Page 1 1 2 UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD 3 - - - - - - - - - - -4 KAWASAKI RAIL CAR, INC., 5 Petitioner, 6 v. Case IPR2017-01036 7 SCOTT BLAIR, 8 Patent Owner. - - - - - - - - - - - - -9 10 Video-recorded Deposition Upon Oral Examination of: 11 JOSEPH B. ZICHERMAN 12 13 Location: Meredith & Keyhani 205 Main Street 14 East Aurora, New York 14052 15 16 Date: February 28, 2018 17 18 9:42 a.m. Time: 19 20 21 Reported By: MICHELLE MUNDT ROCHA 22 23 24 25

DOCKET LARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

Δ

D. A	<b>D</b> 4
Page 2 1 A P P E A R A N C E S	Page 4 1 JOSEPH B. ZICHERMAN - BY MR. GHIAM
2 Appearing on Behalf of Petitioner:	2 please swear in the witness.
3 Armin Ghiam, Esq.	3 JOSEPH B. ZICHERMAN,
4 Zaed M. Billah, Esq.	4 called herein as a witness, first being sworn,
5 Andrews Kurth Kenyon LLP	5 testified as follows:
6 One Broadway	6 EXAMINATION BY MR. GHIAM:
7 New York, New York 10004-1007	7 Q. Good morning. Can you please state your
8 aghiam@andrewskurthkenyon.com	8 full name and address for the record?
9 zbillah@andrewskurthkenyon.com	9 A. Joseph B. Zicherman. Address is 808
10	10 Gilman Street in Berkeley, California 94710.
11 Appearing on Behalf of Patent Owner:	11 Q. Have you been deposed before?
12 Dariush Keyhani, Esq.	12 A. I have.
13 Meredith & Keyhani, PLLC	13 Q. When was that?
14 125 Park Avenue, 25th Floor	14 A. The last deposition was perhaps two months
15 New York, New York 10017	15 ago.
16 dkeyhani@meredithkeyhani.com	16 Q. How many times have you been deposed
17	17 before?
18 Appearing as the Videographer:	18 A. Several hundred.
19 Peter Colucci	19 Q. In connection with what sort of cases have
20	20 you been deposed before?
20 21	21 A. Primarily forensic cases involving fire
22	22 safety.
23	23 Q. Have you been involved with any patent
24	24 cases before?
25	25 A. No.
Page 3	Page 5
1 PROCEEDINGS	1 JOSEPH B. ZICHERMAN - BY MR. GHIAM
1 P R O C E E D I N G S 2 WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 28, 2018;	1JOSEPH B. ZICHERMAN - BY MR. GHIAM2Q. What types of opinions do you provide for
1P R O C E E D I N G S2WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 28, 2018;3(Proceedings in the above-titled matter)	1JOSEPH B. ZICHERMAN - BY MR. GHIAM2Q. What types of opinions do you provide for3the cases that you have been deposed in?
<ol> <li>P R O C E E D I N G S</li> <li>WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 28, 2018;</li> <li>(Proceedings in the above-titled matter</li> <li>commencing at 9:42 a.m.)</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>JOSEPH B. ZICHERMAN - BY MR. GHIAM</li> <li>Q. What types of opinions do you provide for</li> <li>3 the cases that you have been deposed in?</li> <li>4 MR. KEYHANI: Objection. Form.</li> </ol>
1P R O C E E D I N G S2WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 28, 2018;3(Proceedings in the above-titled matter4commencing at 9:42 a.m.)5* * *	<ol> <li>JOSEPH B. ZICHERMAN - BY MR. GHIAM</li> <li>Q. What types of opinions do you provide for</li> <li>the cases that you have been deposed in?</li> <li>MR. KEYHANI: Objection. Form.</li> <li>A. Can you be more specific?</li> </ol>
1P R O C E E D I N G S2WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 28, 2018;3(Proceedings in the above-titled matter4commencing at 9:42 a.m.)5* * *6THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We are	<ol> <li>JOSEPH B. ZICHERMAN - BY MR. GHIAM</li> <li>Q. What types of opinions do you provide for</li> <li>the cases that you have been deposed in?</li> <li>MR. KEYHANI: Objection. Form.</li> <li>A. Can you be more specific?</li> <li>Q. What types of opinions do you provide for</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>PROCEEDINGS</li> <li>WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 28, 2018;</li> <li>(Proceedings in the above-titled matter</li> <li>commencing at 9:42 a.m.)</li> <li>* * *</li> <li>THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We are</li> <li>on the record at 9:42 a.m. on Wednesday, February 28,</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>JOSEPH B. ZICHERMAN - BY MR. GHIAM</li> <li>Q. What types of opinions do you provide for</li> <li>the cases that you have been deposed in?</li> <li>MR. KEYHANI: Objection. Form.</li> <li>A. Can you be more specific?</li> <li>Q. What types of opinions do you provide for</li> <li>these cases that you said you've been deposed at?</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>PROCEEDINGS</li> <li>WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 28, 2018;</li> <li>(Proceedings in the above-titled matter</li> <li>commencing at 9:42 a.m.)</li> <li>* * *</li> <li>THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We are</li> <li>on the record at 9:42 a.m. on Wednesday, February 28,</li> <li>2018. This is the videotaped deposition of Joseph</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>JOSEPH B. ZICHERMAN - BY MR. GHIAM</li> <li>Q. What types of opinions do you provide for</li> <li>the cases that you have been deposed in?</li> <li>MR. KEYHANI: Objection. Form.</li> <li>A. Can you be more specific?</li> <li>Q. What types of opinions do you provide for</li> <li>these cases that you said you've been deposed at?</li> <li>MR. KEYHANI: Objection.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>PROCEEDINGS</li> <li>WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 28, 2018;</li> <li>(Proceedings in the above-titled matter</li> <li>commencing at 9:42 a.m.)</li> <li>* * *</li> <li>THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We are</li> <li>on the record at 9:42 a.m. on Wednesday, February 28,</li> <li>2018. This is the videotaped deposition of Joseph</li> <li>Zicherman.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>JOSEPH B. ZICHERMAN - BY MR. GHIAM</li> <li>Q. What types of opinions do you provide for</li> <li>the cases that you have been deposed in?</li> <li>MR. KEYHANI: Objection. Form.</li> <li>A. Can you be more specific?</li> <li>Q. What types of opinions do you provide for</li> <li>these cases that you said you've been deposed at?</li> <li>MR. KEYHANI: Objection.</li> <li>Q. Do you opine on fire issues?</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>PROCEEDINGS</li> <li>WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 28, 2018;</li> <li>(Proceedings in the above-titled matter</li> <li>commencing at 9:42 a.m.)</li> <li>* * *</li> <li>THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We are</li> <li>on the record at 9:42 a.m. on Wednesday, February 28,</li> <li>2018. This is the videotaped deposition of Joseph</li> <li>Zicherman.</li> <li>My name is Peter Colucci, here with court</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>JOSEPH B. ZICHERMAN - BY MR. GHIAM</li> <li>Q. What types of opinions do you provide for</li> <li>the cases that you have been deposed in?</li> <li>MR. KEYHANI: Objection. Form.</li> <li>A. Can you be more specific?</li> <li>Q. What types of opinions do you provide for</li> <li>these cases that you said you've been deposed at?</li> <li>MR. KEYHANI: Objection.</li> <li>Q. Do you opine on fire issues?</li> <li>A. Primarily.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>PROCEEDINGS</li> <li>WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 28, 2018;</li> <li>(Proceedings in the above-titled matter</li> <li>commencing at 9:42 a.m.)</li> <li>* * *</li> <li>THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We are</li> <li>on the record at 9:42 a.m. on Wednesday, February 28,</li> <li>2018. This is the videotaped deposition of Joseph</li> <li>Zicherman.</li> <li>My name is Peter Colucci, here with court</li> <li>reporter Michelle Rocha. We are here from Veritext</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>JOSEPH B. ZICHERMAN - BY MR. GHIAM</li> <li>Q. What types of opinions do you provide for</li> <li>the cases that you have been deposed in?</li> <li>MR. KEYHANI: Objection. Form.</li> <li>A. Can you be more specific?</li> <li>Q. What types of opinions do you provide for</li> <li>these cases that you said you've been deposed at?</li> <li>MR. KEYHANI: Objection.</li> <li>Q. Do you opine on fire issues?