1 2 UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT AND APPEAL BOARD 3 4 GOOGLE, INC.,) 5 Petitioner,) CASE IPR2017-00912 6 vs.) PATENT: 8,745,149 B2 7 BLACKBERRY LTD., 8 Patent Owner. ----) 9 GOOGLE, INC., 10 Petitioner,) CASE IPR2017-00913 11 vs.) PATENT: 8,402,384 B2 12 BLACKBERRY LTD., 13 Patent Owner.) 14 15 16 17 TELEPHONIC MEETING BEFORE 18 THE HONORABLES ROBERT J. WEINSCHENK 19 AND RICHARD MARSCHALL 20 MONDAY, APRIL 23, 2018 21 22 23 REPORTED BY: TANYA L. VERHOVEN-PAGE, CCR-B-1790 24 25 JOB NO: 141199

DOCKF ARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

Δ

Page 1

Page 2

1	
2	April 23, 2018
3	3:03 p.m.
4	
5	Telephonic meeting before
6	THE HONORABLES ROBERT J. WEINSCHENK
7	AND RICHARD MARSCHALL, before
8	Tanya L. Verhoven-Page, Certified Court
9	Reporter and Notary Public of the State of
10	Georgia.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
I	

```
1
 2
                    APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL
 3
     On behalf of the Petitioner:
 4
 5
           PAUL HASTINGS
           875 15th Street Northwest
           Washington, DC 20005
 6
                PHILLIP CITROEN, ESQ.
           BY:
 7
           BY: JOSEPH PALYS, ESQ.
                (Both By Telephone)
 8
 9
10
11
     On behalf of the Patent Owner:
12
           SIDLEY AUSTIN
           1501 K Street Northwest
13
           Washington, DC 20005
           BY:
                SHARON LEE, ESQ.
14
           BY:
                SAMUEL DILLON, ESQ.
                (Both By Telephone)
15
16
17
18
           SIDLEY AUSTIN
           787 Seventh Avenue
19
           New York, NY 10019
           BY: CHING-LEE FUKUDA, ESQ.
20
                 (By Telephone)
21
22
23
24
25
```

DOCKE

ALARM

1 HONORABLE R. WEINSCHENK ATLANTA, GEORGIA; MONDAY, APRIL 23, 2018 2 3:03 P.M. 3 4 5 PROCEEDINGS 6 7 THE COURT: Let's start with the '912 case, and we'll start with 8 Mr. Dillon. 9 10 MR. DILLON: Thank you, your Honor. This is Sam Dillon on behalf of the 11 12 Patent Owner. 13 So we're requesting a short sur-reply to respond to a specific 14 argument that Petitioner made regarding 15 our characterizations or arguments 16 related to the Graham reference. 17 18 Specifically they bring up certain 19 citations to an EPO proceeding, a 20 European Patent Office proceeding, 21 involving a related patent where the Graham reference or a reference related 22 to the Graham reference was also at 23 24 issue, and they argued that we have made 25 characterizations of the Graham reference

R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

Page 4

HONORABLE R. WEINSCHENK

1

2 in that proceeding that are at odds with 3 characterizations of the Graham reference 4 that we have made in this proceeding, and 5 so we think that there would be a basis 6 for a sur-reply for basically two 7 reasons.

8 First that this is a new argument. 9 We really haven't had the opportunity to respond to this argument in a paper. 10 They could have raised it in the 11 petition, but they only really filed this 12 13 evidence with their reply, and then, second, we think more importantly they 14 have effectively alleged we're taking 15 positions that are at odds with each 16 other in front of two different patent 17 offices, and we think that having the 18 opportunity to respond to this in a paper 19 20 is the most kind of equitable way of dealing with this issue. 21 22 In addition, this file history that they rely on in this related patent is 23 24 lengthy. They cite to a large number of pages, and we think kind of unraveling 25

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.