



<p>at the start of the transmission window” (claim 6)</p>	<p>hardware, firmware, or a combination thereof,”</p> <p>performing the function of: detecting start of a system information message transmission window and assigning a redundancy version sequence at the start of the transmission window.</p>
---	---

**U.S. Patent No. 8,570,957**

Claim Term	Plaintiff’s Proposed Construction
<p>“power headroom” (claims 1, 4, 7, and 10)</p>	<p>Plain and ordinary meaning.</p> <p>No construction necessary.</p>
<p>“radio network resources” (claims 4 and 10)</p>	<p>Plain and ordinary meaning.</p> <p>No construction necessary.</p>
<p>“subtracting the nominal maximum transmission power and the power that the apparatus would use if it did not apply maximum power limitations” (claims 1 and 7)</p>	<p>“subtracting the power that the apparatus would use if it did not apply maximum power limitations from the nominal maximum transmission power”</p>
<p>“as applicable” (claims 1, 4, 7, 10)</p>	<p>Plain and ordinary meaning.</p> <p>No construction necessary.</p>
<p>“when applicable” (claims 4, 10)</p>	<p>Plain and ordinary meaning.</p> <p>No construction necessary.</p>

**U.S. Patent No. 8,867,472**

<b>Claim Term</b>	<b>Plaintiff's Proposed Construction</b>
"aperiodic channel information" (claims 1, 14, 15, 27, 28, 41, 44, and 56)	Plain and ordinary meaning.  No construction necessary.
"downlink component carrier" / "component carrier" (claims 1, 10, 11, 12, 15, 25, 26, 28, 44, 54, and 55)	Plain and ordinary meaning.  No construction necessary.
"establish(ing) channel information with respect to the selected downlink component carrier" (claims 1 and 28.)	Plain and ordinary meaning.  No construction necessary.  Or, alternatively:  "monitoring, evaluating, and/or collecting channel information with respect to the selected downlink component carrier"
"determin[e/ing] the selected downlink component [carrier] based on which component carrier of the plurality of component carriers carried the request" (claims 1 and 28)	Plain and ordinary meaning.  No construction necessary.  Or, alternatively:  "identify[ing] the selected downlink component [carrier] based on which component carrier of the plurality of component carriers carried the request"
"the channel information" (claims 1, 10, 11, 28, 26, 55)	Plain and ordinary meaning.  No construction necessary.  Or, alternatively:  "the established channel information"

<p>“on a component carrier for which the aperiodic channel information is provided” (claims 15 and 44)</p>	<p>Plain and ordinary meaning.</p> <p>No construction necessary.</p> <p>Or, alternatively:</p> <p>“on a component carrier for which the aperiodic channel information is to be provided”</p>
<p>“a processor configured to: determine the selected downlink component carrier based on which component carrier of the plurality of component carriers carried the request for providing the aperiodic channel information” (claim 1)</p>	<p>Not governed by 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).</p> <p>To the extent this limitation is determined to be governed by 35 U.S.C. § 112(6), the corresponding structure is: “a processor,”</p> <p>performing the function of: determining the selected downlink component carrier based on which component carrier of the plurality of component carriers carried the request for providing the aperiodic channel information.</p>
<p>“a processor configured to . . . establish channel information with respect to the selected downlink component carrier” (claim 1)</p>	<p>Not governed by 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).</p> <p>To the extent this limitation is determined to be governed by 35 U.S.C. § 112(6), the corresponding structure is: “a processor,”</p> <p>performing the function of: establishing channel information with respect to the selected downlink component carrier.</p>
<p>“wherein the processor is configured to provide channel information for at least one of the other component carriers of the plurality of component carriers other than the selected downlink component carrier” (claim 10)</p>	<p>Not governed by 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).</p> <p>To the extent this limitation is determined to be governed by 35 U.S.C. § 112(6), the corresponding structure is: “a processor,”</p> <p>performing the function of: providing channel information for at least one of the other component carriers of the plurality of component carriers other than the selected downlink component carrier.</p>

<p>“a processor configured to generate a request for providing aperiodic channel information with respect to a selected downlink component carrier of a plurality of component carriers” (claim 15)</p>	<p>Not governed by 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).</p> <p>To the extent this limitation is determined to be governed by 35 U.S.C. § 112(6), the corresponding structure is: “a processor,”</p> <p>performing the function of: generating a request for providing aperiodic channel information with respect to a selected downlink component carrier of a plurality of component carriers.</p>
<p>“wherein the processor is configured to generate a request for providing channel information for at least one of the other component carriers of the plurality of component carriers other than the selected component carrier” (claim 25)</p>	<p>Not governed by 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).</p> <p>To the extent this limitation is determined to be governed by 35 U.S.C. § 112(6), the corresponding structure is: “a processor,”</p> <p>performing the function of: generating a request for providing channel information for at least one of the other component carriers of the plurality of component carriers other than the selected component carrier.</p>
<p>“wherein the processor is configured to include the request for providing the aperiodic channel information in an uplink grant” (claim 27)</p>	<p>Not governed by 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).</p> <p>To the extent this limitation is determined to be governed by 35 U.S.C. § 112(6), the corresponding structure is: “a processor,”</p> <p>performing the function of: including the request for providing the aperiodic channel information in an uplink grant.</p>

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.