</li> <li>A. Primarily.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>PROCEEDINGS</li> <li>WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 28, 2018;</li> <li>(Proceedings in the above-titled matter</li> <li>commencing at 9:42 a.m.)</li> <li>* * *</li> <li>THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We are</li> <li>on the record at 9:42 a.m. on Wednesday, February 28,</li> <li>2018. This is the videotaped deposition of Joseph</li> <li>Zicherman.</li> <li>My name is Peter Colucci, here with court</li> <li>reporter Michelle Rocha. We are here from Veritext</li> <li>National Deposition and Litigation Services. This</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>JOSEPH B. ZICHERMAN - BY MR. GHIAM</li> <li>Q. What types of opinions do you provide for</li> <li>the cases that you have been deposed in?</li> <li>MR. KEYHANI: Objection. Form.</li> <li>A. Can you be more specific?</li> <li>Q. What types of opinions do you provide for</li> <li>these cases that you said you've been deposed at?</li> <li>MR. KEYHANI: Objection.</li> <li>Q. Do you opine on fire issues?</li> <li>A. Primarily.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And then the underlying mechanical or</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>PROCEEDINGS</li> <li>WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 28, 2018;</li> <li>(Proceedings in the above-titled matter</li> <li>commencing at 9:42 a.m.)</li> <li>* * *</li> <li>THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We are</li> <li>on the record at 9:42 a.m. on Wednesday, February 28,</li> <li>2018. This is the videotaped deposition of Joseph</li> <li>Zicherman.</li> <li>My name is Peter Colucci, here with court</li> <li>reporter Michelle Rocha. We are here from Veritext</li> <li>National Deposition and Litigation Services. This</li> <li>deposition is being held at 205 Main Street in East</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>JOSEPH B. ZICHERMAN - BY MR. GHIAM</li> <li>Q. What types of opinions do you provide for</li> <li>the cases that you have been deposed in?</li> <li>MR. KEYHANI: Objection. Form.</li> <li>A. Can you be more specific?</li> <li>Q. What types of opinions do you provide for</li> <li>these cases that you said you've been deposed at?</li> <li>MR. KEYHANI: Objection.</li> <li>Q. Do you opine on fire issues?</li> <li>A. Primarily.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And then the underlying mechanical or</li> <li>chemical or human factors, et cetera, issues.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>PROCEEDINGS</li> <li>WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 28, 2018;</li> <li>(Proceedings in the above-titled matter</li> <li>commencing at 9:42 a.m.)</li> <li>* * *</li> <li>THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We are</li> <li>on the record at 9:42 a.m. on Wednesday, February 28,</li> <li>2018. This is the videotaped deposition of Joseph</li> <li>Zicherman.</li> <li>My name is Peter Colucci, here with court</li> <li>reporter Michelle Rocha. We are here from Veritext</li> <li>National Deposition and Litigation Services. This</li> <li>deposition is being held at 205 Main Street in East</li> <li>Aurora, New York. The caption of this case is</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>JOSEPH B. ZICHERMAN - BY MR. GHIAM</li> <li>Q. What types of opinions do you provide for</li> <li>the cases that you have been deposed in?</li> <li>MR. KEYHANI: Objection. Form.</li> <li>A. Can you be more specific?</li> <li>Q. What types of opinions do you provide for</li> <li>these cases that you said you've been deposed at?</li> <li>MR. KEYHANI: Objection.</li> <li>Q. Do you opine on fire issues?</li> <li>A. Primarily.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And then the underlying mechanical or</li> <li>chemical or human factors, et cetera, issues.</li> <li>Q. What was the subject matter of the last</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>PROCEEDINGS</li> <li>WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 28, 2018;</li> <li>(Proceedings in the above-titled matter</li> <li>commencing at 9:42 a.m.)</li> <li>* * *</li> <li>THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We are</li> <li>on the record at 9:42 a.m. on Wednesday, February 28,</li> <li>2018. This is the videotaped deposition of Joseph</li> <li>Zicherman.</li> <li>My name is Peter Colucci, here with court</li> <li>reporter Michelle Rocha. We are here from Veritext</li> <li>National Deposition and Litigation Services. This</li> <li>deposition is being held at 205 Main Street in East</li> <li>Aurora, New York. The caption of this case is</li> <li>Kawasaki Rail Car versus Scott Blair.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>JOSEPH B. ZICHERMAN - BY MR. GHIAM</li> <li>Q. What types of opinions do you provide for</li> <li>the cases that you have been deposed in?</li> <li>MR. KEYHANI: Objection. Form.</li> <li>A. Can you be more specific?</li> <li>Q. What types of opinions do you provide for</li> <li>these cases that you said you've been deposed at?</li> <li>MR. KEYHANI: Objection.</li> <li>Q. Do you opine on fire issues?</li> <li>A. Primarily.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And then the underlying mechanical or</li> <li>chemical or human factors, et cetera, issues.</li> <li>Q. What was the subject matter of the last</li> <li>case that you were deposed in?</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>PROCEEDINGS</li> <li>WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 28, 2018;</li> <li>(Proceedings in the above-titled matter</li> <li>commencing at 9:42 a.m.)</li> <li>* * *</li> <li>THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We are</li> <li>on the record at 9:42 a.m. on Wednesday, February 28,</li> <li>2018. This is the videotaped deposition of Joseph</li> <li>Zicherman.</li> <li>My name is Peter Colucci, here with court</li> <li>reporter Michelle Rocha. We are here from Veritext</li> <li>National Deposition and Litigation Services. This</li> <li>deposition is being held at 205 Main Street in East</li> <li>Aurora, New York. The caption of this case is</li> <li>Kawasaki Rail Car versus Scott Blair.</li> <li>At this time would counsel please</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>JOSEPH B. ZICHERMAN - BY MR. GHIAM</li> <li>Q. What types of opinions do you provide for</li> <li>the cases that you have been deposed in?</li> <li>MR. KEYHANI: Objection. Form.</li> <li>A. Can you be more specific?</li> <li>Q. What types of opinions do you provide for</li> <li>these cases that you said you've been deposed at?</li> <li>MR. KEYHANI: Objection.</li> <li>Q. Do you opine on fire issues?</li> <li>A. Primarily.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And then the underlying mechanical or</li> <li>chemical or human factors, et cetera, issues.</li> <li>Q. What was the subject matter of the last</li> <li>case that you were deposed in?</li> <li>A. The last case</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>PROCEEDINGS</li> <li>WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 28, 2018;</li> <li>(Proceedings in the above-titled matter</li> <li>commencing at 9:42 a.m.)</li> <li>* * *</li> <li>THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We are</li> <li>on the record at 9:42 a.m. on Wednesday, February 28,</li> <li>2018. This is the videotaped deposition of Joseph</li> <li>Zicherman.</li> <li>My name is Peter Colucci, here with court</li> <li>reporter Michelle Rocha. We are here from Veritext</li> <li>National Deposition and Litigation Services. This</li> <li>deposition is being held at 205 Main Street in East</li> <li>Aurora, New York. The caption of this case is</li> <li>Kawasaki Rail Car versus Scott Blair.</li> <li>At this time would counsel please</li> <li>introduce themselves for the record.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>JOSEPH B. ZICHERMAN - BY MR. GHIAM</li> <li>Q. What types of opinions do you provide for</li> <li>the cases that you have been deposed in?</li> <li>MR. KEYHANI: Objection. Form.</li> <li>A. Can you be more specific?