

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GOOGLE INC.
Petitioner

v.

BLACKBERRY LTD.
Patent Owner

Patent No. 8,745,149

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,745,149**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION1

II. MANDATORY NOTICES1

III. PAYMENT OF FEES1

IV. GROUNDS FOR STANDING.....2

V. PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED2

 A. Proposed Grounds and Prior Art2

 B. All Proposed Grounds Should Be Adopted4

VI. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL6

VII. OVERVIEW OF THE '149 PATENT AND PRIOR ART.....7

 A. The '149 Patent7

 B. Prosecution History of the '149 Patent7

 C. *Graham*.....8

 D. *Milton*9

 E. *Toshio*9

 F. *Deshpande*10

 G. *MacPhail*10

VIII. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION10

IX. DETAILED EXPLANATION OF GROUNDS.....12

 A. Ground 1: *Graham* Renders Obvious Claims 1, 5, 7, 9, 13, 15, and 17
 13

 1. Claim 1.....13

 2. Claim 5.....27

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review
Patent No. 8,745,149

3. Claim 7.....	27
4. Claim 9.....	29
5. Claim 13.....	31
6. Claim 15.....	31
7. Claim 17.....	31
B. Ground 2: <i>Graham</i> and <i>Milton</i> Render Obvious Claims 1, 5-7, 9, 13-15, and 17	34
1. Claims 1, 9, 17	34
2. Claim 5.....	41
3. Claim 6.....	41
4. Claim 7.....	41
5. Claim 13.....	42
6. Claim 14.....	42
7. Claim 15.....	42
C. Ground 3: <i>Graham</i> and <i>Toshio</i> Render Obvious Claims 1-5, 9-13, and 17	43
1. Claims 1, 9 and 17	43
2. Claim 2.....	51
3. Claim 3.....	52
4. Claim 4.....	52
5. Claim 5.....	53
6. Claim 10.....	53
7. Claim 11.....	54
8. Claim 12.....	54

9. Claim 13.....	54
D. Ground 4: <i>Graham</i> and <i>MacPhail</i> Render Obvious Claims 8 and 16	54
1. Claim 8.....	54
2. Claim 16.....	58
E. Ground 5: <i>Graham, Milton,</i> and <i>MacPhail</i> Render Obvious Claims 8 and 16	59
F. Ground 6: <i>Graham, Toshio,</i> and <i>MacPhail</i> Render Obvious Claims 8 and 16	59
G. Grounds 7-12: Combinations with <i>Deshpande</i> Render Obvious Claims 1-17 Under an Alternative Interpretation of the Instant Messaging Terms	60
X. CONCLUSION.....	62

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007)	<i>passim</i>
<i>Liberty Mutual Ins. Co. v. Progressive Casualty Ins. Co.</i> , CBM2012-00003, Paper 7 (Oct. 25, 2012)	5
Regulations	
37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b)	10

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.