

### 1 APPEARANCES CONTINUED: 2. ELENA C. NORMAN, ESQ., and 3 MICHELLE SHERETTA BUDICAK, ESQ. Young Conaway Stargatt & Taylor, LLP 4 -and-MICHAEL A. JACOBS, ESQ., 5 EMILY A. EVANS, ESQ., ERIC S. WALTERS, ESQ., 6 LISA CHIARINI, ESQ., DIANA KRUZE, ESQ., and 7 ERIK J. OLSON, ESQ. Morrison & Foerster 8 (San Francisco, CA) 9 Counsel for Defendant 10 11 THE COURT: Good morning. 12 (Counsel respond "Good morning.") 13 THE COURT: I understand we have an issue. MR. JACOBS: A couple of things, Your Honor. 14 15 THE COURT: I hope they are short. 16 MR. JACOBS: Two are short and one may take a 17 few minutes. No. 1, we have reached a stipulation on a 18 person of ordinary skill in the art. 19 THE COURT: Good. I was wondering whether we 20 were going to hear about that mystic person. 21 MR. JACOBS: Procedurally, Your Honor, would you 22 like Ms. Kruze to read it? It would have come up in 2.3 Dr. Amiji's testimony. Ms. Kruze could just read the 24 stipulation into the record, if that would be appropriate. 25 MR. SCHEVE: Fine.



1	MR. JACOBS: No. 2, documents in evidence. How
2	do we work? I am still a little confused. I know we have
3	heard several times how this is supposed to work but we are
4	at the level of mechanics, understanding what is in and what
5	isn't, especially documents that are
6	THE COURT: All objections were overruled to
7	documentary exhibits, unless raised again. I have not
8	entertained any additional objections. So it's in.
9	MR. JACOBS: On the original exhibits list, all
10	those exhibits are in evidence.
11	THE COURT: Are in. What you want the jury to
12	consider is another matter. Is that where we are going with
13	this?
14	MR. JACOBS: No. I think there are documents
15	THE COURT: For your record, they are in.
16	MR. JACOBS: For closing
17	THE COURT: That's evidence.
18	MR. JACOBS: Terrific.
19	THE COURT: Mr. Scheve, do you have any
20	questions?
21	MR. SCHEVE: That's exactly what I have
22	understood, Your Honor.
23	MR. JACOBS: Dr. Brittain, two alternative
24	paths, from our standpoint.
25	No. 1, we put him on the stand, he is here in

1	the courtroom, by the way. We put him on the stand, and we
2	examine him pursuant to the second Bench memo we filed
3	yesterday, in which we elicit only I can hand Your Honor
4	a copy.
5	THE COURT: I got it yesterday, you say?
6	MR. JACOBS: Yes. We didn't focus on it
7	yesterday.
8	THE COURT: Have you seen the Bench memo,
9	Mr. Scheve?
10	MR. SCHEVE: Yes.
11	MR. JACOBS: We gave it to them yesterday, Your
12	Honor.
13	The main point of this Bench memo, Your Honor,
14	is that when we ask him questions, we do not want him
15	volunteering, we do not want counsel for Elan eliciting
16	testimony beyond the specific and narrow facts that are
17	already in the record from his deposition or from the
18	documents.
19	THE COURT: You know, counsel and those in the
20	well, you can sit. It seems like this is going to take a
21	few minutes. There is no reason for you to have to stand.
22	Mr. Jacobs.
23	MR. JACOBS: There is only one question from the
24	deposition that I need to ask him, which is, Did you perform



studies on Abraxane? Beyond that, I don't believe counsel

for Elan should be allowed to elicit additional testimony about what he did because he was instructed not to answer a whole range of questions about what he actually did at his deposition.

Actually, the second path is that we do not put Dr. Brittain on the stand, and the Court explains to the jury what happened with the privilege log and why we are where we are. I prepared and gave to counsel for Elan yesterday a proposed statement from the Bench that would just lay out, very briefly, lay out exactly what happened. That way, we don't have to deal with uncertainties about what Dr. Brittain might say when he testifies on this issue.

THE COURT: I got to believe that Mr. Scheve probably doesn't want the jury hearing about that from me. Maybe I am wrong about that.

MR. SCHEVE: Well, after all day yesterday asking witnesses, What did you have for breakfast?, and hearing, Well, I had eggs right next to an order of amorphous paclitaxel contained in Abraxis, all day, and now to have counsel say, We really don't want any gratuitous answers, or to go beyond -- they are now the sponsoring witness, Your Honor. There is no expert report from him. If they are going to ask fact questions, you know, it's my decision, I would think, whether or not I wade into something. I will be very cautious about that. The idea

2.

# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