</li> <li>Q. What types of opinions do you provide for</li> <li>these cases that you said you've been deposed at?</li> <li>MR. KEYHANI: Objection.</li> <li>Q. Do you opine on fire issues?</li> <li>A. Primarily.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And then the underlying mechanical or</li> <li>chemical or human factors, et cetera, issues.</li> <li>Q. What was the subject matter of the last</li> <li>case that you were deposed in?</li> <li>A. The last case</li> <li>MR. KEYHANI: Objection.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>PROCEEDINGS</li> <li>WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 28, 2018;</li> <li>(Proceedings in the above-titled matter</li> <li>commencing at 9:42 a.m.)</li> <li>* * *</li> <li>THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We are</li> <li>on the record at 9:42 a.m. on Wednesday, February 28,</li> <li>2018. This is the videotaped deposition of Joseph</li> <li>Zicherman.</li> <li>My name is Peter Colucci, here with court</li> <li>reporter Michelle Rocha. We are here from Veritext</li> <li>National Deposition and Litigation Services. This</li> <li>deposition is being held at 205 Main Street in East</li> <li>Aurora, New York. The caption of this case is</li> <li>Kawasaki Rail Car versus Scott Blair.</li> <li>At this time would counsel please</li> <li>introduce themselves for the record.</li> <li>MR. GHIAM: Armin Ghiam on behalf of</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>JOSEPH B. ZICHERMAN - BY MR. GHIAM</li> <li>Q. What types of opinions do you provide for</li> <li>the cases that you have been deposed in?</li> <li>MR. KEYHANI: Objection. Form.</li> <li>A. Can you be more specific?</li> <li>Q. What types of opinions do you provide for</li> <li>these cases that you said you've been deposed at?</li> <li>MR. KEYHANI: Objection.</li> <li>Q. Do you opine on fire issues?</li> <li>A. Primarily.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And then the underlying mechanical or</li> <li>chemical or human factors, et cetera, issues.</li> <li>Q. What was the subject matter of the last</li> <li>case that you were deposed in?</li> <li>A. The last case</li> <li>MR. KEYHANI: Objection.</li> <li>You can answer.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>PROCEEDINGS</li> <li>WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 28, 2018;</li> <li>(Proceedings in the above-titled matter</li> <li>commencing at 9:42 a.m.)</li> <li>* * *</li> <li>THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We are</li> <li>on the record at 9:42 a.m. on Wednesday, February 28,</li> <li>2018. This is the videotaped deposition of Joseph</li> <li>Zicherman.</li> <li>My name is Peter Colucci, here with court</li> <li>reporter Michelle Rocha. We are here from Veritext</li> <li>National Deposition and Litigation Services. This</li> <li>deposition is being held at 205 Main Street in East</li> <li>Aurora, New York. The caption of this case is</li> <li>Kawasaki Rail Car versus Scott Blair.</li> <li>At this time would counsel please</li> <li>introduce themselves for the record.</li> <li>MR. GHIAM: Armin Ghiam on behalf of</li> <li>petitioner, Kawasaki Rail Car, Inc.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>JOSEPH B. ZICHERMAN - BY MR. GHIAM</li> <li>Q. What types of opinions do you provide for</li> <li>the cases that you have been deposed in?</li> <li>MR. KEYHANI: Objection. Form.</li> <li>A. Can you be more specific?</li> <li>Q. What types of opinions do you provide for</li> <li>these cases that you said you've been deposed at?</li> <li>MR. KEYHANI: Objection.</li> <li>Q. Do you opine on fire issues?</li> <li>A. Primarily.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And then the underlying mechanical or</li> <li>chemical or human factors, et cetera, issues.</li> <li>Q. What was the subject matter of the last</li> <li>case that you were deposed in?</li> <li>A. The last case</li> <li>MR. KEYHANI: Objection.</li> <li>You can answer.</li> <li>A. The last case involved a fire in a</li> </ol>
1PROCEEDINGS2WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 28, 2018;3(Proceedings in the above-titled matter4commencing at 9:42 a.m.)5* * *6THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We are7on the record at 9:42 a.m. on Wednesday, February 28,82018. This is the videotaped deposition of Joseph9Zicherman.10My name is Peter Colucci, here with court11reporter Michelle Rocha. We are here from Veritext12National Deposition and Litigation Services. This13deposition is being held at 205 Main Street in East14Aurora, New York. The caption of this case is15Kawasaki Rail Car versus Scott Blair.16At this time would counsel please17introduce themselves for the record.18MR. GHIAM: Armin Ghiam on behalf of19petitioner, Kawasaki Rail Car, Inc.20MR. BILLAH: Zaed Billah on behalf of	<ol> <li>JOSEPH B. ZICHERMAN - BY MR. GHIAM</li> <li>Q. What types of opinions do you provide for</li> <li>the cases that you have been deposed in?</li> <li>MR. KEYHANI: Objection. Form.</li> <li>A. Can you be more specific?</li> <li>Q. What types of opinions do you provide for</li> <li>these cases that you said you've been deposed at?</li> <li>MR. KEYHANI: Objection.</li> <li>Q. Do you opine on fire issues?</li> <li>A. Primarily.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And then the underlying mechanical or</li> <li>chemical or human factors, et cetera, issues.</li> <li>Q. What was the subject matter of the last</li> <li>case that you were deposed in?</li> <li>A. The last case</li> <li>MR. KEYHANI: Objection.</li> <li>You can answer.</li> <li>A. The last case involved a fire in a</li> <li>multi-story, multi-occupancy building where there was</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>PROCEEDINGS</li> <li>WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 28, 2018;</li> <li>(Proceedings in the above-titled matter</li> <li>commencing at 9:42 a.m.)</li> <li>* * *</li> <li>THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We are</li> <li>on the record at 9:42 a.m. on Wednesday, February 28,</li> <li>2018. This is the videotaped deposition of Joseph</li> <li>Zicherman.</li> <li>My name is Peter Colucci, here with court</li> <li>reporter Michelle Rocha. We are here from Veritext</li> <li>National Deposition and Litigation Services. This</li> <li>deposition is being held at 205 Main Street in East</li> <li>Aurora, New York. The caption of this case is</li> <li>Kawasaki Rail Car versus Scott Blair.</li> <li>At this time would counsel please</li> <li>introduce themselves for the record.</li> <li>MR. GHIAM: Armin Ghiam on behalf of</li> <li>petitioner, Kawasaki Rail Car, Inc.</li> <li>MR. BILLAH: Zaed Billah on behalf of</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>JOSEPH B. ZICHERMAN - BY MR. GHIAM</li> <li>Q. What types of opinions do you provide for</li> <li>the cases that you have been deposed in?</li> <li>MR. KEYHANI: Objection. Form.</li> <li>A. Can you be more specific?</li> <li>Q. What types of opinions do you provide for</li> <li>these cases that you said you've been deposed at?</li> <li>MR. KEYHANI: Objection.</li> <li>Q. Do you opine on fire issues?</li> <li>A. Primarily.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And then the underlying mechanical or</li> <li>chemical or human factors, et cetera, issues.</li> <li>Q. What was the subject matter of the last</li> <li>case that you were deposed in?</li> <li>A. The last case</li> <li>MR. KEYHANI: Objection.</li> <li>You can answer.</li> <li>A. The last case involved a fire in a</li> <li>multi-story, multi-occupancy building where there was</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>PROCEEDINGS</li> <li>WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 28, 2018;</li> <li>(Proceedings in the above-titled matter</li> <li>commencing at 9:42 a.m.)</li> <li>* * *</li> <li>THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We are</li> <li>on the record at 9:42 a.m. on Wednesday, February 28,</li> <li>2018. This is the videotaped deposition of Joseph</li> <li>Zicherman.