### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

| CELLULAR COMMUNICATIONS<br>EQUIPMENT LLC,<br>Plaintiff,<br>v.  | Civil Action No. 2:15-cv-00576<br>JURY TRIAL DEMANDED |
|--|---|
| AT&T INC., et al.,   |   |
| Defendants.  |   |
| CELLULAR COMMUNICATIONS<br>EQUIPMENT LLC,<br>Plaintiff,<br>v.<br>SPRINT CORPORATION, <i>et al.</i> ,               | Civil Action No. 2:15-cv-00579<br>JURY TRIAL DEMANDED |
| Defendants.  |   |
| CELLULAR COMMUNICATIONS<br>EQUIPMENT LLC,<br>Plaintiff,<br>v.<br>T-MOBILE USA, INC., <i>et al.</i> ,<br>Defendents | Civil Action No. 2:15-cv-00580<br>JURY TRIAL DEMANDED |
| Defendants.  |   |

### STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE AS TO DEFENDANTS <u>ZTE CORPORATION AND ZTE SOLUTIONS, INC.</u>

Plaintiff Cellular Communications Equipment LLC ("CCE") and Defendants ZTE Corporation, ZTE (USA) Inc., and ZTE Solutions, Inc. submit the following Stipulation of Dismissal Without Prejudice as to Defendants ZTE Corporation and ZTE Solutions, Inc. and in

DOCKET

Δ

LARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

support thereof state:

1. On April 30, 2015, Plaintiff CCE filed suit against ZTE Corporation, ZTE (USA) Inc., ZTE Solutions, Inc., and others for infringement of U.S. Patent No. 8,457,022, U.S. Patent No. 8,570,957, and U.S. Patent No. 8,867,472. On July 22, 2015, Plaintiff CCE filed an Amended Complaint against ZTE Corporation, ZTE (USA) Inc., ZTE Solutions, Inc., and others for infringement of the above patents, as well as U.S. Patent No. 8,457,676, U.S. Patent No. 9,025,590, and U.S. Patent No. 9,078,262.

2. ZTE Corporation, ZTE (USA) Inc., and ZTE Solutions, Inc. represent and warrant that:

a. ZTE Corporation does not engage in activities under the Patent Act, 35 U.S.C. §§1-376, in the United States.

b. ZTE Solutions, Inc. is formerly a corporation formed on or about July 20, 2010 pursuant to the laws of the State of Delaware. It was dissolved on July 11, 2013, having never commenced business as an affiliate of ZTE Corporation and/or ZTE (USA), Inc. It has no employees, sales representatives, or distributors, and it does not instruct, direct, or control — and has never instructed, directed, or controlled — any aspect of the business activities of ZTE Corporation or ZTE (USA), Inc. Furthermore, ZTE Solutions, Inc. is, and has never been, responsible for or otherwise involved with the development, manufacture, or sale of ZTE wireless mobile devices.

c. ZTE Corporation, ZTE (USA) Inc., and ZTE Solutions, Inc. agree that for purposes of discovery in the above-captioned lawsuits, witnesses, documents and information in the possession, custody, or control of ZTE Corporation or ZTE Solutions, Inc., or any of their respective subsidiaries, are deemed also to be in the possession, custody, and control of ZTE (USA) Inc. ZTE (USA) Inc. will not object to a request for deposition on the grounds that the prospective deponent is an employee of ZTE Corporation or ZTE Solutions, Inc., or any of their respective subsidiaries (though nothing in this stipulation prevents ZTE (USA) Inc. from objecting to a deposition on other grounds).

d. CCE and ZTE (USA) Inc. agree that any depositions of the officers or employees of ZTE Corporation or its subsidiaries who reside outside the United States, including personal and corporate depositions pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 30(b)(1) or 30(b)(6), respectively, will occur at a location mutually agreed to by the parties in Hong Kong. If the parties cannot reach agreement on the Hong Kong location, the issue shall be submitted to the Court for resolution consistent with procedures adopted by the Court for resolution of discovery disputes, and ZTE Corporation and ZTE (USA) Inc. and their respective deponents agree to abide by the decision of the Court. CCE and ZTE (USA) Inc. further agree to meet and confer in good faith in an attempt to resolve any disputes regarding the location of depositions prior to seeking resolution by the Court. For any such depositions taken in Hong Kong, ZTE (USA) Inc. agrees that CCE shall not be restricted to the use of U.S. consular or U.S. Embassy premises or consular officers for certification. The parties to this Stipulation further agree to waive any objection to a stenographer, provided the stenographer is authorized either under the law of the country in which the deposition is taken or is a notary public in one of the states, territories, or District of Columbia, of the United States.

