

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

TWITTER, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

VIDSTREAM, LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2017-00829 (Patent 9,083,997 B2)
Case IPR2017-00830 (Patent 9,083,997 B2)
Case IPR2017-01131 (Patent 8,464,304 B2)
Case IPR2017-01133 (Patent 8,601,506 B2)¹

Before SALLY C. MEDLEY, CHARLES J. BOUDREAU, and
JESSICA C. KAISER, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

KAISER, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER
Conduct of Proceeding
37 C.F.R. § 42.5

¹ This Order will be entered in each case. The parties are not authorized to use this caption style.

IPR2017-00829 (Patent 9,083,997 B2)
IPR2017-00830 (Patent 9,083,997 B2)
IPR2017-01131 (Patent 8,464,304 B2)
IPR2017-01133 (Patent 8,601,506 B2)

On August 27, 2018, Patent Owner contacted the Board by e-mail seeking permission to file sur-replies in the above-captioned cases in lieu of observations on cross-examination. Ex. 3008. Patent Owner contends that its request is consistent with the recent updates to the Board’s Trial Practice Guide and will “afford Patent Owner an opportunity to address new evidence and new arguments first raised in Petitioner’s recent Replies.” *Id.* Patent Owner represents that Petitioner opposes its request. *Id.*

The August 2018 Update to the Trial Practice Guide² (“Trial Practice Guide Update”) states that “[s]ur-replies to principal briefs (i.e., to a reply to a patent owner response or to a reply to an opposition to a motion to amend) normally will be authorized by the scheduling order entered at institution.” Trial Practice Guide Update 14. The Trial Practice Guide Update further states that its “sur-reply practice essentially replaces the previous practice of filing observations on cross-examination testimony.” *Id.*

We entered an Order in these proceedings updating the schedule on May 14, 2018.³ That Order sets DUE DATE 4 for “[o]bservations regarding cross-examination of reply witness” as September 14, 2018. Patent Owner does not seek to change DUE DATE 4, but rather seeks to file a sur-reply instead of observations. *See* Ex. 3008.

We determine Patent Owner’s request is consistent with the Trial Practice Guide Update, and that request is granted. Patent Owner’s sur-reply shall be subject to the limits discussed in the Trial Practice Guide Update,

² Available at <https://go.usa.gov/xU7GP>.

³ Paper 38 in IPR2017-00829; Paper 39 in IPR2017-00830; Paper 38 in IPR2017-01131; Paper 35 in IPR2017-01133.

IPR2017-00829 (Patent 9,083,997 B2)
IPR2017-00830 (Patent 9,083,997 B2)
IPR2017-01131 (Patent 8,464,304 B2)
IPR2017-01133 (Patent 8,601,506 B2)

including that the sur-reply may only respond to arguments made in Petitioner's reply brief, comment on reply declaration testimony, or point to cross-examination testimony; and may not be accompanied by new evidence other than deposition transcripts of the cross-examination of any reply witness. Trial Practice Guide Update 14–15. In addition, Patent Owner's sur-reply is subject to the same word limit as Petitioner's reply. *Id.* at 6.

For the foregoing reasons, it is

ORDERED that Patent Owner's request to file a sur-reply in lieu of observations is *granted*; and

FURTHER ORDERED that the Scheduling Order (Paper 13) as amended by the May 14, 2018 Order is further revised to reflect DUE DATES 4–7 provided in the Due Date Appendix below but is unchanged in all other respects.

IPR2017-00829 (Patent 9,083,997 B2)
IPR2017-00830 (Patent 9,083,997 B2)
IPR2017-01131 (Patent 8,464,304 B2)
IPR2017-01133 (Patent 8,601,506 B2)

DUE DATE APPENDIX

DUE DATE 4 September 14, 2018

Patent Owner's sur-reply to Petitioner's reply to patent owner's
response to petition

Motion to exclude evidence

Request for oral argument

DUE DATE 5..... September 28, 2018

Opposition to motion to exclude

DUE DATE 6 October 5, 2018

Reply to opposition to motion to exclude

DUE DATE 7 October 19, 2018

Oral argument (if requested)

IPR2017-00829 (Patent 9,083,997 B2)
IPR2017-00830 (Patent 9,083,997 B2)
IPR2017-01131 (Patent 8,464,304 B2)
IPR2017-01133 (Patent 8,601,506 B2)

PETITIONER:

IPR2017-00829, -00830
David McCombs
Gregory Huh
Theodore Foster
Raghav Bajaj
HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP
David.mccombs.ipr@haynesboone.com
Gregory.huh.ipr@haynesboone.com
Ipr.theo.foster@haynesboone.com
Raghav.bajaj.ipr@haynesboone.com

IPR2017-01131, -01133
Todd Siegel
Andrew Mason
Robert T. Cruzen
KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP
Todd.siegel@klarquist.com
Andrew.mason@klarquist.com
Rob.cruzen@klarquist.com

PATENT OWNER:

Scott McKeown
ROPES & GRAY LLP
Scott.mckeown@ropes.gray.com

Spencer C. Patterson
GRABLE MARTIN FULTON PLLC
patterson@gchub.com

Stephen L. Levine
CARRINGTON, COLEMAN, SLOMAN & BLUMENTHAL, L.L.P.
slevine@ccsb.com

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.