</li> <li>My name is Peter Colucci, here with court</li> <li>reporter Michelle Rocha. We are here from Veritext</li> <li>National Deposition and Litigation Services. This</li> <li>deposition is being held at 205 Main Street in East</li> <li>Aurora, New York. The caption of this case is</li> <li>Kawasaki Rail Car versus Scott Blair.</li> <li>At this time would counsel please</li> <li>introduce themselves for the record.</li> <li>MR. GHIAM: Armin Ghiam on behalf of</li> <li>petitioner, Kawasaki Rail Car, Inc.</li> <li>MR. BILLAH: Zaed Billah on behalf of</li> <li>Kawasaki Rail Car Inc.</li> <li>MR. KEYHANI: Dariush Keyhani of</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>JOSEPH B. ZICHERMAN - BY MR. GHIAM</li> <li>Q. What types of opinions do you provide for</li> <li>the cases that you have been deposed in?</li> <li>MR. KEYHANI: Objection. Form.</li> <li>A. Can you be more specific?</li> <li>Q. What types of opinions do you provide for</li> <li>these cases that you said you've been deposed at?</li> <li>MR. KEYHANI: Objection.</li> <li>Q. Do you opine on fire issues?</li> <li>A. Primarily.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And then the underlying mechanical or</li> <li>chemical or human factors, et cetera, issues.</li> <li>Q. What was the subject matter of the last</li> <li>case that you were deposed in?</li> <li>A. The last case</li> <li>MR. KEYHANI: Objection.</li> <li>You can answer.</li> <li>A. The last case involved a fire in a</li> <li>multi-story, multi-occupancy building where there was</li> <li>a loss of life, several dozen people were forced out</li> <li>of their apartments, several dozen businesses were</li> </ol>
1PROCEEDINGS2WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 28, 2018;3(Proceedings in the above-titled matter4commencing at 9:42 a.m.)5* * *6THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We are7on the record at 9:42 a.m. on Wednesday, February 28,82018. This is the videotaped deposition of Joseph9Zicherman.10My name is Peter Colucci, here with court11reporter Michelle Rocha. We are here from Veritext12National Deposition and Litigation Services. This13deposition is being held at 205 Main Street in East14Aurora, New York. The caption of this case is15Kawasaki Rail Car versus Scott Blair.16At this time would counsel please17introduce themselves for the record.18MR. GHIAM: Armin Ghiam on behalf of19petitioner, Kawasaki Rail Car, Inc.20MR. BILLAH: Zaed Billah on behalf of21Kawasaki Rail Car Inc.22MR. KEYHANI: Dariush Keyhani of23Meredith & Keyhani on behalf of the patent owner,	<ol> <li>JOSEPH B. ZICHERMAN - BY MR. GHIAM</li> <li>Q. What types of opinions do you provide for</li> <li>the cases that you have been deposed in?</li> <li>MR. KEYHANI: Objection. Form.</li> <li>A. Can you be more specific?</li> <li>Q. What types of opinions do you provide for</li> <li>these cases that you said you've been deposed at?</li> <li>MR. KEYHANI: Objection.</li> <li>Q. Do you opine on fire issues?</li> <li>A. Primarily.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And then the underlying mechanical or</li> <li>chemical or human factors, et cetera, issues.</li> <li>Q. What was the subject matter of the last</li> <li>case that you were deposed in?</li> <li>A. The last case</li> <li>MR. KEYHANI: Objection.</li> <li>You can answer.</li> <li>A. The last case involved a fire in a</li> <li>multi-story, multi-occupancy building where there was</li> <li>a loss of life, several dozen people were forced out</li> <li>of their apartments, several dozen businesses were</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>PROCEEDINGS</li> <li>WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 28, 2018;</li> <li>(Proceedings in the above-titled matter</li> <li>commencing at 9:42 a.m.)</li> <li>* * *</li> <li>THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We are</li> <li>on the record at 9:42 a.m. on Wednesday, February 28,</li> <li>2018. This is the videotaped deposition of Joseph</li> <li>Zicherman.</li> <li>My name is Peter Colucci, here with court</li> <li>reporter Michelle Rocha. We are here from Veritext</li> <li>National Deposition and Litigation Services. This</li> <li>deposition is being held at 205 Main Street in East</li> <li>Aurora, New York. The caption of this case is</li> <li>Kawasaki Rail Car versus Scott Blair.</li> <li>At this time would counsel please</li> <li>introduce themselves for the record.</li> <li>MR. GHIAM: Armin Ghiam on behalf of</li> <li>petitioner, Kawasaki Rail Car, Inc.</li> <li>MR. BILLAH: Zaed Billah on behalf of</li> <li>Kawasaki Rail Car Inc.</li> <li>MR. KEYHANI: Dariush Keyhani of</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>JOSEPH B. ZICHERMAN - BY MR. GHIAM</li> <li>Q. What types of opinions do you provide for</li> <li>the cases that you have been deposed in?</li> <li>MR. KEYHANI: Objection. Form.</li> <li>A. Can you be more specific?</li> <li>Q. What types of opinions do you provide for</li> <li>these cases that you said you've been deposed at?</li> <li>MR. KEYHANI: Objection.</li> <li>Q. Do you opine on fire issues?</li> <li>A. Primarily.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And then the underlying mechanical or</li> <li>chemical or human factors, et cetera, issues.</li> <li>Q. What was the subject matter of the last</li> <li>case that you were deposed in?</li> <li>A. The last case</li> <li>MR. KEYHANI: Objection.</li> <li>You can answer.</li> <li>A. The last case involved a fire in a</li> <li>multi-story, multi-occupancy building where there was</li> <li>a loss of life, several dozen people were forced out</li> <li>of their apartments, several dozen businesses were</li> </ol>

1 JOSE	Page PH B. ZICHERMAN - BY MR. GHIAM	6	Page JOSEPH B. ZICHERMAN - BY MR. GHIAI
	lated to a rail car.	2	Do you understand that?
	. KEYHANI: Objection. Form.	3	A. Yes.
	can answer the question.	4	Q. If you don't understand any of my
	on't recall.		questions, please let me know. I will try to clarify
	ve you been deposed in a case related to		the question and ask it again.
7 consumer e		7	Is that okay?
8 A. Ye		8	A. Yes.
	you remember when this was?	9	Q. If you need a break, please let me know.
10 A. Pa			However, if a question is pending, I will ask you to
	you remember when this deposition was?		provide your answer for the question, and then we
12 A. W	ell, the last case that I was involved in		go on the break.
13 involved a	elevision set that may or may not have	13	A. Yes.
14 been respon	sible for a fire in a hotel.	14	Q. Is there any reason why you can't provide
15 Q. Do	you remember when you were deposed for	15	full, complete and accurate answers today?
16 this case?		16	A. No.
17 A. I v	as not deposed in that case.	17	Q. Are you sick today?
	ell, do you remember the title of the	18	A. No.
	caption of the case?	19	Q. Are you taking any medication?
	ist remember that LG was the defendant.	20	A. I take blood pressure medication.
	y other consumer electronic cases that	21	Q. Could that affect your ability to provide
	een involved with?		truthful answers today?
-	thout being diffuse, when I get involved	23	A. No.
	n of a fire, I'll frequently be asked to	24	Q. Are you under the influence of any drugs
	e role of appliances present, which can be		today?
25 consider th	e tote of apphances present, which can be		today :
	Page		Page
	PH B. ZICHERMAN - BY MR. GHIAM	1	JOSEPH B. ZICHERMAN - BY MR. GHIA
	lectronics, they could be a computer. There	2	A. No.
	of possibilities frequently.	3	Q. Have you had any alcoholic drinks today?
	r enough. Thank you very much.	4	A. No.
	sure your counsel has reviewed the	5	Q. Thank you very much.
6 ground rule	s with you. I'm going to go over the	6	How did you prepare for today's
7 ground rule	s.	7	deposition?
8 I we	ould like to remind you that I am going	8	A. How did I prepare? I reviewed materials
9 to ask ques	ions, and you are going to answer my	9	provided to me by Mr. Keyhani, I reviewed the
10 questions, a	nd our court reporter is going to record	10	declaration I had prepared earlier, and I met with
11 the answers		11	Mr. Keyhani briefly last evening.
12 It's	mportant for you to speak up, so	12	Q. How long did you meet with Mr. Keyhani
	rt reporter can hear you and record your	13	A. Probably an hour.
