

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

KAWASAKI RAIL CAR, INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

SCOTT BLAIR,

Patent Owner

Case IPR2017-00117

Patent 6,700,602

PATENT OWNER SCOTT BLAIR'S RESPONSE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. BACKGROUND OF THE '602 PATENT	2
A. The Invention	2
B. The Claims	3
III. OVERVIEW OF THE PRIOR ART	4
A. Namikawa	4
B. Sasao	6
C. Amano	8
D. Maekawa	9
IV. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	11
A. "substantially flushed"	11
B. "video signal source unit"	11
C. "mounted"	11
V. STATEMENTS MADE BY PETITIONER'S EXPERT	11
VI. PETITIONERS HAVE NOT SHOWN A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD OF PREVILING ON ANY OF THE ASSERTED GROUNDS	17
A. Ground C. Claims 1-4 and 6 are patentable over Namikawa in view of Sasao, Amano and Maekawa	17
1. The scope and content of the prior art	17
i. Namikawa	17
ii. Sasao	21
iii. Amano and/or Maekawa	22
2. The differences between the prior art and the claims at issue	25
i. Claim 1	25
ii. Claims 2-4 and 6	27
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art	29
4. A POSITA would not have been motivated to combine Namikawa and Sasao to arrive at the claimed invention	31

5. The articulated reasoning to combine references lacks a rational underpinning	34
6. There would not have been a reasonable expectation of success in combining the teachings of Namikawa and Sasao or any of the cited references in Ground C	39
VII. <i>INTER PARTES</i> REVIEW PROCEEDINGS VIOLATE PATENT OWNER'S CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS	40
VIII. CONCLUSION	42

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

Cases

Apotex Inc. v. Wyeth LLC, IPR 2014-00115, Paper 94, slip op. at 11 (Apr. 20, 2015)31

Cuozzo Speed Tech, LLC v. Lee, 136 S. Ct. 2131 (2016).....11

Ex parte Porter, 25 USPQ2d 1144, 1145 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1992).....28

Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966).....17

In re Robertson, 169 F.3d 743 (Fed. Cir. 1999).....20

KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex, Inc., 550 U.S. 398, 418 (2007).....30, 38

Oil States vs. Greene’s Energy Group, et al. Dkt. 16-712 (U.S. June 12, 2017).....41

Plantronics, Inc. v. Aliph, Inc., 72A F. 3d 1343, 1354 (Fed. Cir. 2013).....40

Proctor & Gamble Co. v. Teva Pharms. USA, Inc., 566 F. 3d 989, 994 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....31

Redline Detection, LLC v. star Envirotech, Inc., IPR2013-00106, Paper No. 66
(PTAB June 30, 2014).....38

Shopkick, Inc. v. Novitaz, Inc., IPR2015-00279, Paper 7, slip op. at 26-30 (May 29, 2015).....39

Volkswagen Grp. Of Am., Inc. v. Velocity Patent LLC, IPR2015-00276, Paper 8
(PTAB Jun. 1, 2015).....30

Other Authorities

35 U.S.C. §261.....40

37 C.F.R. §42.120.....1

37 C.F.R. §42.20(c).....40

37 C.F.R. §42.1(d).....40

PATENT OWNER'S EXHIBIT LIST

Exhibit No.	Description
2004	Supp. Declaration of Jack R. Long

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.