	271	
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2	IN AND FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE	
3		
4	COSMO TECHNOLOGIES LIMITED, VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL, and : CIVIL ACTION	
5	VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS LUXEMBOURG : S.A.R.L., :	
6	Plaintiffs, : v :	
	:	
7	ACTAVIS LABORATORIES FL, INC., : : NO. 15-164-LPS	
8	Defendant.	
9	COSMO TECHNOLOGIES LIMITED, VALEANT	
10	PHARMACEUTICALS INTERNATIONAL, and : CIVIL ACTION VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS LUXEMBOURG :	
	S.A.R.L., :	
11	Plaintiffs, : v :	
12	:	
13	ALVOGEN PINE BROOK, LLC, : : NO. 15-193-LPS	
14	Defendant.	
15	Wilmington, Delaware Tuesday, May 23, 2017	
16	Bench Trial - Volume B	
17		
18	BEFORE: HONORABLE LEONARD P. STARK, Chief Judge	
19	APPEARANCES:	
20		
21	MORRIS NICHOLS ARSHT & TUNNELL, LLP BY: MARYELLEN NORIEKA, ESQ.	
22	and	
23		
24		
	Valorio C. Cupping Price D. Cofficer	
25	Valerie G. Gunning Brian P. Gaffigan	
DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u> .		

1 APPEARANCES: (Continued) 2 PAUL HASTINGS, LLP BY: MELANIE R. RUPERT, ESQ., 3 DAVID M. CONCA, ESQ., NICHOLAS A. TYMOCZKO, ESQ., 4 JOSEPH O'MALLEY, ESQ., SCOTT F. PEACHMAN, ESQ., 5 ANDREW J. COCHRAN, ESQ., and MI ZHOU, ESQ. 6 (New York, New York) 7 Counsel for Plaintiffs Valeant Pharmaceuticals International, and 8 Valeant Pharmaceuticals Luxembourg S.A.R.L. 9 MORRIS NICHOLS ARSHT & TUNNELL, LLP BY: MARYELLEN NORIEKA, ESQ. 10 and 11 IRELL & MANELLA, LLP 12 GARY FRISCHLING, ESQ., and BY: YITE JOHN LU, ESQ. 13 (Los Angeles, California) Counsel for Plaintiff Cosmo 14 Technologies Limited 15 PHILLIPS GOLDMAN McLAUGHLIN & HALL, P.A. 16 BY: JOHN C. PHILLIPS, JR., ESQ., and DAVID A. BILSON, ESQ. 17 and 18 GOODWIN PROCTOR, LLP 19 BY: JOHN T. BENNETT, ESQ., SAMUEL SHERRY, ESQ., and 20 SHAOBO ZHU, ESQ. (Boston, Massachusetts) 21 and 22 GOODWIN PROCTOR, LLP 23 BY: ELIZABETH J. HOLLAND, ESQ., NAOMI BIRBACH, ESQ. 24 (New York, New York) 25 Counsel for Defendant DOCKE

272

ALARM

1 APPEARANCES: (Continued)	
2	
3 SHAW KELLER, LLP BY: DAVID E. FRY, ESQ., and	
KAREN E. KELLER, ESQ.	
4 and	
5	
6 AXINN, VELTROP & HARKRIDER, LLP 6 BY: MATTHEW J. BECKER, ESQ., and JASON MURATA, ESQ.	
7 (Hartford, Connecticut)	
8 and	
9 AXINN, VELTROP & HARKRIDER, LLP	
BY:TODD MARABELLA, ESQ.10(Boston, Massachusetts)	
11 and	
12 AXINN, VELTROP & HARKRIDER, LLP	
13 BY: BRETT GARRISON, ESQ. (Washington, District of Columbia)	
14 Counsel for Defendant	
Alvogen Pine Brook, LLC	
1.0	
16	
17 09:39:06	
09:39:06	
09:39:06	
09:39:06 19	
09:39:06 09:39:06 20	
09:39:06	
09:39:06 21	
09:39:0622 - oOo -	
09:39:0623 PROCEEDINGS	
09:39:0624 (REPORTER'S NOTE: The following bench trial w	as
08:19:50 25 held in open court, beginning at 8:35 a.m.)	

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

08:51:34 1	(Dr. Fassihi left the courtroom.)	
08:51:34 2	MR. CONCA: Thank you, Your Honor.	
08:51:36 3	THE COURT: Okay.	
08:51:38 4	MR. CONCA: Your Honor, defendants' motion for	
08:51:40 5	judgment under 52(c) should be denied because it suffers	
08:51:43 6	from several fundamental flaws.	
08:51:46 7	First, it ignores the extensive affirmative	
08:51:49 8	documentary evidence that the defendants' products are	
08:51:51 9	macroscopically homogeneous, which includes numerous ANDA	
08:51:55 10	product development documents reflecting the substantial	
08:51:57 11	efforts that defendants made to design their products and	
08:52:01 12	their manufacturing process for making them so that the	
08:52:0413	blended excipients will be homogeneous throughout the	
08:52:07 14	manufacturing process, and so that the resulting tablets	
08:52:11 15	will likewise remain homogeneous. These documents,	
08:52:1516	including defendants' own extensive use of internal active	
08:52:1917	ingredient uniformity testing, including express admissions	
08:52:23 18	in these documents that the defendants themselves use active	
08:52:27 19	ingredient uniformity testing with results routinely close	
08:52:30 20	to a hundred percent as a surrogate to demonstrate excipient	
08:52:35 21	homogeneity in the product blend.	
08:52:38 22	For example, PTX-230 at page 4 states, "In	
08:52:42 23	addition, the final blend was also found to be homogeneous	
08:52:4624	based on the results of blend uniformity studies and had	
08:52:50 25	good compressibility."	
DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u> .		

08:52:52 1 PTX-228 at 193. "To assess homogeneity of 08:52:58 2 the blend, blend uniformity sampling will still be 08:53:01 3 performed." Further, both defendants' documents establish 08:53:01 4 08:53:04 5 that the tablet compression was designed to minimize segregation of the homogeneous product blends created during 08:53:07 6 08:53:11 7 their respective manufacturing processes, during those 08:53:14 8 mixing processes. 08:53:15 9 Alvogen's PTX-228 at 170, "The compression 08:53:2010 process must provide the desired dose in each tablet by 08:53:25 11 avoiding segregation of the uniform blend." And Actavis' PTX-230. "This process of blending in a series of steps 08:53:29 12 ensured homogeneous mixing of nine milligrams of active 08:53:34 13 08:53:3714 ingredient in 300 milligrams of blend as evidenced by blend uniformity data and content uniformity data from the core 08:53:41 15 tablet." 08:53:44 16 08:53:45 17 These ANDA documents are legal representations 08:53:48 18 to FDA and defendants can't deviate from them without 08:53:5219 amending their ANDAs. 08:53:5320 THE COURT: Where is the documentary evidence 08:53:54 21 that it is macroscopically homogeneous as viewed by the naked eye? 08:54:01 22 08:54:0323 MR. CONCA: The documentary evidence includes 08:54:0624 defendants' witness testimony, but the documentary evidence itself is the photographs that the defendants' experts took 08:54:0925

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.