	's also important to provide your answers	14	Q. Can you please name a few of the docume
	so no shaking, no nods so that the		that you reviewed for this deposition today?
	er could record your answers.	16	A. I reviewed Mr. Malo's declaration, I
	also important for us not to talk		reviewed the full FRA proceedings, I reviewed the
	ther, because she wouldn't be able to		Blair '602 patent, and I reviewed a number of items
			-
			that are listed in my declaration primarily translated
20 Is th	at okay with you?		from Japanese.
o 1		21	Q. Did you review the Consumer Product Saf
	ould like to remind you that you're	22	
22 Q. I w			
22 Q. I v 23 under oath	today. It's the same so you're	23	A. Yes, I did.
22 Q. I v 23 under oath		24	<ul><li>A. Yes, I did.</li><li>Q. Did you review a reference named Yamada for today?</li></ul>

1	Page 10 JOSEPH B. ZICHERMAN - BY MR. GHIAM	1	Page : JOSEPH B. ZICHERMAN - BY MR. GHIA
2	A. Yes.	2	classes?
3	Q. Did you review a reference named Namikawa		A. Those are the ones that I recall. It's
	- ·		been about 50 years, so
5	A. I believe I did. I don't remember all the	5	Q. I understand.
-	names. It might be good to have my declaration in	6	Did you take any mechanical engineering
		7	
8		8	A. Well, I took mechanics courses. They wer
9	Q. Did you review the Japan train operation	9	called wood mechanics, but they were essentially
	association magazine for today's deposition?		analogous to statics and dynamics in mechanical
11	A. The parts of it that were provided to me.		engineering.
12	Q. Did you review the reference named	12	Q. Any other mechanical engineering classes
	Sedighzadeh?		that you can recall?
			-
14	A. I'm guessing. The names are somewhat unfamiliar to me.	14 15	A. Not named mechanical engineering classes
		-	Q. Any other classes that were not named
16	Q. Thank you.		mechanical engineering but pertained to subjects
17	I would like to ask about your educational		related to mechanical engineering?
	background. What did you study in college?	18	A. Fractured mechanics, orthogonal behavior
19	A. I studied wood products engineering at the		of wood-based materials.
	State University College of Forestry, which is	20	Q. All of these mechanics classes were
21	basically an industrial engineering kind of a degree		related to wood-based products; right?
	related to the utilization of wood and wood products.	22	A. Well, wood and composites.
23	Q. Let me go back for a second.	23	Q. And composites.
24	Did you talk to anybody else besides	24	Did you take any electrical engineering
25	Mr. Keyhani for today's deposition?	25	classes in college?
	Page 11		Page
1	JOSEPH B. ZICHERMAN - BY MR. GHIAM	1	JOSEPH B. ZICHERMAN - BY MR. GHIA
2	A. I spoke with his partner.	2	A. No.
3	Q. Do you know the name of the partner?	3	Q. When were you engaged by Mr. Keyhani f
4	A. Jennifer Meredith.	4	this IPR proceeding?
5	Q. Did you talk to Mr. Long for today's	5	A Drobably in the fall of $2017$
6	1	-	A. Probably in the fall of 2017.
	deposition?	6	Q. Did he reach out to you?
7	A. I did not.		
7 8	-	6	Q. Did he reach out to you?
8	A. I did not.	6 7	<ul><li>Q. Did he reach out to you?</li><li>A. He reached out to the company I work for.</li></ul>
8 9	<ul><li>A. I did not.</li><li>Q. Back to the question about your academic</li></ul>	6 7 8	<ul><li>Q. Did he reach out to you?</li><li>A. He reached out to the company I work for.</li><li>Q. What did he ask you to do?</li><li>A. His firm asked me</li></ul>
8 9 10	<ul><li>A. I did not.</li><li>Q. Back to the question about your academic background. You said you studied wood science</li></ul>	6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. Did he reach out to you?</li> <li>A. He reached out to the company I work for.</li> <li>Q. What did he ask you to do?</li> <li>A. His firm asked me MR. KEYHANI: I'm going to object, just to</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11	<ul><li>A. I did not.</li><li>Q. Back to the question about your academic background. You said you studied wood science engineering; am I correct?</li></ul>	6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. Did he reach out to you?</li> <li>A. He reached out to the company I work for.</li> <li>Q. What did he ask you to do?</li> <li>A. His firm asked me MR. KEYHANI: I'm going to object, just to</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. I did not.</li> <li>Q. Back to the question about your academic background. You said you studied wood science engineering; am I correct?</li> <li>A. Wood products engineer is what the program</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. Did he reach out to you?</li> <li>A. He reached out to the company I work for.</li> <li>Q. What did he ask you to do?</li> <li>A. His firm asked me MR. KEYHANI: I'm going to object, just to the extent it gets into work product privilege. So just be careful. We're not going to</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. I did not.</li> <li>Q. Back to the question about your academic background. You said you studied wood science engineering; am I correct?</li> <li>A. Wood products engineer is what the program was called.</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. Did he reach out to you?</li> <li>A. He reached out to the company I work for.</li> <li>Q. What did he ask you to do?</li> <li>A. His firm asked me MR. KEYHANI: I'm going to object, just to the extent it gets into work product privilege. So just be careful. We're not going to</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. I did not.</li> <li>Q. Back to the question about your academic background. You said you studied wood science engineering; am I correct?</li> <li>A. Wood products engineer is what the program was called.</li> <li>Q. Can you tell me more about the program and</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. Did he reach out to you?</li> <li>A. He reached out to the company I work for.</li> <li>Q. What did he ask you to do?</li> <li>A. His firm asked me MR. KEYHANI: I'm going to object, just to the extent it gets into work product privilege. So just be careful. We're not going to get in there. We don't need to get into</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. I did not.</li> <li>Q. Back to the question about your academic background. You said you studied wood science engineering; am I correct?</li> <li>A. Wood products engineer is what the program was called.</li> <li>Q. Can you tell me more about the program and what it's focused on?</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. Did he reach out to you?</li> <li>A. He reached out to the company I work for.</li> <li>Q. What did he ask you to do?</li> <li>A. His firm asked me MR. KEYHANI: I'm going to object, just to the extent it gets into work product privilege. So just be careful. We're not going to get in there. We don't need to get into communications related to work product privilege.</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. I did not.</li> <li>Q. Back to the question about your academic background. You said you studied wood science engineering; am I correct?</li> <li>A. Wood products engineer is what the program was called.</li> <li>Q. Can you tell me more about the program and what it's focused on?</li> <li>A. Sure. It had basic mathematics,</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. Did he reach out to you?</li> <li>A. He reached out to the company I work for.</li> <li>Q. What did he ask you to do?</li> <li>A. His firm asked me MR. KEYHANI: I'm going to object, just to the extent it gets into work product privilege. So just be careful. We're not going to get in there. We don't need to get into communications related to work product privilege. THE WITNESS: Okay.</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. I did not.</li> <li>Q. Back to the question about your academic background. You said you studied wood science engineering; am I correct?</li> <li>A. Wood products engineer is what the program was called.</li> <li>Q. Can you tell me more about the program and what it's focused on?</li> <li>A. Sure. It had basic mathematics, engineering, chemistry, some botany and then applied technology areas like adhesives technology and</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. Did he reach out to you?</li> <li>A. He reached out to the company I work for.</li> <li>Q. What did he ask you to do?</li> <li>A. His firm asked me MR. KEYHANI: I'm going to object, just to the extent it gets into work product privilege. So just be careful. We're not going to get in there. We don't need to get into communications related to work product privilege. THE WITNESS: Okay. So what was the question?</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. I did not.</li> <li>Q. Back to the question about your academic background. You said you studied wood science engineering; am I correct?</li> <li>A. Wood products engineer is what the program was called.</li> <li>Q. Can you tell me more about the program and what it's focused on?</li> <li>A. Sure. It had basic mathematics, engineering, chemistry, some botany and then applied technology areas like adhesives technology and conversion of wood into wood products.</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. Did he reach out to you?