 Patent	26	
VIII.	Claim Construction			
	A.	"upper limit of normal"	28	
	B.	"the subject"	29	
IX.	The Prior Art Does Not Disclose or Suggest Subject Matter of Claims 1-15			
	A.	The Prior Art Does Not Disclose Increasing a Dosage of Glyceryl Tri-[4-phenyl-butyrate] in a Patient with a Plasma Ammonia Level Between Half the ULN and the ULN	30	
	В.	No Motivation to Adjust the Dosage of Nitrogen Scavenging Drug for a Subject with Plasma Ammonia Levels in the Normal Range	39	
		A POSA Did Not Account for a Fasting v. Fed Ammonia Level     When Making Dosing Determinations	40	



		2. The Prior Art Disclosed Normal Plasma Ammonia Levels Were Acceptable and Only Increased Dosage When Levels Were Well Above Normal	44
		3. A POSA Would Not Have Been Motivated to Combine the '859 Publication with Simell or Blau	50
	C.	No Reasonable Expectation that an Increased Dosage Would Lower a Patient's Baseline Ammonia and Ensure a Normal Plasma Ammonia over the Course of a Day	56
	D.	The Prior Art Did Not Disclose or Suggest Targeting a Plasma Ammonia Level Below Half the ULN	58
Y	Conc	ducion	63



I, Dr. Gregory M. Enns, hereby declare as follows:

### I. Introduction

- 1. I, Dr. Gregory M. Enns, have been retained by Green, Griffith & Borg-Breen LLP on behalf of Horizon Therapeutics, LLC, as an independent expert in the field of clinical biochemical genetics. My curriculum vitae establishes my qualifications in this area. (Ex. 2007.) I am being compensated for the time I spend on this matter, but no part of my compensation depends directly or indirectly on the outcome of this proceeding or any related proceeding.
- 2. I understand that this proceeding involves U.S. Patent No. 9,254,278 ("the '278 patent"). (Ex. 1001.) I understand that the application for the '278 patent was filed on August 3, 2015, as a continuation of U.S. Patent Application No. 13/775,000, filed February 22, 2013, now U.S. Patent No. 9,095,559 ("the '559 Patent"). The '559 patent is the subject of IPR No. 2016-00829. As discussed further below, I have submitted an expert declaration on behalf of Patent Owner, Horizon Therapeutics, LLC in the IPR proceeding concerning the '559 patent claims. (See Ex. 2001, Declaration of Dr. Gregory M. Enns, M.D., Lupin Ltd. et al. v. Horizon Therapeutics, Inc., IPR2016-00829 ("Enns '559 Declaration.").) I have also submitted an expert declaration on behalf of Patent Owner Horizon Therapeutics, LLC in the IPR proceeding concerning related U.S. Patent U.S. 9,326,966 ("the '966 patent"), Lupin Ltd. et al. v. Horizon Therapeutics, Inc., IPR2017-01160. I note that Lupin's expert in this proceeding, Dr. Keith Vaux, has also submitted declarations in support of Lupin's Petitions in the IPR proceedings concerning the '559 and '966 patents, which rely on the same primary prior art references and largely the same arguments as those included in the instant matter.



Case No. IPR2017-01159 U.S. Patent No. 9,254,278

- 3. I understand that the '278 patent issued on February 9, 2016, and that the '278 patent claims priority to Provisional Application No. 61/564,668 ("the '668 application"), filed on November 29, 2011, and Provisional Application No. 61/542,100, filed on September 30, 2011. (Ex. 1001.) I have therefore considered the state of the art and the prior art available as of September 30, 2011.
- 4. I understand that Petitioner has asserted that the '859 Publication (Ex. 1007) renders obvious claims 1-3 of the '278 patent; that a combination of Blau (Ex. 1006), Simell (Ex. 1005), and the '859 Publication renders obvious claims 4-7, and 12-15; and that a combination of Blau, Simell, the '859 Publication, and the Brusilow '979 Patent (Ex. 1024) renders obvious claims 8-11. (Petition at 13.) In preparing this declaration, I have considered the '278 patent and its prosecution history, the Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of No. U.S. Patent 9,254,278, the Declaration of Dr. Vaux (Ex. 1002) ("Vaux"), the prior art and references identified in the Petition and the Vaux Declaration, my knowledge and expertise in the art, and any additional materials cited herein.

### II. Qualifications

#### A. Education

- 5. I received a Bachelor of Arts degree in Biology from Pomona College in 1984. In 1987, I obtained a Diploma in Medical Science from the University of St. Andrews, Scotland. In 1990, I received my U.K. Medical Degree from the University of Glasgow, Scotland.
- 6. From 1990 to 1991, I was a Junior House Officer at the Royal Hospital for Sick Children and the Glasgow Royal Infirmary, working in both Pediatric Surgery and General Medicine. I then completed my U.S. residency training in Pediatrics at the Children's Hospital of Los Angeles ("CHLA"), beginning as Intern and Resident from 1991 to 1994, and serving as



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

