Filed on behalf of Cellular Communications Equipment LLC

By: Terry A. Saad (tsaad@bcpc-law.com) Jeffrey R. Bragalone (jbragalone@bcpc-law.com) Daniel F. Olejko (dolejko@bcpc-law.com) Nicholas C. Kliewer (nkliewer@bcpc-law.com) Bragalone Conroy PC 2200 Ross Ave. Suite 4500 – West Dallas, TX 75201 Tel: 214.785.6670 Fax: 214.786.6680

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

HTC CORPORATION, HTC AMERICA, Inc., ZTE CORPORATION, and ZTE (USA), Inc., Petitioners,

v.

CELLULAR COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT LLC, Patent Owner.

> Case IPR2017-01081 U.S. Patent No. 8,457,676

### PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD Patent Trial and Appeal Board U.S. Patent & Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

DOCKE

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I. INTRODUCTION			
II. BACKGROUND			
	А.	Status of Related Litigation	2
	В.	Status of Related IPRs	4
III. ARGUMENTS AND AUTHORITIES			
	А.	The Petition should be denied because it was not timely filed, thus	
		institution is barred by 35 U.S.C. § 315(b)	5
	В.	The Petition should be denied because it is cumulative of a prior	
		office proceeding	9
IV. CONCLUSION			1

### I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

Patent Owner Cellular Communications Equipment LLC ("CCE" or "Patent Owner") hereby files this Preliminary Response ("Preliminary Response") to the Petition (Paper 1) (the "Petition") for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,457,676 (Ex. 1001) (the "'676 Patent") in IPR2017-01081 filed by HTC Corporation, HTC America, Inc. (collectively, "HTC"), ZTE Corporation, and ZTE (USA), Inc. (collectively, "ZTE") (HTC and ZTE collectively, "Petitioners").

The Petitioners' challenge to the '676 Patent claims should be rejected because (1) the Petition is time-barred under 35 U.S.C. § 315(b) and (2) the Petition is cumulative of a prior office proceeding under 35 U.S.C. § 325(d).

This Preliminary Response is timely under 35 U.S.C. § 313 and 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.7, 42.107(b), as it is filed on the next business day following three months from the April 10, 2017 mailing date of the Notice of Filing Date Accorded to Petition and Time for Filing Patent Owner Preliminary Response. Paper 6. For purposes of this Preliminary Response, Patent Owner has limited its identification of deficiencies in the Petition and does not intend to waive any arguments not addressed in this Preliminary Response.

# II. <u>BACKGROUND</u>

# A. Status of Related Litigation

The '676 patent is currently subject to the following district court litigations:

- Cellular Communications Equipment LLC v. AT&T Inc., et al., 2:15cv-00576 (E.D. Tex.) (all claims and issues pertaining to the '676 patent are currently stayed pending a decision in IPR2016-1493);
- Cellular Commc'ns Equipment LLC v. Sprint Corp. et al., 2:15-cv-00579 (E.D. Tex.) (all claims and issues pertaining to the '676 patent are currently stayed pending a decision in IPR2016-1493);
- Cellular Commc'ns Equipment LLC v. T-Mobile USA, Inc. et al., 2:15cv-00580 (E.D. Tex.) (all claims and issues pertaining to the '676 patent are currently stayed pending a decision in IPR2016-1493);
- Cellular Commc'ns Equipment LLC v. Verizon Commc'ns, Inc. et al.,
  2:15-cv-00581 (E.D. Tex.) (all claims and issues pertaining to the '676 patent are currently stayed pending a decision in IPR2016-1493);
- Cellular Commc'ns Equipment LLC v. HTC Corporation, et al., 2:17cv-00078 (E.D. Tex.), filed January 25, 2017; and
- Cellular Commc'ns Equipment LLC v. ZTE Corporation, et al., 2:17cv-00079 (E.D. Tex.), filed January 25, 2017.

CCE filed its first complaint alleging infringement of the '676 patent against HTC and ZTE, among other parties, on April 30, 2015. *Cellular Commc'ns Equipment LLC v. AT&T Inc., et al.*, 2:15-cv-00576, Dkt. No. 1 (E.D. Tex. April 30, 2015). *See* Ex. 2001. HTC entered an appearance and waived formal service of the

amended complaint on August 17, 2015. *See* Ex. 2002. ZTE (USA) Inc. was served with the amended complaint on July 28, 2015 and also entered an appearance in the litigation on August 11, 2015. *See* Ex. 2003.

### **B.** Status of Related IPRs

The '676 patent is currently the subject of two other pending IPR proceedings: (1) IPR2016-01493 (the "Apple IPR") to which Petitioners seek joinder and (2) IPR2016-01501 (the "HTC IPR"). On February 13, 2017, a decision instituting inter partes review of claims 1, 3, 19, and 21 was entered in the Apple IPR (Paper 7, IPR2016-01493) based on obviousness grounds involving U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0223455 to Fong ("Fong"), R2-052744, "Filtering For UE Power Headroom Measurement," 3GPP RAN WG2 #49 Meeting, Seoul, Korea, November 2, 2005 ("Ericsson"), and U.S. Patent No. 6,445,917 to Bark ("Bark"). Also on February 13, 2017, a decision instituting *inter partes* review of claims 1, 19, and 33 (but denying institution on claims 3, 21, or 34) was entered in the HTC IPR (Paper 7, IPR2016-01501) based on one ground of obviousness involving U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2006/0140154 to Kwak ("Kwak"). Of note, the two grounds of unpatentability in the HTC IPR that relied upon Fong (the same primary reference relied on in the Apple IPR and the current Petition) were denied by the Board. See Institution Decision, Paper 7, IPR2016-01501.

# DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

# API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.