</li> <li>A. He reached out to the company I work for.</li> <li>Q. What did he ask you to do?</li> <li>A. His firm asked me MR. KEYHANI: I'm going to object, just to the extent it gets into work product privilege. So just be careful. We're not going to get in there. We don't need to get into communications related to work product privilege. THE WITNESS: Okay. So what was the question?</li> <li>Q. What did Mr. Keyhani ask you to do for this case?</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. I did not.</li> <li>Q. Back to the question about your academic</li> <li>background. You said you studied wood science</li> <li>engineering; am I correct?</li> <li>A. Wood products engineer is what the program</li> <li>was called.</li> <li>Q. Can you tell me more about the program and</li> <li>what it's focused on?</li> <li>A. Sure. It had basic mathematics,</li> <li>engineering, chemistry, some botany and then applied</li> <li>technology areas like adhesives technology and</li> <li>conversion of wood into wood products.</li> <li>Q. What sort of engineering classes did you</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. Did he reach out to you?</li> <li>A. He reached out to the company I work for.</li> <li>Q. What did he ask you to do?</li> <li>A. His firm asked me MR. KEYHANI: I'm going to object, just to the extent it gets into work product privilege. So just be careful. We're not going to get in there. We don't need to get into communications related to work product privilege. THE WITNESS: Okay. So what was the question?</li> <li>Q. What did Mr. Keyhani ask you to do for this case?</li> <li>A. I was asked to review some materials</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. I did not.</li> <li>Q. Back to the question about your academic</li> <li>background. You said you studied wood science</li> <li>engineering; am I correct?</li> <li>A. Wood products engineer is what the program</li> <li>was called.</li> <li>Q. Can you tell me more about the program and</li> <li>what it's focused on?</li> <li>A. Sure. It had basic mathematics,</li> <li>engineering, chemistry, some botany and then applied</li> <li>technology areas like adhesives technology and</li> <li>conversion of wood into wood products.</li> <li>Q. What sort of engineering classes did you</li> <li>take for the program?</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. Did he reach out to you?</li> <li>A. He reached out to the company I work for.</li> <li>Q. What did he ask you to do?</li> <li>A. His firm asked me MR. KEYHANI: I'm going to object, just to the extent it gets into work product privilege. So just be careful. We're not going to get in there. We don't need to get into communications related to work product privilege. THE WITNESS: Okay. So what was the question?</li> <li>Q. What did Mr. Keyhani ask you to do for this case?</li> <li>A. I was asked to review some materials associated with the Blair patent issues and consider</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. I did not.</li> <li>Q. Back to the question about your academic background. You said you studied wood science engineering; am I correct?</li> <li>A. Wood products engineer is what the program was called.</li> <li>Q. Can you tell me more about the program and what it's focused on?</li> <li>A. Sure. It had basic mathematics, engineering, chemistry, some botany and then applied technology areas like adhesives technology and conversion of wood into wood products.</li> <li>Q. What sort of engineering classes did you take for the program?</li> <li>A. I took two semesters of physics, three</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. Did he reach out to you?</li> <li>A. He reached out to the company I work for.</li> <li>Q. What did he ask you to do?</li> <li>A. His firm asked me MR. KEYHANI: I'm going to object, just to the extent it gets into work product privilege. So just be careful. We're not going to get in there. We don't need to get into communications related to work product privilege. THE WITNESS: Okay. So what was the question?</li> <li>Q. What did Mr. Keyhani ask you to do for this case?</li> <li>A. I was asked to review some materials associated with the Blair patent issues and consider those and consider writing a declaration.</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. I did not.</li> <li>Q. Back to the question about your academic background. You said you studied wood science engineering; am I correct?</li> <li>A. Wood products engineer is what the program was called.</li> <li>Q. Can you tell me more about the program and what it's focused on?</li> <li>A. Sure. It had basic mathematics, engineering, chemistry, some botany and then applied technology areas like adhesives technology and conversion of wood into wood products.</li> <li>Q. What sort of engineering classes did you take for the program?</li> <li>A. I took two semesters of physics, three semesters of chemistry, three semesters of calculus,</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. Did he reach out to you?</li> <li>A. He reached out to the company I work for.</li> <li>Q. What did he ask you to do?</li> <li>A. His firm asked me MR. KEYHANI: I'm going to object, just to the extent it gets into work product privilege. So just be careful. We're not going to get in there. We don't need to get into communications related to work product privilege. THE WITNESS: Okay. So what was the question?</li> <li>Q. What did Mr. Keyhani ask you to do for this case?</li> <li>A. I was asked to review some materials associated with the Blair patent issues and consider those and consider writing a declaration.</li> <li>Q. And what did you do after considering</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. I did not.</li> <li>Q. Back to the question about your academic</li> <li>background. You said you studied wood science</li> <li>engineering; am I correct?</li> <li>A. Wood products engineer is what the program</li> <li>was called.</li> <li>Q. Can you tell me more about the program and</li> <li>what it's focused on?</li> <li>A. Sure. It had basic mathematics,</li> <li>engineering, chemistry, some botany and then applied</li> <li>technology areas like adhesives technology and</li> <li>conversion of wood into wood products.</li> <li>Q. What sort of engineering classes did you</li> <li>take for the program?</li> <li>A. I took two semesters of physics, three</li> <li>semesters of chemistry, three semesters of calculus,</li> <li>took a year of biology and then specialized courses in</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. Did he reach out to you?</li> <li>A. He reached out to the company I work for.</li> <li>Q. What did he ask you to do?</li> <li>A. His firm asked me MR. KEYHANI: I'm going to object, just to the extent it gets into work product privilege. So just be careful. We're not going to get in there. We don't need to get into communications related to work product privilege. THE WITNESS: Okay. So what was the question?</li> <li>Q. What did Mr. Keyhani ask you to do for this case?</li> <li>A. I was asked to review some materials associated with the Blair patent issues and consider those and consider writing a declaration.</li> <li>Q. And what did you do after considering these materials?</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. I did not.</li> <li>Q. Back to the question about your academic background. You said you studied wood science engineering; am I correct?</li> <li>A. Wood products engineer is what the program was called.</li> <li>Q. Can you tell me more about the program and what it's focused on?</li> <li>A. Sure. It had basic mathematics, engineering, chemistry, some botany and then applied technology areas like adhesives technology and conversion of wood into wood products.</li> <li>Q. What sort of engineering classes did you take for the program?</li> <li>A. I took two semesters of physics, three semesters of chemistry, three semesters of calculus,</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. Did he reach out to you?</li> <li>A. He reached out to the company I work for.</li> <li>Q. What did he ask you to do?</li> <li>A. His firm asked me MR. KEYHANI: I'm going to object, just to the extent it gets into work product privilege. So just be careful. We're not going to get in there. We don't need to get into communications related to work product privilege. THE WITNESS: Okay. So what was the question?</li> <li>Q. What did Mr. Keyhani ask you to do for this case?</li> <li>A. I was asked to review some materials associated with the Blair patent issues and consider those and consider writing a declaration.</li> <li>Q. And what did you do after considering</li> </ul>

1	Page 14 JOSEPH B. ZICHERMAN - BY MR. GHIAM	1	Page JOSEPH B. ZICHERMAN - BY MR. GHIAM
	materials?	2	Q. Can you please tell me more about the
2	A. I wrote a declaration.		system-wide analysis that you perform, what it
4	Q. So you wrote the declaration yourself?		entails?
5	A. I wrote the declaration with the	5	A. Yes. It entails well, when the
6		-	original system-wide analyses requirement was added by
7	Q. Did you come up with the first draft of		the FRA in the 1990s, there was a requirement to
8	the declaration?		
