UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

EVERNOTE CORPORATION,
Petitioner

v.

TALSK RESEARCH, INC.
Patent Owner

Case No.: To Be Assigned

Patent No.: 7,178,097

For: Method and System for Using a Communications Network to Archive and Retrieve Bibliography Information and Reference Material

DECLARATION OF STEPHEN GRAY IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT 7,178,097

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. PROFESSIONAL BACKGROUND 1

II. BACKGROUND OF THE TECHNOLOGY 4

A. Background on Archiving Internet Webpages 4

B. Background on Database Technology 6

III. MATERIALS RELIED UPON 7

IV. SUMMARY OF CONCLUSIONS 9

V. RELEVANT LEGAL STANDARDS 10

VI. PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART 12

VII. SUMMARY OF THE '097 PATENT 14

VIII. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION 18

A. "Distinctive Key" / "Unique Key" 18

B. "Bibliography" 20

C. "Author" / "Audience" 21

IX. PRIOR ART 21

A. The Admitted Background Art 21

B. Priscilla Caplan and William Y. Arms, *Reference Linking for Journal Articles*, D-Lib Magazine, Vol. 5 No. 7/8, July/August 1999 (Exhibit-1004) 23

C. U.S. Patent No. 6,135,646 to Kahn et al. (Exhibit-1005) 25

D. PCT Application No. WO00/39713 to Gemteq Software, Inc. (Exhibit-1006) 28

DOCKET ALARM
2 of 122
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

X. GROUND 1: CAPLAN IN VIEW OF KAHN AND THE KNOWLEDGE OF A PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART RENDERS CLAIMS 1–28 UNPATENTABLE FOR OBVIOUSNESS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103 32

A. Claims 8–16 of the '097 Patent Would Have Been Obvious to Someone Having Ordinary Skill in the Field in View of Caplan and Kahn and the Knowledge of a Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art 32

B. Claims 1 – 7 65

C. Claim 17 65

D. Claim 18–28 Are Substantially Similar to Independent Claim 8 and Its Dependent Claims, and Would Have Been Obvious to a Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art for the Same Reasons Discussed Above. 71

XI. GROUND 2: APA, IN VIEW OF GEMTEQ AND FURTHER IN VIEW OF KAHN, RENDERS CLAIMS 1 –16 AND 18 – 28 UNPATENTABLE FOR OBVIOUSNESS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103 71

A. Claims 8–16 of the '097 Patent Would Have Been Obvious to Someone Having Ordinary Skill in the Field in View of APA, Gemteq, and Kahn 72

B. Claims 1–7 93

C. Claim 18 – 28 are Substantially Similar to Independent Claim 8 and Its Dependent Claims, and Would Have Been Obvious to a Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art for the Same Reasons Discussed Above. 93

XII. CLAIMS 1–7 AND CLAIMS 18–28 94

A. Claims 1–7 94

B. Claims 18–28 98

DOCKET ALARM
3 of 122
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

XIII. CONCLUSION 107

DOCKET ALARM
4 of 122
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

I, Stephen Gray, do hereby declare:

1. I am making this declaration at the request of Evernote Corporation ("Evernote") in the matter of the *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 7,178,097 ("the '097 Patent").

2. I am being compensated for my work in this matter at my standard hourly rate of $400 for consulting services. I am being reimbursed at cost for any expenses. My compensation in no way depends upon the outcome of this proceeding.

I. PROFESSIONAL BACKGROUND

3. I am an independent consultant. All of my opinions stated in this report are based on my own personal knowledge and professional judgment. In forming my opinions, I have relied on my knowledge and experience in designing, developing, and deploying distributed client/server systems, graphical user interfaces, and website platforms and information referenced in this report. I am over 18 years of age and, if I am called upon to do so, I am competent to testify as to the matters set forth herein. I have attached as Appendix 1 a copy of my current curriculum vitae, which details my education and experience, and a list of all other cases in which, during the previous four years, I testified as an expert at trial or by deposition. The following thus provides an overview of some of my experience that is relevant to the matters set forth in this report.

DOCKET ALARM
5 of 122
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET ALARM

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts

Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research

With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips

Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS
Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS
Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS
Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.