

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

GLOBALFOUNDRIES U.S. INC.,  
Petitioner

v.

GODO KAISHA IP BRIDGE 1,  
Patent Owner

---

IPR2017-00882  
U.S. Patent No. 6,197,696

---

**PETITIONER'S UNOPPOSED MOTION TO DISMISS PETITION  
FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW**

***Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"***  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
U.S. Patent and Trademark  
Office P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## I. INTRODUCTION

The Board authorized Petitioner GlobalFoundries U.S. Inc. (“Global”) to file a motion to dismiss the petition for IPR2017-00882 regarding U.S. Patent No. 6,197,696 (“the ‘696 patent”) in an Order issued on April 4, 2017. Global now so moves. IPR2017-00882 is in its preliminary phase. Patent Owner Godo Kaisha IP Bridge 1 (“IP Bridge”) has not yet filed a Preliminary Response and the Board has yet to reach the merits and issue a decision on institution. Global has filed a new petition for IPR2017-00923 that includes the same invalidity challenges as the petition for IPR2017-00882, but also includes a second real party-in-interest GlobalFoundries, Inc. Therefore, Global requests the Board to dismiss the petition for IPR2017-00882, as the same invalidity challenges are included in the petition for IPR2017-00923, to preserve the Board’s and parties’ resources and to achieve a just, speedy, and inexpensive resolution to this dispute. Furthermore, counsel for Petitioner has conferred with counsel for Patent Owner, and Patent Owner does not oppose the relief sought by this Motion.

## II. FACTS

1. IP Bridge is the owner of the ‘696 Patent.
2. On February 13, 2017, Global filed a petition for *inter partes* review of claims 13 and 14 of the ‘696 Patent (IPR2017-00882). The petition, being assigned IPR2017-00882, includes the same invalidity challenges as in IPR2016-01378. The Petitioner also concurrently filed a motion for joinder of IPR2017-00882 with

IPR2016-01378. IPR2017-00882 was filed with GlobalFoundries U.S. Inc. as the real party-in-interest. *See* IPR2017-00882, Petition, p. 53.

3. On February 16, 2017, Global filed a new petition for *inter partes* review of the '696 Patent including the same invalidity challenges as in IPR2017-00882, but with a second real party-in-interest GlobalFoundries, Inc. (designated IPR2017-00923). The petition for IPR2017-00923 indicated the Petitioner's intent to withdraw IPR2017-00882. *See* IPR2017-00923, Petition, p. 54, Footnote 6.

4. The petition in IPR2017-00923 presents the same invalidity challenges and relies on the same evidence as the petition in IPR2017-00882.

5. On March 31, 2017, counsel for the Petitioner sought authorization to file motions to dismiss the original petitions in Cases IPR2017-00880, IPR2017-00881, IPR2017-00882, and IPR2017-00883 via an email request to the Board. The Board issued an authorization to file the motions to dismiss the petition in each of Cases IPR2017-00880, IPR2017-00881, IPR2017-00882, and IPR2017-00883 on April 4, 2017 via an email. On April 13, 2017, counsel for the Patent Owner indicated that Patent Owner will not oppose the motions to dismiss in each of the Cases IPR2017-00880, IPR2017-00881, IPR2017-00882, and IPR2017-00883.

### **III. STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR RELIEF REQUESTED**

Good cause exists to dismiss Global's petition in IPR2017-00882. Dismissal would preserve the Board's and the parties' resources, and would expeditiously resolve Global's request, furthering the purpose of IPR challenges. 37 C.F.R. §

42.1(b). IPR2017-00882 is in its preliminary stage as the Board has not yet reached the merits and issued a decision on institution. IP Bridge would not be prejudiced by dismissal.

The Board “may take up petitions or motions for decisions in any order, may grant, deny, or dismiss any petition or motion, and may enter any appropriate order.” 37 C.F.R. § 42.71 (a). The Rules governing IPR proceedings “shall be construed to secure the just, speedy, and inexpensive resolution of every proceeding.” 37 C.F.R. § 42.1(b). The Board has previously granted motions to dismiss using its authority under at least 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.5(a) and 42.71(a). See, e.g., *Samsung Electronics Co. LTD v. Nvidia Corporation*, IPR2015-01270, Paper 11 at p. 3 (PTAB Dec. 9, 2015) (“Nonetheless, the rules provide us the discretion to ‘take up petitions or motions for decisions in any order’ and to ‘grant, deny, or dismiss any petition or motion’ or enter any appropriate order.”).

Given that the petition in IPR2017-00923 includes the same challenges and relies on the same evidence as the petition in IPR2017-00882, the Board should grant Global’s motion because dismissal would further the purpose of the rules by justly and expeditiously resolving this dispute without subjecting the Board and the parties to unnecessary expense involved in further proceedings related to IPR2017-00882. Dismissal is also a just resolution, as IP Bridge will not be prejudiced by this Board dismissing IPR2017-00882, but rather will reap the benefit of preserving its own resources.

#### IV. CONCLUSION

The Petitioner respectfully requests that the Board dismiss the petition and terminate proceedings for IPR2017-00882.

Date: April 18, 2017

Respectfully submitted,

*/Christopher Carroll/*

Christopher Carroll  
White & Case LLP  
75 State Street  
Boston, MA 02109-1814  
Telephone: (617) 979-9342  
Facsimile: (617) 439-6702  
*Lead Counsel for Petitioner*

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.