UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD ————————————————————————————————————
TWITTER, INC.
Petitioner
v.
VIDSTREAM, LLC
Patent Owner
Case IPR2017-01133 U.S. Patent No. 8,601,506 (Claims 1, 4-8, 11, 13-15, 23-26, and 29-30)

PATENT OWNER VIDSTREAM LLC'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

Pursuant to the Board's most-recent Scheduling Order dated August 30, 2018 (Paper 53), Patent Owner respectfully requests oral argument for all grounds now instituted in the proceedings of Cases IPR2017-01131 and IPR2017-01133, with one (1) hour allotted to each side.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70, and without intending to waive consideration of any issue not requested, Patent Owner specifies that the issues to be argued include:

- 1. the grounds of unpatentability instituted by the Board in Paper 8 of Case IPR2017-01131, including: Ground 1 (claims 1, 4, 5, 8, 9, 14-16, 26, and 28 as obvious over Lahti, Current TV Mobile, and Current TV FAQ); Ground 2 (claim 11 as obvious over Lahti, Current TV Mobile, Current TV FAQ, and Washington); Ground 3 (claims 12, 13, 29, and 30 as obvious over Lahti, Current TV Mobile, Current TV FAQ, Washington, and Franken); Ground 4 (claims 17 and 19-21 as obvious over Lahti, Chen, and APA); Ground 5 (claims 22-25 as obvious over Lahti, Current TV Mobile, Current TV FAQ, and APA); and Ground 6 (claims 1, 4, 5, and 9 as anticipated by Lahti);
- 2. the grounds of unpatentability instituted by the Board in Paper 8 of Case IPR2017-01133, including: Ground 1 (claims 1, 4-8, 11, 13-15, 23-26, 29, and 30 as obvious over Lahti, Conway, and Novak); and Ground 2



Case IPR2017-01133 Patent 8,601,506

(claims 1, 4-8, 11, 13-15, 23-26, 29, and 30 as obvious over Lahti, Novak, Current TV Mobile, and Current TV FAQ); and

3. all other issues the Board deems necessary for issuing a final written decision.

Patent Owner also requests the ability to use audio-visual equipment to display demonstrative exhibits, including the use of a projector and screen.

September 14, 2018

/Eagle H. Robinson/ Eagle H. Robinson (Reg. No. 61,361)



CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.6(e), the undersigned certifies that on September 14, 2018, a complete copy of PATENT OWNER VIDSTREAM LLC'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT was served on the following:

David L. McCombs, Reg. No. 32,271

Haynes and Boone, LLP

2323 Victory Ave. Suite 700

Dallas, TX 75219

T: 214-651-5533

F: 214-200-0853

Gregory P. Huh, Reg. No. 70,480

T: 972-739-6939

Theodore M. Foster, Reg. No. 57,456

T: 972-739-8649

Raghav Bajaj, Reg. No. 66,630

T: 512-867-8520

Haynes and Boone, LLP

2323 Victory Ave. Suite 700

Dallas, TX 75219

F: 214-200-0853

Sonal Mehta, pro hac vice

Durie Tangri LLP

217 Leidesdorff St.

San Francisco, CA 94111

T: 415-362-6666

F: 415-236-6300

via email (by consent), as follows:

david.mccombs.ipr@haynesboone.com gregory.huh.ipr@haynesboone.com ipr.theo.foster@haynesboone.com raghav.bajaj.ipr@haynesboone.com smehta@durietangri.com

/Eagle H. Robinson/

Eagle Robinson (Reg. No. 61,361)

