

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GOOGLE INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

BLACKBERRY LTD.,
Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2017-00911
U.S. Patent No. 8,745,149

PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Exhibit List.....	iv
I. Introduction.....	1
II. The ’149 Patent.....	2
A. Overview of the ’149 Patent.....	2
B. Priority Date and Relevant Prosecution History	5
C. Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art	9
III. Claim Construction.....	10
A. “Automatically” (All Claims)	12
B. “Automatically ... Displaying” (All Claims).....	16
IV. The Petition Fails to Meet the Requirements for Instituting an <i>Inter Partes</i> Review	18
A. The Petition’s Obviousness Grounds for “Automatically ... Displaying” Are Legally Deficient	19
i. Appelman in view of Toshio (Grounds 1 & 3).....	19
ii. Appelman in view of Milton (Grounds 2 & 4).....	25
B. Neither Toshio nor Milton Discloses “Automatically Changing the First Time Information”	27
i. Toshio Discloses a Manual Display Function (Grounds 1 & 3)	27
ii. Milton Discloses a Manual Report Function (Grounds 2 & 4)	30
C. Appelman Would Not Have Been Combined with Toshio or Milton as the Petition Suggests	33

- i. Appelman Would Not Have Been Combined with Toshio (Grounds 1 & 3)33
 - ii. Appelman Would Not Have Been Combined with Milton (Grounds 2 & 4)38
 - D. The Petition Fails to Explain How Milton Renders Obvious “bvious sissy Changing ... and Displaying” (Grounds 2 & 4)40
- V. The Board Should Deny the Petition under 35 U.S.C. § 325(d) Because “Substantially the Same” Grounds Overcome During Prosecution42
- VI. Conclusion45
- Certificate Of Compliancei
- Certificate Of Service..... ii

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Apple Inc. v. Papst Licensing GMBH & Co. KG</i> , IPR2016-01841, Paper 10 (PTAB Apr. 17, 2017)	43
<i>Arendi S.A.R.L. v. Apple Inc.</i> , 832 F.3d 1355 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	21, 22, 24
<i>CollegeNet, Inc. v. ApplyYourself, Inc.</i> , 418 F.3d 1225 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	15
<i>Dexcowin Glob., Inc. v. Aribex, Inc.</i> , IPR2016-00440, Paper 13 (PTAB July 7, 2016)	36, 39
<i>K/S HIMPP v. Hear-Wear Techs., LLC</i> , 751 F.3d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	<i>passim</i>
<i>Microsoft Corp. v. Proxycorr, Inc.</i> , 789 F.3d 1292 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	10, 11, 15
<i>Nu Mark LLC v. Fontem Holdings I, B.V.</i> , IPR2016-01309, Paper 11 (PTAB Dec. 15, 2016)	44
<i>Tempo Lighting Inc. v. Tivoli LLC</i> , 742 F.3d 973 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	11, 14
Statutes	
35 U.S.C. § 112(b)	15
35 U.S.C. § 325(d)	1, 24, 27, 42
Other Authorities	
37 C.F.R. § 42.6(a)(3)	23
37 C.F.R. § 42.65(a)	23, 26, 44
37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b)	10

37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4)

.....21, 26, 42, 44

EXHIBIT LIST

No.	Exhibit Description
2001	U.S. Patent No. 7,181,497 to Appelman et al.
2002	U.S. Patent No. 7,219,109 to Lapuyade et al.
2003	The American Heritage College Dictionary (4th Ed. 2004) (Excerpt)

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.