UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

KAWASAKI RAIL CAR, INC.,

Petitioner,

V.

SCOTT BLAIR,

Patent Owner

Case IPR2017-01036
Patent 6,700,602

PATENT OWNER SCOTT BLAIR'S RESPONSE



TABLE OF CONTENTS

			Page
I.	INTRODUCTIO	N	1
II.	BACKGROUND	OF THE '602 PATENT	1
III.	CLAIM CONST. A. "substantially B. "video signal C. "substantially D. "transitional services E. "backlit pane F. "self-containe	flushed" source unit" contiguous" segment"	6 6 6 6 7 8
IV.	OVERVIEW OF A. Namikawa B. Sasao C. Amano D. Maekawa E. Yamada F. Sedighzadeh G. Schwenkler	THE PRIOR ART	9 9 9 10 12 13 14 15
V.		AS NOT SHOWN A REASONABLE OF PREVILING ON ANY OF THE ASSERTED	17
	A. Ground A. Claims 5 and 7 are patentable over Namikawa in view of Sasao, Amano and Maekawa		
	i.	Claim 1	18
		a. The prior art does not teach or suggest the availability of space at the junction of the sidewall and the ceiling	21
		b. A POSITA would not have been motivated to mount a monitor substantially flush with an adjacent wall surface structure of a subway car because of heightened safety requirement an extreme aversion to any potential fire haza and knowledge that a television should never in a "built-in" enclosure	y s, ırds,



			c. The proposed modification or combination of the prior art would change the principle of opera of the prior art invention being modified	32 tion
		ii.	Claims 5 and 7	34
	B.		aims 8, 9, 12–14, 20–22, and 24–29 are patentable wa, Sasao, Amano, and Yamada or Sedighzadeh	37
		i.	Claim 8	37
		ii.	Claims 9 and 12-14	40
		iii.	Claims 20-22 and 24-29	43
	C.		aims 11 and 23 are patentable over Namikawa, enkler, and Yamada or Sedighzadeh	47
		i.	Claim 11	47
		ii.	Claim 23	50
	D.		Claims 15-19 are patentable over Namikawa, o and Schwenkler	51
		i.	Claim 15	51
		ii.	Claims 16-19	52
VI.	CC	ONCLUSION		56



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
Arendi S.A.R.L. v. Apple Inc., 832 F.3d 1355 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	35
In re Gordon, 733 F.2d 900, 221 USPQ 1125 (Fed. Cir. 1984)	33, 45
In re Kahn, 441 F.3d 977 (Fed. Cir. 2006)	42, 47
In re Ratti, 270 F.2d 810, 123 USPQ 349 (C.C.P.A. 1959)	33, 46
In re Robertson, 169 F.3d 743 (Fed. Cir. 1999)	35, 42
In re Warner, 379 F.2d 101 (C.C.P.A. 1967)	20
KSR Int'l v. Teleflex Inc., 127 S. Ct. 1727 (2007)	42, 46
W.L. Gore & Assocs., Inc. v. Garlock, Inc., 721 F.2d 1540, 220 USPQ 303 (Fed. Cir. 1983)	48, 53
Other Authorities	
37 C.F.R. § 42.107	1
MPEP § 2143.01	33, 45
MPEP 8 2143 03	34



PATENT OWNER'S EXHIBIT LIST

Exhibit No.	Description
2001	Decision on ex parte appeal
2002	Declaration of Jack R. Long
2003	Appeal Brief from ex parte appeal
2004	Complete Copy of the Proposed FRA rules
2005	United States Consumer Product Safety Commission Guidelines for
	Television Receiver Safety
2006	Supplemental Declaration of Jack R. Long
2007	Declaration of Joseph B. Zicherman, Ph.D., SFPE
2008	Deposition transcript of Lowell Malo



DOCKET A L A R M

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

