

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FLIR SYSTEMS, INC., and
FLIR MARITIME US, INC.,
(f/k/a RAYMARINE INC.),

Petitioner,

vs.

GARMIN SWITZERLAND GmbH,

Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2017-00946
U.S. Patent No.
7,268,703 B1

Deposition of STEVEN DOUGLAS BROWNE

Overland Park, Kansas

January 19, 2018 - 9:04 a.m.

Reported by:

Naola C. Vaughn

Job no: 20500

1
2
3 DEPOSITION OF STEVEN DOUGLAS BROWNE,
4 produced, sworn and examined on behalf of the
5 Petitioner, pursuant to Notice, on Friday, the 19th day
6 of January, 2018, between the hours of 9:04 a.m. and
7 11:30 a.m. of that day, at the law offices of Erise IP,
8 P.A., 7016 College Boulevard, Suite 700, in the City of
9 Overland Park, in the County of Johnson, and the State
10 of Kansas, before me, NAOLA C. VAUGHN, MO CCR 1052, KS
11 CCR 0895, CRR, RPR, a Certified Court Reporter, within
12 and for the States of Missouri and Kansas.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 INDEX
2 WITNESS: STEVEN DOUGLAS BROWNE
3 Examination by Mr. Ferguson 5
4
5 EXHIBITS
6 NUMBER DESCRIPTION PAGE
7 Exhibit 1001 - U.S. Patent 7,268,703 B1 8
8 Exhibit 1005 - W.J. de Jong, Automated Route
9 Planning, A Network-Based Route
10 Planning Solution for Marine
11 Navigation
12 Exhibit 2001 - Bowditch "manual" 22
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25 Exhibit 2003 - Declaration of Steven Browne 9

1 APPEARANCES
2 For the Petitioner:
3 WEIL GOTSHAL & MANGES, LLP
4 2001 M Street, N.W.
5 Suite 600
6 Washington, D.C. 20036
7 202.682.7000
8 brian.ferguson@weil.com
9 BY: BRIAN E. FERGUSON
10 and
11 stephen.bosco@weil.com
12 BY: STEPHEN P. BOSCO
13
14 For the Patent Owner:
15 ERISE IP, P.A.
16 7015 College Boulevard
17 Suite 700
18 Overland park, Kansas 66211
19 913.777.5600
20 jennifer.bailey@eriseip.com
21 BY: JENNIFER C. BAILEY
22
23
24
25

1 STEVEN DOUGLAS BROWNE,
2 a witness, being first duly sworn, testified as
3 follows:
4 EXAMINATION
5 BY MR. FERGUSON:
6 Q. Good morning.
7 A. Good morning.
8 Q. Please state your full name for the
9 record.
10 A. Steven Douglas Browne.
11 Q. And can you give us an address? It
12 can be work or residential, whatever you're
13 comfortable with.
14 A. 200 Maritime Academy Drive, Vallejo,
15 California 94590.
16 Q. Great. Have you ever been deposed
17 before, Mr. Browne?
18 A. I have not.
19 Q. Let me just give you a review of the
20 ground rules, per se, to help you, and if you have
21 any questions about these, let me know, okay?
22 A. I will.
23 Q. Number one, the court reporter is
24 taking down everything we say. So as a result,
25 let's try to talk in order. I'll ask a question.

1 You give an answer. Let's not talk over each
 2 other, okay?
 3 A. Yes.
 4 Q. Number two, please keep all of your
 5 answers verbal, as opposed to head nods or head
 6 shakes, okay?
 7 A. Yes.
 8 Q. And I think most importantly is, if
 9 you don't understand my question, just ask me to
 10 clarify, and I'll be happy to try and do that,
 11 okay?
 12 A. I will.
 13 Q. And then lastly, if you just need a
 14 break at any time, just let me know and we'll look
 15 for a place to take a break.
 16 A. I will.
 17 Q. Generally we break every hour or so.
 18 Get up and stretch your legs.
 19 A. Very good.
 20 Q. Okay. When were you first contacted
 21 by either counsel or someone at Garmin regarding
 22 potentially working on this matter?
 23 A. I don't recall a specific day, but it
 24 was -- it was in November of 2017, I believe. But
 25 it could have been September. I think it was

1 Q. I noticed -- let me strike that.
 2 And what is your compensation for
 3 working on this matter?
 4 A. I got paid \$200 an hour for the
 5 initial document review and preparation of my
 6 declaration and \$300 an hour for work related to
 7 the deposition.
 8 Q. Okay. Why do you charge more for the
 9 work related to the deposition?
 10 A. This is my first time doing this, and
 11 I admit I know very little about the process. I
 12 asked a colleague how much I should charge and
 13 took his advice.
 14 Q. Okay. So as we sit here today, you
 15 are being compensated 300 an hour as you give
 16 testimony; correct?
 17 A. That's correct.
 18 Q. I've put in front of you some of the
 19 exhibits that relate to this matter. The first is
 20 what we'll call Exhibit 1001. It's a copy of the
 21 patent at issue here, 7,268,703.
 22 Do you see that?
 23 A. I do.
 24 Q. And you're obviously familiar with
 25 this patent; correct?

