



ACM Press
New York



Addison-Wesley

Harlow, England • Reading, Massachusetts
Menlo Park, California • New York
Don Mills, Ontario • Amsterdam • Bonn
Sydney • Singapore • Tokyo • Madrid
San Juan • Milan • Mexico City • Seoul • Taipei

IPR2017-01039
Unified EX1014 Page 1

Copyright © 1999 by the ACM press, A Division of the Association for Computing Machinery, Inc. (ACM).

Addison Wesley Longman Limited
Edinburgh Gate
Harlow
Essex CM20 2JE
England

and Associated Companies throughout the World.

The rights of the authors of this Work have been asserted by them in accordance with the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without either the prior written permission of the publisher or a licence permitting restricted copying in the United Kingdom issued by the Copyright Licensing Agency Ltd, 90 Tottenham Court Road, London W1P 9HE.

While the publisher has made every attempt to trace all copyright owners and obtain permission to reproduce material, in a few cases this has proved impossible. Copyright holders of material which has not been acknowledged are encouraged to contact the publisher.

Many of the designations used by manufacturers and sellers to distinguish their products are claimed as trademarks. Addison Wesley Longman Limited has made every attempt to supply trade mark information about manufacturers and their products mentioned in this book. A list of the trademark designations and their owners appears on page viii.

Typeset in Computer Modern by 56
Printed and bound in the United States of America

First printed 1999

ISBN 0-201-39829-X

British Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing-in-Publication Data

Baeza-Yates, R.(Ricardo)

Modern information retrieval / Ricardo Baeza-Yates, Berthier Ribeiro-Neto.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 0-201-39829-X

1. Information storage and retrieval systems. I. Ribeiro, Berthier de Araújo Neto, 1960- . II. Title.

Z667.B34 1999

025.04-dc21

99-10033

CIP

Chapter 13 (in which case, the document logical view is *full text*). We postpone a discussion on the problem of how to generate index terms until Chapter 7, where the issue is covered in detail.

Given a set of index terms for a document, we notice that not all terms are equally useful for describing the document contents. In fact, there are index terms which are simply vaguer than others. Deciding on the importance of a term for summarizing the contents of a document is not a trivial issue. Despite this difficulty, there are properties of an index term which are easily measured and which are useful for evaluating the potential of a term as such. For instance, consider a collection with a hundred thousand documents. A word which appears in each of the one hundred thousand documents is completely useless as an index term because it does not tell us anything about which documents the user might be interested in. On the other hand, a word which appears in just five documents is quite useful because it narrows down considerably the space of documents which might be of interest to the user. Thus, it should be clear that distinct index terms have varying relevance when used to describe document contents. This effect is captured through the assignment of numerical *weights* to each index term of a document.

IPR2017-01039
Unified EX1014 Page 3

...that, in certain critical instances among which certain... In comparison to the discuss modern retrieval techniques which are based on term correlations and which have been tested successfully with particular collections. These successes seem to be slowly shifting the current understanding towards a more favorable view of the usefulness of term correlations for information retrieval systems.

The above definitions provide support for discussing the three classic information retrieval models, namely, the Boolean, the vector, and the probabilistic models, as we now do.

2.5.2 Boolean Model

The Boolean model is a simple retrieval model based on set theory and Boolean algebra. Since the concept of a set is quite intuitive, the Boolean model provides a framework which is easy to grasp by a common user of an IR system. Furthermore, the queries are specified as Boolean expressions which have precise semantics. Given its inherent simplicity and neat formalism, the Boolean model received great attention in past years and was adopted by many of the early commercial bibliographic systems.

IPR2017-01039
Unified EX1014 Page 4

document. As a result, the index term weights are assumed to be all binary, i.e., $w_{i,j} \in \{0, 1\}$. A query q is composed of index terms linked by three connectives: *not*, *and*, *or*. Thus, a query is essentially a conventional Boolean expression which can be represented as a disjunction of conjunctive vectors (i.e., in *disjunctive normal form* – DNF). For instance, the query $[q = k_a \wedge (k_b \vee \neg k_c)]$ can be written in disjunctive normal form as $[\vec{q}_{dnf} = (1, 1, 1) \vee (1, 1, 0) \vee (1, 0, 0)]$, where each of the components is a binary weighted vector associated with the tuple (k_a, k_b, k_c) . These binary weighted vectors are called the conjunctive components of \vec{q}_{dnf} . Figure 2.3 illustrates the three conjunctive components for the query q .

Definition For the Boolean model, the index term weight variables are all binary i.e., $w_{i,j} \in \{0, 1\}$. A query q is a conventional Boolean expression. Let \vec{q}_{dnf} be the disjunctive normal form for the query q . Further, let \vec{q}_{cc} be any of the conjunctive components of \vec{q}_{dnf} . The similarity of a document d_j to the query q is defined as

$$sim(d_j, q) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \exists \vec{q}_{cc} \mid (\vec{q}_{cc} \in \vec{q}_{dnf}) \wedge (\forall k_i, g_i(\vec{d}_j) = g_i(\vec{q}_{cc})) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.