

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF KANSAS**

Garmin Switzerland GmbH, and
Garmin Corporation,

Plaintiffs,

v.

Navico, Inc., C-MAP USA, Inc., and
C-MAP/Commercial, Ltd.

Defendants.

Case No. 2:16-cv-2706-CM-GLR

GARMIN'S OPENING CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF

TABLE OF CONENTS

INTRODUCTION..... 1

BACKGROUND ON PATENTS AND TECHNOLOGY 2

 I. THE ‘703 PATENT.....2

 II. THE ‘987 PATENT.....4

LEGAL STANDARDS OF CLAIM CONSTRUCTION 5

 I. THE “BEDROCK PRINCIPLE” OF PATENT LAW.....5

 II. THE “HEAVY PRESUMPTION” IN FAVOR OF ORDINARY MEANING IS SUBJECT TO TWO “EXACTING” EXCEPTIONS.....6

ARGUMENT..... 7

 I. DISPUTED TERMS—THE ‘703 PATENT7

 1. “*Non-user selected waypoints*” 7

 2. “*Cartographic data*” 8

 3. “*[Marine] navigation*” 10

 4. “*Preselected conditions*” 11

 5. “*Re-rout[e/ing] the course to avoid the preselected conditions*” 13

 7. “*Marine route calculation algorithm*” 15

 8. “*[Identifying a potential waypoint] upon a first event*” 19

 II. DISPUTED TERMS—THE ‘987 PATENT21

 1. *Limiting Preambles:*..... 21

 “*A method for generating a backtrack path from a set of data points stored in a memory of a global positioning system device, said data points corresponding to geographic positions on a forward path*” 21

 “*A global positioning system receiver device*” 21

 2. “*Subset*” 23

 3. “*Forward path*” 25

 4. “*Backtrack path*” 26

 5. “*Automatically*” 28

CONCLUSION 29

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

Bd. of Trustees of Leland Stanford Junior Univ. v. Roche Molecular Sys., Inc.,
528 F. Supp. 2d 967 (N.D. Cal. 2007)..... 7

Biosig Instruments, Inc. v. Nautilus, Inc.,
783 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2015) (“*Nautilus IP*”).....16

CCS Fitness, Inc. v. Brunswick Corp.,
288 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2002) 6

CollegeNet, Inc. v. ApplyYourself, Inc.,
418 F.3d 1225 (Fed. Cir. 2005)28

Comark Commc'ns, Inc. v. Harris Corp.,
156 F.3d 1182 (Fed. Cir. 1998)24

Corning Glass Works v. Sumitomo Elec. U.S.A., Inc.,
868 F.2d 1251 (Fed. Cir. 1989) 22, 23

Deere & Co. v. Bush Hog, LLC,
703 F.3d 1349 (Fed. Cir. 2012) 17, 22

Eli Lilly and Co., v. Teva Parenteral Medicines, Inc.,
845 F.3d 1357 (Fed. Cir. 2017)18

Epistar Corp. v. ITC,
566 F.3d 1321 (Fed. Cir. 2009)6, 23

GE Co. v. Nintendo Co., Ltd.,
179 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 1999)23

Hill-Rom Servs. v. Stryker Corp.,
755 F.3d 1367 (Fed. Cir. 2014)6, 12

IGT v. Bally Gaming Int'l, Inc.,
659 F.3d 1109 (Fed. Cir. 2011)21

Innova/Pure Water, Inc. v. Safari Water Filtration Sys., Inc.,
381 F.3d 1111 (Fed. Cir. 2004) 5

Markman v. Westview Instruments, Inc.,
52 F.3d 967 (Fed. Cir. 1995) (*en banc*), *aff'd*, 517 U.S. 370 (1996) 5

Mentor Graphics Corp. v. EVE-USA, Inc.,
851 F.3d 1275 (Fed. Cir. 2017)17

Nautilus, Inc. v. Biosig Instruments, Inc.,
134 S.Ct. at 2120 (2014) (“*Nautilus I*”).....16

One-E-Way, Inc. v. Int’l Trade Comm’n, 859 F.3d 1059 (Fed. Cir. 2017)18

Phillips v. AWH Corp.,
415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) passim

S3 Inc. v. NVIDIA Corp.,
259 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2001) 17

Sonix Tech. Co., Ltd. v. Publications International, Ltd.,
844 F.3d 1370 (Fed. Cir. 2017) 18

SRI Int’l v. Matsushita Elec. Corp. of Am., 775 F.2d 1107 (Fed. Cir. 1985) (en banc)..... 25

Tech. Licensing Corp. v. Videotek, Inc.,
545 F.3d 1316 (Fed. Cir. 2008) 16

Thorner v. Sony Comp. Ent. America LLC,
669 F.3d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 2012) 6, 15, 26

Toshiba Corp. v. Imation Corp.,
681 F.3d 1358 (Fed. Cir. 2012) 6

Vitronics Corp. v. Conceptronic, Inc.,
90 F.3d 1576 (Fed. Cir. 1996) 5

Wright Medical Tech., Inc. v. Osteonics Corp.,
122 F.3d 1440 (Fed. Cir. 1997) 24

Z4 Tech., Inc. v. Microsoft Corp.,
507 F.3d 1340 (Fed. Cir. 2007)..... 29

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 2..... 16

INTRODUCTION

This is a patent case involving Defendants' unauthorized use of two of Garmin's homegrown inventions. At a high level, the patents were developed by Garmin's talented technologists to address very specific problems in the GPS-assisted marine navigation industry—namely, creation of accurate and reliable routes based on various route creation criteria.

The first invention, embodied in U.S. Patent No. 7,268,703 (“the ‘703 Patent,” Exhibit 1), provides a new and improved route calculation algorithm for use in calculating marine routes—namely, an improved algorithm that is capable of creating a marine course that avoids undesirable route conditions (e.g., shallow waters, narrow channels, overhead obstacles). In particular, the invention in the ‘703 Patent improved upon prior marine route calculation algorithms by having the algorithm analyze a potential course for undesirable route conditions and, if such conditions are identified, re-calculating that portion of the route through non-user selected waypoints. The end result is a marine route that avoids unfavorable conditions, resulting in a more accurate and reliable route for the mariner.

The second invention, embodied in U.S. Patent No. 6,459,987 (“the ‘987 Patent,” Exhibit 2), provides a new and improved technique for routing the user over a previously-traveled path—that is, creating a backwards path by selecting a subset of points traveled by the user during the original (forward) route. Using the invention in the ‘987 Patent, the points selected for the backtrack path are reduced while simultaneously preserving the topological fidelity of the traveled forward path. In this way, the invention described in the ‘987 Patent provide a profound advancement over prior GPS-assisted navigation systems—one that minimizes burdens on the system while greatly improving the user experience.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.