

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GOOGLE, INC.
Petitioner

v.

BLACKBERRY, LTD.
Patent Owner

IPR No. IPR2017-00914
U.S. Patent 8,713,466

DECLARATION OF DR. GEORGE T. LIGLER

Patent Owner Ex 2007 p. cover

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION	1
A. ENGAGEMENT.....	1
B. BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS.....	2
C. LIST OF MATERIALS CONSIDERED/REVIEWED.....	5
II. LEGAL STANDARDS FOR PATENTABILITY	6
A. ANTICIPATION.....	7
B. OBVIOUSNESS.....	7
III. THE ‘466 PATENT	9
A. OVERVIEW OF THE ‘466 PATENT.....	9
B. PRIORITY DATE AND RELEVANT PROSECUTION HISTORY.....	13
C. PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART.....	17
IV. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	18
V. NEITHER CADIZ’S PERSON-CENTRIC INTERFACE NOR HIS EMAIL-CENTRIC INTERFACE DISCLOSE OR RENDER OBVIOUS ANY OF THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS	21
A. OVERVIEW OF CADIZ.....	21
B. CADIZ’S PERSON-CENTRIC INTERFACE DOES NOT DISCLOSE OR RENDER OBVIOUS ANY CLAIM OF THE ‘466 PATENT.....	26
1. Cadiz’s Person-Centric Interface Does Not Disclose or Suggest the “Software Application” of Claims 1, 14, and 22.....	27
2. Cadiz’s Person-Centric Interface Does Not Disclose or Render Obvious the Claimed “Additional Dynamic Preview Information Comprising A Selectable Link”.....	33
C. CADIZ’S EMAIL-CENTRIC INTERFACE DOES NOT DISCLOSE OR RENDER OBVIOUS ANY CLAIM OF THE ‘466 PATENT.....	35
VI. CADIZ IN VIEW OF SIEDLIKOWSKI DOES NOT RENDER OBVIOUS CLAIMS 7, 8, 9, 18, 19, OR 25	40
A. OVERVIEW OF SIEDLIKOWSKI.....	41
B. CADIZ IN VIEW OF SIEDLIKOWSKI DOES NOT RENDER OBVIOUS CLAIMS 7-9, 18-19, OR 25.....	42
VII. CADIZ IN VIEW OF YAMADERA DOES NOT RENDER OBVIOUS CLAIMS 10-11 OR 20-21	45

A. OVERVIEW OF YAMADERA46

B. A POSA WOULD NOT HAVE BEEN MOTIVATED TO COMBINE CADIZ AND
YAMADERA, AS DR. OLSEN SUGGESTS, BECAUSE THE COMBINATION WOULD
PROVIDE NO ADDED BENEFIT TO THE USER.....47

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS CONSIDERED

No.	Exhibit Description
1001	U.S. Patent No. 8,713,466
1002	Declaration of Dr. Dan R. Olsen Jr.
1003	Curriculum Vitae of Dr. Dan R. Olsen Jr.
1004	Prosecution History of U.S. Patent No. 8,713,466
1005	Prosecution History of U.S. Patent No. 8,402,384
1006	U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0186257 (" <i>Cadiz</i> ")
1007	U.S. Patent No. 7,007,239 (" <i>Hawkins</i> ")
1008	U.S. Patent No. 6,741,232 (" <i>Siedlikowski</i> ")
1009	U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0123368 (" <i>Yamadera</i> ")
1010	U.S. Patent No. 7,454,714 (" <i>Totman</i> ")
1011	European Patent Application No. EP1265157 (" <i>Cadiz-EP</i> ")
1012	Caroline Rose <i>et al.</i> , "Inside Macintosh Volume 1" (1985)
1013	"Macintosh Human Interface Guidelines," Apple Computer, Inc. (1995)
1014	Prosecution History of European Patent Application No. 06125884.4

PATENT OWNER'S EXHIBITS CONSIDERED

No.	Exhibit Description
2001	U.S. Patent App. Pub. No. 2006/0020904 A1 to Aaltonen et al.
2002	U.S. Patent App. Pub. No. 2004/0155908 A1 to Wagner
2003	U.S. Patent No. 8,402,384 B2 to Scott
2004	[RESERVED]
2005	The American Heritage College Dictionary (4th Ed. 2004) (Excerpt)
2009	Deposition Transcript of Dr. Daniel R. Olsen, Jr. (Nov. 21, 2017)

I. INTRODUCTION

A. Engagement

1. I have been retained on behalf of Patent Owner Blackberry, Ltd. (“Blackberry”) to offer statements and opinions generally regarding the novelty and understanding of a person of ordinary skill in the art (“POSA”) in the industry as it relates to U.S. Patent No. 8,713,466 (Exhibit 1001, “the ’466 patent”), which is entitled “Dynamic Bar Oriented User Interface.” I understand that Petitioner Google LLC (“Petitioner”) has challenged claims 1-26 of the ’466 patent as unpatentable over certain prior art. I have been asked to provide my opinion and analysis of the various references and opinions advanced in the Declaration of Dr. Dan R. Olsen, Jr., which I understand to be Exhibit 1002 to these proceedings (“Olsen Declaration”).

2. I have personal knowledge of the facts and opinions set forth in this declaration, and believe them to be true. If called upon to do so, I would testify competently thereto. I have been warned that willful false statements and the like are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both.

3. I am being compensated for my time at the rate of \$600 per hour for my work in connection with this matter. I am being reimbursed for reasonable and customary expenses associated with my work in this investigation. This compensation is not dependent in any way on the contents of this Declaration, the

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.