

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

KAWASAKI RAIL CAR, INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

SCOTT BLAIR,

Patent Owner

Case IPR2017-01036

Patent 6,700,602

PATENT OWNER'S SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	ii
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	iv
LIST OF EXHIBITS.....	v
I. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	1
A. “junction of the sidewall and the ceiling” / “transitional wall portion” and “transitional segment”	1
B. “a self-contained wiring cabling system”	2
II. PRIOR ART.....	3
A. Namikawa.....	3
B. Miyajima	8
C. Sasao.....	10
D. Amano	12
E. Maekawa.....	13
F. JTOA	14
G. Yamada.....	17
H. Sedighzadeh.....	19
I. Schwenkler	22
III. SPECIFIC GROUNDS.....	23
A. Ground B	23
(1) Namikawa teaches a monitor mounted on the ceiling	25
(2) Petitioner relies on a “proposed” and aspirational FRA rule as providing the “evidence” that flush mounting was the norm in the rail industry	27
(3) Petitioner relies on a “proposed” and aspirational FRA rule as providing the “evidence” that flush mounting was the norm in the rail industry	31
(4) Petitioner relies on a “proposed” and aspirational FRA rule as providing the “evidence” that flush mounting was the norm in the rail industry	32
(5) The purported reasons to modify Namikawa lack a rational underpinning or are gleaned from applicant’s disclosure.....	39
(6) The purported reasons to modify Namikawa lack a rational underpinning or are gleaned from applicant’s disclosure.....	43

B.	Ground C	47
C.	Ground D	52
D.	Ground F.....	53
	(1) Claim 8	53
	(2) Claim 9	62
	(3) Claims 12 and 24.....	62
	(4) Claims 13 and 25.....	64
	(5) Claims 14 and 26.....	65
	(6) Claim 20	67
	(7) Claim 21	68
	(8) Claim 22	68
	(9) Claims 9, 21, 27, 28-29.....	75
E.	Ground G	75
F.	Ground H	75
G.	Grounds J, K, and L	75
H.	Grounds N, O, and P	78
IV.	CONCLUSION.....	80

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
 Cases	
<i>Application of Vogel</i> , 422 F.2d 438, 441 (CCPA 1970)	36
<i>In re Fritch</i> , 972 F.2d 1260, 1266 (Fed. Cir. 1992).....	37
<i>In re Gal</i> , 980 F.2d 717 (Fed. Cir. 1992).....	66
<i>In re McLaughlin</i> , 443 F.2d 1392, 1395 (CCPA 1971).....	41
<i>In re Warsaw Orthopedic, Inc.</i> , 832 F.3d 1327, 1333, 119 U.S.P.Q.2d 1716 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	39
<i>Intelligent Bio-Sys., Inc. v. Illumina Cambridge Ltd.</i> , 821 F.3d 1359, 1368, 119 U.S.P.Q.2d 1171 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	39
<i>Graham v. John Deere Co.</i> , 383 U.S. 1, 36 (1966)	37
<i>KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398, 417, 421 (2007)	37
<i>Monroe Auto Equip. Co. v. Heckethorn Mfg. & Supply Co.</i> , 332 F.2d 406, 412 (C.A.6 1964)	37
<i>Para-Ordnance Mfg., Inc. v. SGS Importers Int’l, Inc.</i> , 73 F.3d 1085, 1087 (Fed. Cir. 1995).....	36
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303, 1313 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	2
<i>Square, Inc. v. Carl Cooper</i> , IPR 2014-00157, Paper 17 (P.T.A.B. 2014).....	2
<i>W.L. Gore & Assocs., Inc. v. Garlock, Inc.</i> , 721 F.2d 1540, 1551, 1553 (Fed. Cir. 1983)	36, 77
 Other Authorities	
MPEP § 2142	41
MPEP § 2143	64
MPEP § 2145(X)(A).....	41

UPDATED LIST OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit No.	Description
2001	EX PARTE SCOTT BLAIR, DECISION ON APPEAL
2002	EXPERT DECLARATION OF JACK LONG
2004	PROPOSED FRA RULES
2005	CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY DIVISION GUIDELINES FOR TELEVISION RECEIVER SAFETY
2007	DECLARATION OF JOSEPH ZICHERMAN, PH.D.
2008	DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT OF LOWELL MALO
2009	SUPPLEMENTAL EXPERT DECLARATION OF JOSEPH ZICHERMAN, PH.D.
2010	TRANSCRIPT OF LOWELL MALO

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.