

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

| | | |
|---|---|------------------------------|
| United States Patent No: 6,151,493 | § | |
| Inventors: Toyoki Sasakura, Kenichi Miyamoto | § | Attorney Docket No.: |
| Formerly Application No.: 09/000,764 | § | 108827-5007-651 |
| Issue Date: November 21, 2000 | § | Customer No.: 28120 |
| Filing Date: December 30, 1997 | § | Petitioners: Google Inc., |
| Former Group Art Unit: 2749 | § | LG Electronics, Inc., |
| Former Examiner: Meless Zewdu | § | LG Electronics U.S.A., Inc., |
| Patent Owner: Ryujin Fujinomaki | § | LG Electronics Mobilecomm |
| | § | U.S.A., Inc. |

For: DEVICE FOR PROHIBITING UNAUTHORIZED USE OF ELECTRONIC DEVICES

MAIL STOP PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Post Office Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

**DECLARATION OF DR. SCHUYLER QUACKENBUSH
IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF
UNITED STATES PATENT NO. 6,151,493**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|------|---|----|
| I. | Introduction..... | 1 |
| II. | Background and Qualifications | 1 |
| III. | Priority Date and One of Ordinary Skill..... | 7 |
| IV. | Materials Relied Upon..... | 9 |
| V. | Background on the State of the Art | 9 |
| VI. | Analysis of the '493 Patent..... | 15 |
| | A. Overview of the '493 Patent..... | 15 |
| | B. Overview of the '493 Patent Prosecution History | 20 |
| | C. Claim Construction of the '493 Patent Claims | 22 |
| VII. | The Challenged Claims are Invalid | 23 |
| | A. Legal Standards | 23 |
| | B. Claims 1-6 and 8-10 Are Obvious Under § 103 over Yamamoto in View of Mardirossian, Takeuchi, Olah, and/or Bisak | 27 |
| | 1. Overview of U.S. Patent No. 5,327,482 (“Yamamoto”) | 27 |
| | 2. Overview of U.S. Patent No. 5,796,338 (“Mardirossian”)..... | 30 |
| | 3. Overview of U.S. Patent No. 5,055,701 (“Takeuchi”)..... | 32 |
| | 4. Overview of U.S. Patent No. 5,396,218 (“Olah”) | 33 |
| | 5. Overview of U.S. Patent No. 5,021,779 (“Bisak”)..... | 34 |
| | 6. Invalidity of Claim 1 Over Yamamoto in view of Mardirossian and/or the Knowledge of a POSITA..... | 35 |
| | 7. Invalidity of Claim 2 Over Yamamoto in view of Mardirossian and/or the Knowledge of a POSITA..... | 64 |
| | 8. Invalidity of Claim 3 Over Yamamoto in view of Mardirossian and/or the Knowledge of a POSITA..... | 67 |
| | 9. Invalidity of Claim 4 Over Yamamoto in view of Mardirossian, Takeuchi, and/or the Knowledge of a POSITA..... | 73 |
| | 10. Invalidity of Claim 5 Over Yamamoto in view of Mardirossian, Takeuchi, and/or the Knowledge of a POSITA..... | 75 |
| | 11. Invalidity of Claim 6 Over Yamamoto in view of Mardirossian, Takeuchi, and/or the Knowledge of a POSITA..... | 76 |
| | 12. Invalidity of Claim 8 Over Yamamoto in view of Mardirossian, and/or the Knowledge of a POSITA..... | 76 |

