

DOCKET NO.: 2212665-00120US10

Filed on behalf of K/S HIMPP

By: Donald R. Steinberg, Reg. No 37,241

Yung-Hoon Ha, Reg. No. 56,368

Haixia Lin, Reg. No. 61,318

Christopher R. O'Brien, Reg. No. 63,208

Vera A. Shmidt 74,944

Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP

60 State Street

Boston, MA

Email: Don.Steinberg@wilmerhale.com

Yung-Hoon.Ha@wilmerhale.com

Haixia.Lin@wilmerhale.com

Christopher.O'Brien@wilmerhale.com

Vera.Shmidt@wilmerhale.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

K/S HIMPP
Petitioner

v.

III HOLDINGS 7, LLC
Patent Owner

Case IPR2017-00929
Patent No. 7,929,722

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF CLAIMS 1-5, 7, 8, 11, 12, 14-16,
AND 20-30 OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,929,722

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Introduction	1
II.	Mandatory Notices Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8	1
	A. Real Party-In-Interest Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)	1
	B. Related Matters - 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)	2
	C. Lead and Back-Up Counsel - 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)	2
	D. Service Information - 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4)	2
III.	Payment of Fees	3
IV.	Requirements for <i>Inter Partes</i> Review.....	3
	A. Grounds for Standing under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a).....	3
	B. Identification of the Challenge under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)	3
	1. The Specific Art on Which the Challenge is Based.....	4
	2. The Specific Grounds on Which the Challenge is Based	4
V.	Background of the '722 Patent, State of the Art Prior to the Relevant Date, and Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art	5
	A. Summary of the '722 Patent.....	5
	B. The Prosecution History of the '722 Patent	5
	C. Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art	6
VI.	Claim Construction - 37 CFR § 41.104(b)(3)	6
	A. Legal Overview	6
	B. Terms.....	7

1.	“Hearing Assist Device”.....	7
2.	“Coprocessor Device”	8
3.	“Functionality”	8
4.	“Module”	9
VII.	Ground 1: Claims 1-3, 7, 8, 11, 12, 14-16, 20-25, 29, and 30 are Anticipated by Moallemi (Ex. 1004).....	10
A.	Claim 1.	10
1.	[1.1] “One or more processor-readable storage media containing instructions that, when executed by a processor, perform acts comprising . . .”	10
2.	[1.2] “Detecting a coprocessor device”	12
3.	[1.3] “Comparing a functionality of a hearing assist device to a functionality of the coprocessor device to determine if: a signal processing functionality absent from the hearing assist device is available on the coprocessor device or a signal processing functionality absent from the coprocessor device is available on the hearing assist device; or a signal processing functionality present on the hearing assist device is enhanced on the coprocessor device or a signal processing functionality present on the coprocessor device is enhanced on the hearing assist device”.....	14
4.	[1.4] “Directing a signal obtained at the hearing assist device for at least partial processing to at least one the hearing assist device or the coprocessor device”	18
B.	Claim 2	19

1.	[2.1] “One or more processor-readable storage media of claim 1, further comprising: detecting an additional coprocessor device”	19
2.	[2.2] “Comparing the functionality of at least one of the hearing assist device or the coprocessor device to the additional coprocessor device to determine if: a signal processing functionality absent from one of the hearing assist device, the coprocessor device, or the additional coprocessor device is available on the hearing assist device, the coprocessor device, or the additional coprocessor device; or a signal processing functionality present on one of the hearing assist device, the coprocessor device, or the additional coprocessor device is enhanced on the hearing assist device, the coprocessor device, or the additional coprocessor device”	20
3.	[2.3] “Directing the signal obtained at the hearing assist device for at least partial processing to at least one the hearing assist device or the coprocessor device, or the additional coprocessor device”	21
C.	Claim 3 – “one or more processor-readable storage media of claim 1, wherein the signal processing functionality present on the hearing assist device is enhanced on the coprocessor device or the [sic, an] additional coprocessor device, the enhancement comprising at least one of an enhanced signal processing algorithm or an enhanced processing capability of the coprocessor device or the additional coprocessor device”	22
D.	Claim 7 – “one or more processor-readable storage media of claim 1, wherein the signal is processed at least partially in series by a plurality of the hearing assist device, the coprocessor device, or the [sic] one or more additional coprocessor devices”	23
E.	Claim 8	24

1.	[8.1] “A method comprising: detecting a coprocessor device”.....	24
2.	[8.2] “Comparing a functionality of the coprocessor device to a functionality of a hearing assist device”.....	24
3.	[8.3] “Directing a signal to at least one of the hearing assist device or the coprocessor device”.....	24
F.	Claim 11 – “method of claim 8, wherein the directing is based on at least one of: an availability of the coprocessor device; a user input; or a determination that, based on the comparing, the coprocessor device has a necessary functionality to process the signal”	24
G.	Claim 12 – “method of claim 8, wherein the functionality of the coprocessor device and the functionality of the hearing assist device each comprise at least one of: a processor speed; a processor load; a processor capability; a memory capacity; a memory capability; an available signal processing algorithm; an ability to enhance a signal processing algorithm; a sensor capability; or a strength of a communication signal”	25
H.	Claim 14 – “method of claim 8, further comprising processing the signal at least in part by the hearing assist device if the directing directs the signal to the hearing assist device”	26
I.	Claim 15 – “method of claim 8, further comprising receiving a processed signal from the coprocessor device if the directing directs the signal to the coprocessor device, wherein the processed signal is processed at least in part by the coprocessor device”	27
J.	Claim 16 – “method of claim 8, further comprising: processing the signal in part by the hearing assist device and receiving a processed signal from the coprocessor device if the directing directs the signal to the hearing assist	

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.