1 November.
 2 Q. November 2017; correct?
 3 A. Yes.
 4 Q. All right. When you were contacted,
 5 who contacted you? Was it someone from outside
 6 counsel or someone in-house at Garmin?
 7 A. Outside counsel. I was emailed to see
 8 if I was interested and then with a follow-up call
 9 after that.
 10 Q. Do you have a written engagement
 11 letter?
 12 A. Yes, I have a contract, yes.
 13 Q. Okay. Do you recall roughly when that
 14 was signed?
 15 A. Probably the end of November. I don't
 16 recall the specific date.
 17 Q. Okay. Prior to signing that
 18 engagement letter or contract, were you provided
 19 any materials that related to this matter?
 20 A. I was not.
 21 Q. So to be clear, you signed the
 22 engagement letter to work on behalf of Garmin in
 23 this matter without seeing the particular patent
 24 that's at issue; correct?
 25 A. That's correct.

1 A. Yes.
 2 Q. Is it acceptable to you if we refer to
 3 it as the '703 patent?
 4 A. Yes.
 5 Q. Thank you.
 6 And then next is, I believe, a copy of
 7 your Declaration that you prepared?
 8 A. It is.
 9 Q. Which is labeled Exhibit 2003. Is
 10 that right?
 11 A. Yes.
 12 Q. And just for the record, is that your
 13 signature on the probably third or fourth last
 14 page?
 15 A. It is.
 16 Q. Okay. Just a note. You signed this
 17 Declaration on November 15th, 2017?
 18 A. I did.
 19 Q. Does that refresh your memory about
 20 when you were first contacted?
 21 A. Yes, it does. I most likely was
 22 contacted in October, but it was shortly before
 23 preparing the Declaration.
 24 Okay. And then lastly, in front of
 25 you is a copy of Exhibit 1005, which, for the

1 record is entitled Automated Route Planning, A
2 Network-Based Route Planning Solution for Marine
3 Navigation, and the author is Wichert J. de Jong,
4 d-e, J-o-n-g.

5 Do you see that?

6 A. I do.

7 Q. My -- I have been calling this the
8 de Jong reference.

9 Is that acceptable to you?

10 A. It is. I might occasionally call it
11 de Jong out of habit, but I don't know which one
12 is correct.

13 Q. Right.

14 But we'll go with either one, and
15 we'll both understand what we're talking about.
16 Is that okay?

17 A. It is.

18 Q. Okay. And you reviewed the de Jong
19 reference as well, as part of this proceeding;
20 correct?

21 A. I did.

22 Q. All right. The '703 patent which you
23 have in front of you, Exhibit 1001, you'd agree
24 that it discusses algorithms; correct?

25 A. It does mention an algorithm in a few

1 locations.

2 Q. Right. And just as an example, not
3 limiting the example, if you can look at Figure 5.
4 You'll see in the box that's labeled 510, there's
5 a reference to a marine route calculation
6 algorithm; correct?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. An important question is how do you
9 pronounce r-o-u-t-e?

10 A. Typically I pronounce it route.

11 Q. Okay.

12 A. But I think there are alternate
13 correct pronunciations.

14 Q. I'm going to use route from now on.

15 A. Okay.

16 Q. Thank you.

17 So you agree then that the '703 patent
18 does have some disclosure and discussion of
19 algorithms; correct?

20 A. It does use the term "algorithm" in a
21 few places, yes.

22 Q. You have experience with algorithms
23 from your studies in college; correct?

24 A. I do, yes.

25 Q. I think you referenced that in your

1 Declaration; correct?

2 A. I did.

3 Q. And in general, do you agree with me
4 that algorithms are designed to accomplish a given
5 result?

6 A. Yes. That's the goal of an algorithm.

7 Q. Okay. And usually an algorithm is --
8 consists of one or more steps. Is that accurate?

9 A. They often do. Yes, I don't -- they
10 don't necessarily have a specific number of steps
11 involved.

12 Q. Okay. Now, in general, do you agree
13 with me that not every step in an algorithm always
14 needs to be performed?

15 MS. BAILEY: Objection. Form.

16 BY MR. FERGUSON:

17 Q. I should have clarified that.

18 Your counsel may object to the
19 questions from time to time. You are still bound
20 to answer them unless your counsel instructs you
21 not to. Okay?

