



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
13/617,138	09/14/2012	Roberto VILLA	3850-125	7811
6449	7590	04/23/2013	EXAMINER	
ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, P.C. 607 14th Street, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			TRAN, SUSAN T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1615	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/23/2013	ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTO-PAT-Email@rfem.com

<b>Applicant-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 13/617,138	<b>Applicant(s)</b> PEDRANI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> SUSAN TRAN	<b>Art Unit</b> 1615	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) SUSAN TRAN.

(3) Robert Wax.

(2) Jeffrey L. Ihnen; R. Danny Huntington.

(4) Jeffrey H. Tidwell; Thomas L. Irving.

Date of Interview: 17 April 2013.

Type:  Telephonic  Video Conference  
 Personal [copy given to:  applicant  applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:  Yes  No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Issues Discussed 101 112 102 103 Others  
(For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Substance of Interview**

(For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

Applicant's attorney proposed amendments to the specification and to the claims to overcome the prior art rejection of record. The specification will be amended to incorporate by reference the subject matters of application 10/009532 (Now Patent 7,431,943), hence, claiming the priority date of said application. The claims will be amended to replace the limitation "inflammatory bowel disease" with "intestinal inflammatory disease" to ensure proper support from the earlier filed application, i.e., SN 10/0009532.

**Applicant recordation instructions:** The formal written reply to the last Office action must include the substance of the interview. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, applicant is given a non-extendable period of the longer of one month or thirty days from this interview date, or the mailing date of this interview summary form, whichever is later, to file a statement of the substance of the interview

**Examiner recordation instructions:** Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

Attachment

/S. TRAN/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1615