UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICI
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
GOOGLE INC., Petitioner,
V.
BLACKBERRY LTD., Patent Owner.
Case No. IPR2017-00913 U.S. Patent No. 8,402,384 B2

### PATENT OWNER'S OBJECTIONS TO PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1), the undersigned, on behalf of and acting in a representative capacity for Patent Owner BlackBerry Limited ("Patent Owner"), hereby submits the following objections to Petitioner Google Inc.'s ("Petitioner") Exhibits 1002, 1012, and 1013, and any reference thereto/reliance thereon, without limitation. Patent Owner's objections below apply the Federal Rules of Evidence ("F.R.E") as required by 37 C.F.R. § 42.62.

These objections address evidentiary deficiencies in the materials submitted by Petitioner with its Petition on February 16, 2017.

The following objections apply to Exhibits 1002, 1012, and 1013 as they are actually presented by Petitioner, in the context of Petitioner's February 16, 2017

Petition (Paper 1) and not in the context of any other substantive argument on the merits of the instituted grounds in this proceeding. Patent Owner expressly objects to any other purported use of these Exhibits, including as substantive evidence in this proceeding, which would be untimely and improper under the applicable rules, and Patent Owner expressly asserts, reserves and does not waive any other objections that would be applicable in such a context.

I. Objection to Exhibit 1002, And Any Reference to/Reliance Thereon Grounds for objection: F.R.E. 702 ("Testimony by Expert Witnesses"); F.R.E. 403 ("Excluding Relevant Evidence for Prejudice, Confusion, Waste of Time, or Other Reasons"); and 37 C.F.R. § 42.61 ("Admissibility").



Patent Owner objects to the use of Exhibit 1002 under F.R.E. 702 and 37 C.F.R. § 42.61. Exhibit 1002 is the Declaration of Dr. Dan R. Olsen Jr. in support of the Petition. Exhibit 1002 purports to provide expert testimony in this matter, but—in many key respects—fails to establish the basis for Dr. Olsen's opinions. For example, Dr. Olsen offers only conclusory statements in support of his opinions in paragraphs 15-21, 55, 61, 82, and 85-86 regarding technical features that were purportedly "well known" at the time of the alleged invention. Dr. Olsen also offers only conclusory statements in support of his opinions in at least paragraphs 87-88, 94-97, 100-01, 103-07, 110, 113, 125, and 128-29 that it would have been obvious for a POSITA to modify Cadiz (Exhibit 1005) to perform the claimed methods. Similarly, Dr. Olsen offers only conclusory statements in support of his opinions in at least paragraphs 131-32 and 135-37 that it would have been obvious for a POSITA to combine elements from Ng (Exhibit 1006) with Cadiz (Exhibit 1005) to perform the claimed methods. Likewise, Dr. Olsen offers only conclusory statements in support of his opinion in at least paragraphs 139 and 142-44 that it would have been obvious for a POSITA to combine elements from Matthews (Exhibit 1008) with Cadiz (Exhibit 1005) to perform the claimed methods. And Dr. Olsen also offers only conclusory statements in support of his opinion in at least paragraphs 146-50 that it would have been obvious for a



POSITA to modify Cadiz (Exhibit 1005) and/or combine elements from Smith (Exhibit 1009) with Cadiz (Exhibit 1005) to perform the claimed methods.

Such conclusory statements without reference to the underlying basis for Dr. Olsen's opinion is not proper testimony under F.R.E. 702 and should be excluded. Accordingly, permitting reliance on this document in the Petition or other submissions by Petitioner would be misleading and unfairly prejudicial to Patent Owner (F.R.E. 403).

## II. Objection to Exhibits 1012 and 1013, And Any Reference to/Reliance Thereon

Grounds for objection: F.R.E. 901 ("Authenticating or Identifying Evidence"); F.R.E. 1002 ("Requirement of the Original"); F.R.E. 1003 ("Admissibility of Duplicates"); and 37 C.F.R. § 42.61 ("Admissibility").

Patent Owner objects to the use of Exhibits 1012 and 1013 under F.R.E. 901, 1002, 1003, and 37 C.F.R. § 42.61 because Petitioner fails to provide the authentication required for these documents, and the Exhibits are not self-authenticating under F.R.E. 902.

Dated: September 25, 2017 Respectfully Submitted,

/Ching-Lee Fukuda/ Ching-Lee Fukuda Reg. No. 44,334 SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 787 Seventh Avenue New York, NY 10019



IPR2017-00913 U.S. Patent No. 8,402,384

> P: (212) 839-7364 F: (212) 839-5599 Attorney for Patent Owner



# DOCKET

## Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

### **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

#### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

