

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

EVERNOTE CORPORATION,
Petitioner

v.

TALSK RESEARCH, INC.
Patent Owner

Case No.: To Be Assigned

Patent No.: 7,178,097

For: Method and System for Using a Communications Network to Archive and
Retrieve Bibliography Information and Reference Material

**PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW
PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. §§ 311–319 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42**

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. Mandatory Notices Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8	1
A. Real Party-in-Interest	1
B. Related Matters.....	1
C. Lead and Back-up Counsel and Service Information	1
D. Service Information.....	2
E. Fees.....	2
II. Grounds for Standing Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a).....	2
III. Identification of Challenge Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b) and Statement of the Relief Requested	3
IV. Overview of the '097 Patent.....	3
A. Brief Description of the Alleged Invention.....	3
B. Prosecution History of the '097 Patent	5
C. Summary of the Challenged Claims	7
V. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art.....	7
VI. Construction of Claim Terms.....	7
A. “Distinctive Key” / “Unique Key”	7
B. “Bibliography”	9
C. “Author” and “Audience”	9
VII. Summary of the Prior Art.....	10
A. The Admitted Prior Art	10
B. Caplan (Exhibit-1004).....	11

C.	Kahn (Exhibit-1005).....	13
D.	Gemteq (Exhibit-1006) / Gulati (Exhibit-1014)	14
VIII. Detailed Explanation of Grounds for Unpatentability		17
A.	Ground 1: Caplan in View of Kahn and the Knowledge of a POSA Renders Claims 8–17 Unpatentable for Obviousness Under § 103	17
i.	Obvious to Combine Caplan with Kahn	19
ii.	Claim 8, preamble: “[a] method for archiving reference material cited in a bibliography of a manuscript by an author of the manuscript”	21
iii.	Claim 8(a): “an author of a manuscript using a web site on the Internet as a reference for the manuscript”	21
iv.	Claim 8(b): “the author transmitting the address of the web[] site to a database connected to the Internet using a first communications device connected to the Internet”	22
v.	Claim 8(c): “the database obtaining a copy of the website from the Internet upon receiving the web site address from the author such that the copy of the web site obtained by the database is verbatim to the web site as on the Internet at the time the author transmitted the web site address to the database”	23
vi.	Claim 8(d): “associating a distinctive key to the copy of the web site”	24
vii.	Claim 8(e): “storing at the database the copy of the web site with the distinctive key”	25
viii.	Claim 8(f): “the author citing the web site as being a reference for the manuscript by listing identification of the web site along with the distinctive key in the bibliography of the manuscript”	26
ix.	Claim 8(g): “an audience of the manuscript obtaining the distinctive key from the bibliography of the manuscript”	27

x.	Claim 8(h): “the audience transmitting the distinctive key to the database using a second communications device connected to the Internet in order to request the database for the copy of the web site”	27
xi.	Claim 8(i): “the database transmitting a copy of the stored copy of the web site to the audience via the Internet and the second communications device in response to the database receiving the distinctive key from the audience such that the copy of the web site transmitted from the database to the audience is verbatim to the web site as on the Internet at the time the author transmitted the web site address to the database”	28
xii.	Claim 9	29
xiii.	Claim 10	29
xiv.	Claim 11	30
xv.	Claim 12	31
xvi.	Claim 13	32
xvii.	Claims 14 and 15	32
xviii.	Claim 16	33
xix.	Claim 17	33
B.	Ground 2: APA in View of Gemteq Further in View of Kahn Renders Claims 8–16 Unpatentable for Obviousness under §103	36
i.	Obvious to Combine APA, Gemteq, and Kahn	37
ii.	Claim 8, preamble: “[a] method for archiving reference material cited in a bibliography of a manuscript by an author of the manuscript”	40
iii.	Claim 8(a): “an author of a manuscript using a web site on the Internet as a reference for the manuscript”	40

iv.	Claim 8(b): “the author transmitting the address of the web[] site to a database connected to the Internet using a first communications device connected to the Internet”	40
v.	Claim 8(c): “the database obtaining a copy of the website from the Internet upon receiving the web site address from the author such that the copy of the web site obtained by the database is verbatim to the web site as on the Internet at the time the author transmitted the web site address to the database”	42
vi.	Claim 8(d): “associating a distinctive key to the copy of the web site”	42
vii.	Claim 8(e): “storing at the database the copy of the web site with the distinctive key”	43
viii.	Claim 8(f): “the author citing the web site as being a reference for the manuscript by listing identification of the web site along with the distinctive key in the bibliography of the manuscript”	43
ix.	Claim 8(g): “an audience of the manuscript obtaining the distinctive key from the bibliography of the manuscript”	44
x.	Claim 8(h): “the audience transmitting the distinctive key to the database using a second communications device connected to the Internet in order to request the database for the copy of the web site”	45
xi.	Claim 8(i): “the database transmitting a copy of the stored copy of the web site to the audience via the Internet and the second communications device in response to the database receiving the distinctive key from the audience such that the copy of the web site transmitted from the database to the audience is verbatim to the web site as on the Internet at the time the author transmitted the web site address to the database”	45
xii.	Claim 9	46
xiii.	Claim 10	46

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.