

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GOOGLE INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

BLACKBERRY LTD.,
Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2017-00913
U.S. Patent No. 8,402,384

PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Exhibit List.....	iv
I. Introduction.....	1
II. The ’384 Patent.....	1
A. Overview of the ’384 Patent.....	1
B. Priority Date and Relevant Prosecution History	5
C. Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art	8
III. Claim Construction.....	8
A. “A Selectable Link Embedded In the Additional Dynamic Preview Information”	9
IV. The Petition Fails to Meet the Requirements for Instituting an <i>Inter Partes</i> Review	14
A. The Petition Improperly Mixes and Matches Embodiments	15
B. The Petition Does Not Correctly Identify the Claimed “Software Application”	19
i. Person-Centric Mapping	20
ii. Email-Centric Mapping	26
C. The Petition’s Analysis for “A Selectable Link Embedded in the Additional Dynamic Preview Information” Is Deficient	33
i. Person-Centric Mapping	33
ii. Email-Centric Mapping	38
V. The Board Should Deny the Petition under 35 U.S.C. § 325(d) Because “Substantially the Same” Grounds Overcome During Prosecution	41
VI. Conclusion	43

Certificate Of Compliancei

Certificate Of Service..... ii

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Apple Inc. v. ContentGuard Holdings Inc.</i> , IPR2015-00440, Paper 11 (PTAB July 13, 2015)	18
<i>Apple Inc. v. ContentGuard Holdings, Inc.</i> , IPR2015-00443, Paper 9 (PTAB July 9, 2015)	26, 31
<i>Belden Inc. v. Berk-Tek LLC</i> , 805 F.3d 1064 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	38
<i>EMC Corporation v. Acqis LLC</i> , IPR2014-01452, Paper 14 (PTAB Apr. 14, 2015)	41
<i>Graham v. John Deere Co. of Kansas City</i> , 383 U.S. 1 (1966)	37
<i>Jacobs Corp. v. Genesis III, Inc.</i> , IPR2014-01267, Paper 12 (PTAB Jan. 22, 2015)	15, 19
<i>Leggett & Platt, Inc. v. Dreamwell, Ltd.</i> , IPR2015-01757, Paper 10 (PTAB Aug. 31, 2016)	38
<i>Microsoft Corp. v. Proxyconn, Inc.</i> , 789 F.3d 1292 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	9
<i>Net MoneyIN, Inc. v. VeriSign, Inc.</i> , 545 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	19
<i>Nu Mark LLC v. Fontem Holdings I, B.V.</i> , IPR2016-01309, Paper 11 (PTAB Dec. 15, 2016)	43
<i>Shopkick, Inc. v. Novitaz, Inc.</i> , IPR2015-00277, Paper 7 (PTAB May 29, 2015)	32, 37
<i>Skedco, Inc. v. Strategic Operations, Inc.</i> , Case No. 2016-1349, slip op. (Fed. Cir. Apr. 24, 2017)	16
<i>Tempo Lighting Inc. v. Tivoli LLC</i> , 742 F.3d 973 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	9, 11, 12

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 312(a)(3).....	26
35 U.S.C. § 325(d)	1, 41

Other Authorities

37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b)	8
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3).....	8
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4).....	20, 26, 31

EXHIBIT LIST

No.	Exhibit Description
2001	U.S. Patent App. Pub. No. 2006/0020904 A1 to Aaltonen et al.
2002	U.S. Patent App. Pub. No. 2004/0155908 A1 to Wagner
2003	[RESERVED]
2004	Microsoft Computer Dictionary (5th Ed. 2002) (Excerpt)
2005	The American Heritage College Dictionary (4th Ed. 2004) (Excerpt)

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.