

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FLIR SYSTEMS, INC.,
FLIR MARITIME US, INC. (F/K/A RAYMARINE, INC.), and
NAVICO, INC.,

Petitioners,

v.

GARMIN SWITZERLAND GmbH,

Patent Owner.

Case IPR2017-00946

Patent 7,268,703 B1¹

SECOND DECLARATION OF DR. MICHAEL S. BRAASCH

¹ Navico, Inc. was joined as a party to this proceeding via a Motion for Joinder in IPR2017-02051.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION	1
I. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	4
II. RELEVANT LEGAL STANDARDS	9
III. BACKGROUND OF THE TECHNOLOGY	9
IV. THE 703 PATENT AND ITS CLAIMS	9
V. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	9
A. “re-route” or “re-routing”	10
B. “course”	15
VI. APPLYING THE PRIOR ART AGAINST THE 703 PATENT CLAIMS – RESPONSE TO GARMIN’S CONTENTIONS	19
A. Re-routing	19
B. Avoiding Preselected Conditions	22
C. Routing/Re-Routing a Course	24
VII. APPLYING THE PRIOR ART AGAINST THE PROPOSED AMENDED 703 PATENT CLAIMS – RESPONSE TO GARMIN’S MOTION TO AMEND	25
A. Claim Construction – “Navigation”	26
B. Indefiniteness of the Proposed Substitute Claims	27
C. Garmin Has Not Shown Written Description Support for the Proposed Substitute Claims	31
D. The de Jong Thesis (FLIR-1005) in Combination With Tetley (FLIR-1006)	35
1. Reasons to Combine de Jong with Tetley	60
2. Proposed Substitute Claim 51	63

3.	Proposed Substitute Claims 58, 66, 72, and 73	87
4.	Proposed Substitute Claims – Dependent Claims	113
a.	Proposed Dependent Claim 52	113
b.	Proposed Dependent Claim 53	118
c.	Proposed Dependent Claim 54	120
d.	Proposed Dependent Claim 55	120
e.	Proposed Dependent Claim 56	121
f.	Proposed Dependent Claim 57	121
g.	Proposed Dependent Claim 59	123
h.	Proposed Dependent Claim 60	123
i.	Proposed Dependent Claim 61	123
j.	Proposed Dependent Claim 62	124
k.	Proposed Dependent Claim 63	124
l.	Proposed Dependent Claim 64	125
m.	Proposed Dependent Claim 65	125
n.	Proposed Dependent Claim 67	126
o.	Proposed Dependent Claim 68	128
p.	Proposed Dependent Claim 69	129
q.	Proposed Dependent Claim 70	129
r.	Proposed Dependent Claim 71	133
s.	Proposed Dependent Claim 74	134
VIII.	JURAT	137

I, Dr. Michael S. Braasch, make this declaration at the request of FLIR Systems, Inc. and FLIR Maritime US, Inc. (collectively, “FLIR”) in connection with the petition for *inter partes* review submitted by Petitioners for U.S. Patent No. 7,268,703 (“the 703 Patent”). This declaration is provided in response to the Patent Owner Response (“POR”) dated November 15, 2017 submitted by Garmin Switzerland GmbH (“Garmin”); the Motion to Amend under 37 C.F.R. § 42.121 also submitted by Garmin; and the Declaration of Captain Steven Browne (“Browne Decl.”), submitted in support of Garmin’s positions. All statements made herein of my own knowledge are true, and all statements made herein based on information and belief are believed to be true. Although I am being compensated for my time in preparing this declaration, the opinions articulated herein are my own, and I have no stake in the outcome of this proceeding or any related litigation or administrative proceedings.

I. INTRODUCTION

1. I previously submitted a declaration in support of FLIR’s petition for *inter partes* review of the 703 Patent (which I will refer to here as my “First Decl.”). In the preparation of this declaration, I again reviewed the relevant portions of the following documents:

Ex. 1001	U.S. Patent No. 7,268,703 to Kabel et al. (“703 Patent”)
Ex. 1002	Prosecution File History of U.S. Patent No. 7,268,703
Ex. 1003	Declaration of Dr. Michael S. Braasch
Ex. 1004	Curriculum Vitae of Dr. Michael S. Braasch
Ex. 1005	W.J. de Jong, <i>Automated Route Planning – A Network-Based Route Planning Solution for Marine Navigation</i> , University of Nottingham (December 2001) (“de Jong”)
Ex. 1006	L. Tetley <i>et al.</i> , <i>Electronic Navigation Systems</i> , 3d Ed. (Butterworth-Heinemann 2001) (“Tetley”)
Ex. 1007	B. Brogdon, <i>Boat Navigation for the Rest of Us</i> , 2d Ed., Introduction (McGraw-Hill 2001) (“Brogdon”)
Ex. 1008	Fernão Vaz Dourado, Map of West Africa Waterways (1571)
Ex. 1009	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Nautical Chart 25664 (1976)
Ex. 1010	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Nautical Chart 12283-02 (1990)
Ex. 1011	International Maritime Organization, Resolution A.817(19), <i>Performance Standards for Electronic Chart Display and Information Systems (ECDIS)</i> (Dec. 15, 1996)
Ex. 1012	U.S. Patent No. 6,356,837 to Yokota et al. (“Yokota”)
Ex. 1013	Wan Xiaoxia <i>et al.</i> , <i>Electronic chart display and information system</i> , <i>Geo-spatial Information Science</i> , 5:1, 7-11 (Mar. 5, 2002) (“Xiaoxia”)
Ex. 1017	Hein Sabelis, <i>Voyage Planning in ECDIS</i> , <i>International Hydrographic Review</i> , Monaco, LXXVI(2) (September 1999)

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.