| | | |
|-------|--|-----|
| 13. | Invalidity of Claim 9 Over Yamamoto in view of Mardirossian, Olah, and/or the Knowledge of a POSITA..... | 77 |
| 14. | Invalidity of Claim 10 Over Yamamoto in View of Mardirossian, Bisak, and/or the Knowledge of a POSITA | 79 |
| C. | Claims 1-6 and 8-10 Are Obvious Under § 103 over Mardirossian in View of Sakakura, Takeuchi, and/or Olah..... | 83 |
| 1. | Overview of U.S. Patent No. 5,796,338 (“Mardirossian”)..... | 84 |
| 2. | Overview of JP Patent Pub. No. H7-87559 (“Sakakura”)..... | 84 |
| 3. | Overview of U.S. Patent No. 5,055,701 (“Takeuchi”)..... | 85 |
| 4. | Overview of U.S. Patent No. 5,396,218 (“Olah”) | 85 |
| 5. | Invalidity of Claim 1 Over Mardirossian in View of Sakakura and/or the Knowledge of a POSITA..... | 85 |
| 6. | Invalidity of Claim 2 Over Mardirossian in View of Sakakura and/or the Knowledge of a POSITA..... | 113 |
| 7. | Invalidity of Claim 3 Over Mardirossian in View of Sakakura and/or the Knowledge of a POSITA..... | 114 |
| 8. | Invalidity of Claim 4 Over Mardirossian in View of Sakakura, Takeuchi and/or the Knowledge of a POSITA..... | 117 |
| 9. | Invalidity of Claim 5 Over Mardirossian in View of Sakakura, Takeuchi and/or the Knowledge of a POSITA..... | 120 |
| 10. | Invalidity of Claim 6 Over Mardirossian in View of Sakakura, Takeuchi and/or the Knowledge of a POSITA..... | 121 |
| 11. | Invalidity of Claim 8 Over Mardirossian in View of Sakakura and/or the Knowledge of a POSITA..... | 121 |
| 12. | Invalidity of Claim 9 Over Mardirossian in View of Sakakura, Olah and/or the Knowledge of a POSITA | 122 |
| 13. | Invalidity of Claim 10 Over Mardirossian in View of Sakakura and/or the Knowledge of a POSITA..... | 124 |
| VIII. | Conclusion | 130 |

| |
|--|
| APPENDIX A (Curriculum Vitae) |
| APPENDIX B (List of Publications) |
| APPENDIX C (List of Testifying Experience) |
| APPENDIX D (List of Materials Considered) |
| APPENDIX E (Claim Charts) |

I, Dr. Schuyler Quackenbush, hereby declare under penalty of perjury:

I. INTRODUCTION

1. I have been retained to provide assistance regarding U.S. Patent No. 6,151,493 (“the ’493 patent”). Specifically, I have been asked to consider the validity of claims 1-6 and 8-10 of the ’493 patent (the “Challenged Claims”). I have personal knowledge of the facts and opinions set forth in this declaration, and, if called upon to do so, I would testify competently thereto.

2. I am being compensated for my time at my standard consulting rate of \$400 per hour. I am also being reimbursed for expenses that I incur during the course of this work. My compensation is not contingent upon the results of my study, the substance of my opinions, or the outcome of any proceeding involving the challenged claims. I have no financial interest in the outcome of this matter or on the pending litigation between Petitioners and Ryujin Fujinomaki.

3. A table of contents and a list of exhibits referenced herein are included above.

II. BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS

4. I offer statements and opinions on behalf of Petitioners, Google Inc. (“Google”), LG Electronics, Inc., LG Electronics U.S.A, Inc., and LG Electronics Mobilecomm U.S.A., Inc. (collectively “LGE”) (all “Petitioners”), generally regarding the validity, novelty, prior art, obviousness considerations, and understand-

ing of a person of ordinary skill in the art (“POSITA”) as it relates to U.S. Patent No. 6,151,493 (“493 patent”). Attached hereto as Appendix A, is a true and correct copy of my Curriculum Vitae describing my background and experience.

5. I received a B.S. in Electrical Engineering from Princeton University in 1975, and M.S. and Ph.D. in Electrical Engineering from the Georgia Institute of Technology in 1980 and 1985, respectively. While at the Georgia Institute of Technology, I concentrated my research on signal processing.

6. Between 1975 and 1978, I worked for Loral Electronics in Yonkers, NY, where I was employed as a test engineer and was part of a team that designed and built an aircraft-based RADAR (Radio Detection and Ranging) jamming system. Loral Electronics produced airborne electronics systems for the U.S. Air Force. My responsibilities in this position included: assisting the lead engineer in setting up test equipment; assembling custom test equipment; and using this equipment to test items from the production line.

7. From 1978 to 1979, I worked for Diagnostic/Retrieval Systems (DRS), Inc. in Oakdale, NJ, where I was a hardware design engineer. DRS produced electronic SONAR (sound navigation and ranging) systems for the U.S. Navy. My responsibilities in this position included: the design of the transceiver frontends used in the sonar system, which included the processing of the analog signal received by the microphone array, its conversion into a digitized signal, and subsequent filter-

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.