e. ZTE (USA) Inc. is the proper party to defend against allegations made in the above-captioned lawsuits. Furthermore, ZTE (USA) Inc. or any assignee of ZTE (USA) Inc. is able to satisfy any judgment in the above-captioned lawsuits against it. ZTE Corporation, ZTE (USA) Inc., ZTE Solutions, Inc. each warrant and represent that they will not take any action that will cause ZTE (USA), Inc. to be unable to fully satisfy any

such judgment.

f. Any and all claims or causes of action by CCE against ZTE Corporation or ZTE Solutions, Inc. relating to the patents-in-suit are tolled from the filing date of this dismissal and for such time as ZTE (USA) Inc. remains a party to any of the above-captioned lawsuits; and

g. Upon being dismissed without prejudice from the above-captioned lawsuits, ZTE Corporation and ZTE Solutions, Inc. (as well as any successor-in-interest thereto) will not initiate a declaratory judgment action against CCE based on liability under the patents-in-suit.

3. CCE expressly does not stipulate or agree to any of the foregoing facts, and reserves its right to dispute any of the foregoing factual representations.

4. In reliance upon the representations and warranties made in paragraphs 2(a) - 2(g) above, CCE agrees to dismiss ZTE Corporation and ZTE Solutions, Inc. without prejudice from the above-captioned lawsuits as allowed under Rule 41(a)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

5. CCE has not released, and nothing in this Stipulation should be construed as a release or discharge of, any claim CCE has or may have in the future against any defendant named in this action or any other asserted infringer of the patents-in- suit. All other rights have been expressly reserved.

Accordingly, CCE, ZTE Corporation, ZTE (USA) Inc., and ZTE Solutions, Inc. request that the Court enter the attached order dismissing ZTE Corporation and ZTE Solutions, Inc. without prejudice.

### **Dated**: August 11, 2015

### Respectfully submitted,

### /s/ Edward R. Nelson III

Edward R. Nelson III ed@nelbum.net Texas State Bar No. 00797142 S. Brannon Latimer brannon@nelbum.com Texas State Bar No. 24060137 Thomas C. Cecil tom@nelbum.com Texas State Bar No. 24069489 NELSON BUMGARDNER, P.C. 3131 West 7th Street, Suite 300 Fort Worth, Texas 76107 Phone: (817) 377-9111 Fax: (817) 377-3485

T. John Ward, Jr. Texas State Bar No. 00794818 J. Wesley Hill Texas State Bar No. 24032294 Claire Abernathy Henry Texas State Bar No. 24053063 WARD & SMITH LAW FIRM P.O. Box 1231 1127 Judson Rd. Ste. 220 Longview, Texas 75606-1231 (903) 757-6400 (903) 757-2323 (fax) jw@jwfirm.com wh@wsfirm.com ch@wsfirm.com

### ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF CELLULAR COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT LLC

DOCKET

#### /s/ Steven A. Moore

Steven A. Moore steve.moore@pillsburylaw.com California SBN 232114 Callie A. Bjurstrom callie.bjurstrom@pillsburylaw.com California SBN 137816 Inge Larish inge.larish@pillsburylaw.com California SBN 276720 Nicole S. Cunningham nicole.cunningham@pillsburylaw.com California SBN 234390 Richard W. Thill richard.thill@pillsburylaw.com California SBN 236409 PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP 501 West Broadway, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101-3575 (619) 234-5000 (619) 236-1995 (fax)

### ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANTS ZTE CORP., ZTE (USA), AND ZTE SOLUTIONS, INC.

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

## DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.