	A. No.		complete a preliminary fire safety analysis which took
9 10			into account the operating environment of a rail
10	Q. Did you in the process of drafting the		passenger system and the history of the system and
11	declaration, did you ever talk to Mr. Long?		subjected that to an analysis essentially an
12	A. No.		incident style analysis, which was based on a military
13	Q. Have you ever talked to Mr. Long?		spec, which involves system safety and codifies system
14	A. I think I answered that.		safety. And what the goal of those analyses was to
15	Q. So you've never spoken to Mr. Long?		identify unsafe conditions and provide documentation
16	A. No.		of that to the system operators.
17	Q. You don't know Mr. Long?	17	And under the FRA rule, there was some
18	A. I don't.		time period it was either a year or 18 months
19	Q. Thank you.		for the identified shortcomings to be addressed and
20	I am trying to ascertain the scope of your		mitigated. And at some point following that there
	expertise. So if you wouldn't mind, I'm going to ask		would be a final fire safety analysis.
	you a few questions about the area of your expertise.	22	Q. For which operators did you perform these
	If you would start yourself and describe to me your		analysis?
	expertise.	24	A. For Caltrain in the San Francisco Bay
25	MR. KEYHANI: Objection.	25	area, for Metra in Chicago, for Metrolink in Los
	Page 15		Page
1	JOSEPH B. ZICHERMAN - BY MR. GHIAM	1	JOSEPH B. ZICHERMAN - BY MR. GHIAM
2	A. I'm a consulting fire scientist, and I	2	Angeles. Those were complete studies.
-	work in a wide variety of areas, both forensic and		
3	•	3	And I've conducted studies on individual
	what we call prospective. The forensic being	3 4	components, like rail cars and locomotives and things
5	what we call prospective. The forensic being retrospective. The consulting, other than for	3 4 5	components, like rail cars and locomotives and things like that, for car manufacturers, for operators as
5	what we call prospective. The forensic being retrospective. The consulting, other than for attorneys, is prospective work.	3 4 5	components, like rail cars and locomotives and things like that, for car manufacturers, for operators as part, again, of the FRA system safety requirements.
5 6 7	what we call prospective. The forensic being retrospective. The consulting, other than for attorneys, is prospective work. I also do some work on review of	3 4 5 6 7	components, like rail cars and locomotives and things like that, for car manufacturers, for operators as
5 6 7	what we call prospective. The forensic being retrospective. The consulting, other than for attorneys, is prospective work.	3 4 5 6 7	components, like rail cars and locomotives and things like that, for car manufacturers, for operators as part, again, of the FRA system safety requirements.
5 6 7 8	what we call prospective. The forensic being retrospective. The consulting, other than for attorneys, is prospective work. I also do some work on review of	3 4 5 6 7 8	components, like rail cars and locomotives and things like that, for car manufacturers, for operators as part, again, of the FRA system safety requirements. Q. You also mentioned that
5 6 7 8 9	what we call prospective. The forensic being retrospective. The consulting, other than for attorneys, is prospective work. I also do some work on review of environmental impact statements where fire safety are	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	components, like rail cars and locomotives and things like that, for car manufacturers, for operators as part, again, of the FRA system safety requirements. Q. You also mentioned that A. Let me also add that that fire safety
5 6 7 8 9 10	what we call prospective. The forensic being retrospective. The consulting, other than for attorneys, is prospective work. I also do some work on review of environmental impact statements where fire safety are issues.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	components, like rail cars and locomotives and things like that, for car manufacturers, for operators as part, again, of the FRA system safety requirements. Q. You also mentioned that A. Let me also add that that fire safety analysis work may involve an entire rail car design or
5 6 7 8 9 10	what we call prospective. The forensic being retrospective. The consulting, other than for attorneys, is prospective work. I also do some work on review of environmental impact statements where fire safety are issues. Most relevant to this litigation it would	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	components, like rail cars and locomotives and things like that, for car manufacturers, for operators as part, again, of the FRA system safety requirements. Q. You also mentioned that A. Let me also add that that fire safety analysis work may involve an entire rail car design or locomotive design, or it may involve the evaluation of
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	what we call prospective. The forensic being retrospective. The consulting, other than for attorneys, is prospective work. I also do some work on review of environmental impact statements where fire safety are issues. Most relevant to this litigation it would seem is my work with rail fire safety and rail car	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	components, like rail cars and locomotives and things like that, for car manufacturers, for operators as part, again, of the FRA system safety requirements. Q. You also mentioned that A. Let me also add that that fire safety analysis work may involve an entire rail car design or locomotive design, or it may involve the evaluation of a cooking device going into a club car, a
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	what we call prospective. The forensic being retrospective. The consulting, other than for attorneys, is prospective work. I also do some work on review of environmental impact statements where fire safety are issues. Most relevant to this litigation it would seem is my work with rail fire safety and rail car design and qualification that started in 1979.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	components, like rail cars and locomotives and things like that, for car manufacturers, for operators as part, again, of the FRA system safety requirements. Q. You also mentioned that A. Let me also add that that fire safety analysis work may involve an entire rail car design or locomotive design, or it may involve the evaluation of a cooking device going into a club car, a refrigerator, electronic components, things of that
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	what we call prospective. The forensic being retrospective. The consulting, other than for attorneys, is prospective work. I also do some work on review of environmental impact statements where fire safety are issues. Most relevant to this litigation it would seem is my work with rail fire safety and rail car design and qualification that started in 1979. Q. What type of expertise do you offer when	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	components, like rail cars and locomotives and things like that, for car manufacturers, for operators as part, again, of the FRA system safety requirements. Q. You also mentioned that A. Let me also add that that fire safety analysis work may involve an entire rail car design or locomotive design, or it may involve the evaluation of a cooking device going into a club car, a refrigerator, electronic components, things of that nature.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	what we call prospective. The forensic being retrospective. The consulting, other than for attorneys, is prospective work. I also do some work on review of environmental impact statements where fire safety are issues. Most relevant to this litigation it would seem is my work with rail fire safety and rail car design and qualification that started in 1979. Q. What type of expertise do you offer when you do rail fire safety work?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	components, like rail cars and locomotives and things like that, for car manufacturers, for operators as part, again, of the FRA system safety requirements. Q. You also mentioned that A. Let me also add that that fire safety analysis work may involve an entire rail car design or locomotive design, or it may involve the evaluation of a cooking device going into a club car, a refrigerator, electronic components, things of that nature. Q. You mentioned that you have worked on car
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>what we call prospective. The forensic being retrospective. The consulting, other than for attorneys, is prospective work. <ul> <li>I also do some work on review of</li> <li>environmental impact statements where fire safety are issues.</li> <li>Most relevant to this litigation it would</li> </ul> </li> <li>seem is my work with rail fire safety and rail car design and qualification that started in 1979. <ul> <li>Q. What type of expertise do you offer when you do rail fire safety work?</li> <li>A. I offer formal what was the word you</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	components, like rail cars and locomotives and things like that, for car manufacturers, for operators as part, again, of the FRA system safety requirements. Q. You also mentioned that A. Let me also add that that fire safety analysis work may involve an entire rail car design or locomotive design, or it may involve the evaluation of a cooking device going into a club car, a refrigerator, electronic components, things of that nature. Q. You mentioned that you have worked on car design projects?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>what we call prospective. The forensic being retrospective. The consulting, other than for attorneys, is prospective work. <ul> <li>I also do some work on review of</li> <li>environmental impact statements where fire safety are issues.</li> <li>Most relevant to this litigation it would</li> <li>seem is my work with rail fire safety and rail car design and qualification that started in 1979.</li> <li>Q. What type of expertise do you offer when you do rail fire safety work?</li> <li>A. I offer formal what was the word you asked me, what services? Was it services?</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>components, like rail cars and locomotives and things like that, for car manufacturers, for operators as part, again, of the FRA system safety requirements.</li> <li>Q. You also mentioned that</li> <li>A. Let me also add that that fire safety analysis work may involve an entire rail car design or locomotive design, or it may involve the evaluation of a cooking device going into a club car, a refrigerator, electronic components, things of that nature.</li> <li>Q. You mentioned that you have worked on car design projects?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>what we call prospective. The forensic being retrospective. The consulting, other than for attorneys, is prospective work. <ul> <li>I also do some work on review of</li> <li>environmental impact statements where fire safety are issues.</li> <li>Most relevant to this litigation it would</li> <li>seem is my work with rail fire safety and rail car design and qualification that started in 1979.