22 A. Okay. Can you clarify the question,
23 please?

24 Q. Sure. Not every step in an algorithm
25 always needs to be performed; correct?

1 MS. BAILEY: Objection. Form.

2 A. Some algorithms are more tightly
3 written than others.

4 So I would suspect that there might be
5 an algorithm out there that would be true of.

6 BY MR. FERGUSON:

7 Q. Sure. For example, let's use a
8 non-technical example.

9 Have you ever eaten at a Subway
10 restaurant?

11 A. I have.

12 Q. In some ways you could describe the
13 process of going into a Subway and ordering a
14 sandwich to be an algorithm.

15 Would you agree with that?

16 A. I do. I could see that.

17 Q. Come in. One of your -- your first
18 choice is to choose the bread. Then they give you
19 meat choices, cheese choices, vegetable choices,
20 and condiment choices; correct?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. Now, I might go through that process
23 and decide to skip the vegetable choices, but I
24 would still get a sandwich at the end; correct?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. So that's an example of when an
2 algorithm may not necessarily require that every
3 step be performed in order to achieve the result;
4 correct?

5 A. That is a good illustration in the
6 context of a Subway sandwich.

7 Q. Sure. And algorithms can also be
8 simplified, correct, in that, again, one or more
9 of the steps may not necessarily need to be
10 performed?

11 MS. BAILEY: Objection. Form.

12 A. I haven't analyzed a specific
13 question. So I can't really speak directly to
14 that line of questioning without further, you
15 know, preparation.

16 BY MR. FERGUSON:

17 Q. Sure. Okay. That's fair.

18 You'd agree that an algorithm can be
19 run multiple times until the desired result is
20 achieved; right?

21 A. Many algorithms of various purposes
22 are designed to function in that way.

23 Q. And, in fact, do you recall from the
24 '703 patent that it teaches that algorithms can be
25 performed multiple times to achieve the result;

1 algorithms.

2 Q. Let me ask you this then. What is
3 your opinion as to the definition of an algorithm
4 as a person of ordinary skill in the art of the
5 '703 patent would use it?

6 MS. BAILEY: Objection. Scope.

7 A. Could you narrow the question?

8 BY MR. FERGUSON:

9 Q. I don't think I can.

10 What is your opinion regarding what a
11 person of ordinary skill in the art, in the
12 subject matter of the '703 patent -- how would
13 that person define an algorithm?

14 MS. BAILEY: Same objection.

15 A. In general, an algorithm is a way of
16 framing the solution to a problem.

17 BY MR. FERGUSON:

18 Q. Looking at, for example, Figure 6 of
19 the '703 patent, you would agree that Figure 6
20 identifies three steps as labeled in the three
21 rectangles; correct?

22 A. It appears to be so, yes.

23 Q. And then would you agree then that, in
24 general, to a person of ordinary skill in the art,
25 Figure 6 could be classified as an algorithm?

1 right?

2 A. I haven't looked at the '703 patent to
3 address that question.

4 Q. Um-hum.

5 A. So I would need to refresh my memory
6 as to what it says.

7 Q. Why don't we look then at Column 12 of
8 the '703 patent, and specifically Lines 16 through
9 18.

10 A. I read it.

11 Q. And that says, "The method sequence
12 shown in Figures 5 through 7 can be repeated as
13 many times as necessary without limitation in
14 order to achieve a desired course."

15 Do you see that?

16 A. I do. It does say that.

17 Q. And do you agree that Figures 5
18 through 7 of the '703 patent described algorithms?

19 A. Just looking at the highlighted
20 sentence that you read, it doesn't say
21 "algorithms." And only looking at the Figures 5,
22 6, and 7, it doesn't label those as algorithms.
23 And I haven't reviewed that question thoroughly as
24 part of my Declaration to be able to say at this
25 time -- to analyze that, whether or not those are

1 A. I'd like to look through the patent to
2 see how the patent describes Figure 6, if I could
3 have a moment.

4 Q. Absolutely. Take your time.

5 A. Thank you.

6 The patent describes Figure 6 as a
7 flowchart on -- this is on page 11, line 30.
8 "Figure 6 is a flowchart illustrating an
9 additional method, according to an embodiment of
10 the present navigation."

11 So it does not describe it as an
12 algorithm.

13 Q. Just to be clear, you would disagree
14 that Figure 6 of the '703 patent shows an
15 algorithm to a person of skill in the art; is that
16 your testimony?

17 MS. BAILEY: Objection. Form.

18 A. I would say rather that, based on my
19 review to date, I don't have enough information to
20 label it.

21 BY MR. FERGUSON:

22 Q. Okay. You would agree then, based on
23 what you just reviewed from the '703 patent, that
24 Figure 6, at a minimum, shows a flowchart;
25 correct?

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.