</li> <li>Q. What type of expertise do you offer when you do rail fire safety work?</li> <li>A. I offer formal what was the word you asked me, what services? Was it services?</li> <li>Q. What sort of expertise do you offer?</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>components, like rail cars and locomotives and things like that, for car manufacturers, for operators as part, again, of the FRA system safety requirements.</li> <li>Q. You also mentioned that</li> <li>A. Let me also add that that fire safety analysis work may involve an entire rail car design or locomotive design, or it may involve the evaluation of a cooking device going into a club car, a refrigerator, electronic components, things of that nature.</li> <li>Q. You mentioned that you have worked on car design projects?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Is that correct? What services have you</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>what we call prospective. The forensic being retrospective. The consulting, other than for attorneys, is prospective work. <ul> <li>I also do some work on review of</li> <li>environmental impact statements where fire safety are issues.</li> <li>Most relevant to this litigation it would</li> <li>seem is my work with rail fire safety and rail car design and qualification that started in 1979.</li> <li>Q. What type of expertise do you offer when you do rail fire safety work?</li> <li>A. I offer formal what was the word you asked me, what services? Was it services?</li> <li>Q. What sort of expertise do you offer?</li> <li>A. What expertise? I offer expertise in doing fire safety analyses both of rail passenger</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	components, like rail cars and locomotives and things like that, for car manufacturers, for operators as part, again, of the FRA system safety requirements. Q. You also mentioned that A. Let me also add that that fire safety analysis work may involve an entire rail car design or locomotive design, or it may involve the evaluation of a cooking device going into a club car, a refrigerator, electronic components, things of that nature. Q. You mentioned that you have worked on car design projects? A. Yes. Q. Is that correct? What services have you offered when you worked and offered expertise for car design?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>what we call prospective. The forensic being retrospective. The consulting, other than for attorneys, is prospective work. <ul> <li>I also do some work on review of</li> <li>environmental impact statements where fire safety are issues.</li> <li>Most relevant to this litigation it would</li> <li>seem is my work with rail fire safety and rail car design and qualification that started in 1979.</li> <li>Q. What type of expertise do you offer when you do rail fire safety work?</li> <li>A. I offer formal what was the word you asked me, what services? Was it services?</li> <li>Q. What sort of expertise do you offer?</li> <li>A. What expertise? I offer expertise in doing fire safety analyses both of rail passenger systems, which the Federal Railway Agency calls</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>components, like rail cars and locomotives and things like that, for car manufacturers, for operators as part, again, of the FRA system safety requirements.</li> <li>Q. You also mentioned that</li> <li>A. Let me also add that that fire safety analysis work may involve an entire rail car design or locomotive design, or it may involve the evaluation of a cooking device going into a club car, a refrigerator, electronic components, things of that nature.</li> <li>Q. You mentioned that you have worked on car design projects?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Is that correct? What services have you offered when you worked and offered expertise for car design?</li> <li>A. Well, in particular, the FRA requirements</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>what we call prospective. The forensic being retrospective. The consulting, other than for attorneys, is prospective work. <ul> <li>I also do some work on review of</li> <li>environmental impact statements where fire safety are issues.</li> <li>Most relevant to this litigation it would</li> <li>seem is my work with rail fire safety and rail car design and qualification that started in 1979.</li> <li>Q. What type of expertise do you offer when you do rail fire safety work?</li> <li>A. I offer formal what was the word you asked me, what services? Was it services?</li> <li>Q. What sort of expertise do you offer?</li> <li>A. What expertise? I offer expertise in doing fire safety analyses both of rail passenger systems, which the Federal Railway Agency calls system-wide analyses; and I offer expertise with</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>components, like rail cars and locomotives and things like that, for car manufacturers, for operators as part, again, of the FRA system safety requirements.</li> <li>Q. You also mentioned that</li> <li>A. Let me also add that that fire safety analysis work may involve an entire rail car design or locomotive design, or it may involve the evaluation of a cooking device going into a club car, a refrigerator, electronic components, things of that nature.</li> <li>Q. You mentioned that you have worked on car design projects?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Is that correct? What services have you offered when you worked and offered expertise for car design?</li> <li>A. Well, in particular, the FRA requirements and the FTA guidelines require that a fire safety</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>what we call prospective. The forensic being retrospective. The consulting, other than for attorneys, is prospective work. <ul> <li>I also do some work on review of</li> <li>environmental impact statements where fire safety are issues.</li> <li>Most relevant to this litigation it would</li> <li>seem is my work with rail fire safety and rail car design and qualification that started in 1979.</li> <li>Q. What type of expertise do you offer when you do rail fire safety work?</li> <li>A. I offer formal what was the word you asked me, what services? Was it services?</li> <li>Q. What sort of expertise do you offer?</li> <li>A. What expertise? I offer expertise in doing fire safety analyses both of rail passenger systems, which the Federal Railway Agency calls system-wide analyses; and I offer expertise with regards to individual cars, car designs and products</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>components, like rail cars and locomotives and things like that, for car manufacturers, for operators as part, again, of the FRA system safety requirements.</li> <li>Q. You also mentioned that</li> <li>A. Let me also add that that fire safety analysis work may involve an entire rail car design or locomotive design, or it may involve the evaluation of a cooking device going into a club car, a refrigerator, electronic components, things of that nature.</li> <li>Q. You mentioned that you have worked on car design projects?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Is that correct? What services have you offered when you worked and offered expertise for car design?</li> <li>A. Well, in particular, the FRA requirements and the FTA guidelines require that a fire safety analysis be conducted of the design. And that started</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>what we call prospective. The forensic being retrospective. The consulting, other than for attorneys, is prospective work. <ul> <li>I also do some work on review of</li> <li>environmental impact statements where fire safety are issues.</li> <li>Most relevant to this litigation it would</li> <li>seem is my work with rail fire safety and rail car design and qualification that started in 1979.</li> <li>Q. What type of expertise do you offer when you do rail fire safety work?</li> <li>A. I offer formal what was the word you asked me, what services? Was it services?</li> <li>Q. What sort of expertise do you offer?</li> <li>A. What expertise? I offer expertise in doing fire safety analyses both of rail passenger systems, which the Federal Railway Agency calls system-wide analyses; and I offer expertise with regards to individual cars, car designs and products used in cars that are subject to a fire safety</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>components, like rail cars and locomotives and things like that, for car manufacturers, for operators as part, again, of the FRA system safety requirements.</li> <li>Q. You also mentioned that</li> <li>A. Let me also add that that fire safety analysis work may involve an entire rail car design or locomotive design, or it may involve the evaluation of a cooking device going into a club car, a refrigerator, electronic components, things of that nature.</li> <li>Q. You mentioned that you have worked on car design projects?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Is that correct? What services have you offered when you worked and offered expertise for car design?</li> <li>A. Well, in particular, the FRA requirements and the FTA guidelines require that a fire safety analysis be conducted of the design. And that started with the FRA rules and became codified.</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>what we call prospective. The forensic being retrospective. The consulting, other than for attorneys, is prospective work. <ul> <li>I also do some work on review of</li> <li>environmental impact statements where fire safety are issues.</li> <li>Most relevant to this litigation it would</li> <li>seem is my work with rail fire safety and rail car design and qualification that started in 1979.</li> <li>Q. What type of expertise do you offer when you do rail fire safety work?</li> <li>A. I offer formal what was the word you asked me, what services? Was it services?</li> <li>Q. What sort of expertise do you offer?</li> <li>A. What expertise? I offer expertise in doing fire safety analyses both of rail passenger systems, which the Federal Railway Agency calls system-wide analyses; and I offer expertise with regards to individual cars, car designs and products</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>components, like rail cars and locomotives and things like that, for car manufacturers, for operators as part, again, of the FRA system safety requirements.</li> <li>Q. You also mentioned that</li> <li>A. Let me also add that that fire safety analysis work may involve an entire rail car design or locomotive design, or it may involve the evaluation of a cooking device going into a club car, a refrigerator, electronic components, things of that nature.</li> <li>Q. You mentioned that you have worked on car design projects?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Is that correct? What services have you offered when you worked and offered expertise for car design?</li> <li>A. Well, in particular, the FRA requirements and the FTA guidelines require that a fire safety analysis be conducted of the design. And that started</li> </ul>

## DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

### **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

#### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.